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ABSTRACT

In this paper we attempt to solve an automatic clustering problem by optimizing multiple objectives such as automatic k-determination and a set of cluster validity indices concurrently. The proposed automatic clustering technique uses the most recent optimization algorithm Jaya as an underlying optimization stratagem. This evolutionary technique always aims to attain global best solution rather than a local best solution in larger datasets. The explorations and exploitations imposed on the proposed work results to detect the number of automatic clusters, appropriate partitioning present in data sets and mere optimal values towards CVIs frontiers. Twelve datasets of different intricacy are used to endorse the performance of aimed algorithm. The experiments lay bare that the conjectural advantages of multi objective clustering optimized with evolutionary approaches decipher into realistic and scalable performance paybacks.
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1. INTRODUCTION

For the past three decades, majority of optimization problems demands improvement issues with multiple objectives and are attracted towards evolutionary computation methodologies due their simplicity of transformative calculation. The leverage of these evolutionary approaches are flexible, to add, remove, modify any prerequisite regarding problem conceptualization, generation of comparative Pareto set and has ability to tackle higher complexities than the mainstream methods. These robust and powerful search procedures generally portray a set of candidate solutions, selection procedure for mating, segmenting and re-assembling of set of several solutions to produce new solutions. This is reflected by the speedily increasing of interest in the field of evolutionary clustering with multi objective optimizations [1].

Data clustering is recognized as the most prominent unsupervised technique in machine learning. This technique apportions a given dataset into homogeneous groups in view of some likeness/disparity metric. Conventional clustering algorithms regularly make previous assumptions about grouping a cluster structure, and adoptable with a suitable objective function so that it can be optimized with classical or metaheuristic techniques. These estimations grade inadequately when clustering presumptions are not hold in data [2].

The natural paradigm to fit the data distribution in the entire feature space, discovering exact number of partitions is violated in single objective clustering algorithm if distinctive locales of
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the component space contain clusters of diverged space. Estimating a combined solution which is 
stable, confident and lower sensitivity to noise is unattainable by any single objective clustering 
algorithm. Multi-objective clustering can be perceived as a distinct case of multi-objective 
optimization, targeting to concurrently optimize several trade-off with numerous objectives under 
specific limitations. The aim objective of multi-objective clustering is to disintegrate a dataset 
into comparable groups, by exploiting the multiple objectives analogously [3-4].

In this paper, we provide an clustering algorithms underplayed with Jaya evolutionary algorithm 
[15] to solve large set of objectives, for affricating factual automatic k determination, that are 
interesting, suitable detachment prompted in data sets, and optimizing a set of cluster validity 
indices (CVIs) simultaneously for encouraging most favourable convergence at final solutions. 
For conquering high intra-cluster likeness and low inter-cluster likeness, this algorithm uses CVIs 
as objective functions as mentioned in [5]. The set of internal and external validity indices used as 
fitness functions in this paper are Rand, Adjusted Rand, Silhouette, Chou Be, Davies–Bouldin and 
Xie–Beni indexes [6].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents a review of recent 
automatic clustering algorithms. In Section III, describes the scalability of the proposed 
AutoJAYA algorithm and original Jaya evolutionary algorithm. The effectiveness of our scheme 
is discussed in Section IV. Finally, Section V concludes this paper.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The survey published by Mukhopadhyay, Maulik and Bandyopadhyay, S. in 2015 argue the 
importance of using multiobjective clustering in the domains of image segmentation, 
bioinformatics, web mining with real time applications. The survey urges the importance of 
Multiobjective clustering for optimizing multiple objective functions simultaneously. The authors 
highlights the techniques for encoding, selection of objective functions, evolutionary operators, 
schemes for maintaining non-dominated solutions and assorting an end solution [7].

In order to improve searching skills, in 2015, Abadi, & Rezaei combined of continuous ant 
colony optimization and particle swarm optimization and proposed a strategy which is a 
combination of these two algorithms with genetic algorithm, the results demonstrated were of 
high capacity and resistance [8].

In 2015, Ozturk, Hancer and Karaboga used artificial bee colony algorithm in dynamic 
(automatic) clustering discrete artificial bee colony as a similarity measure between the binary 
vectors through Jaccard coefficient [9]. In 2014, Kumar and Chhabra, gravitational search 
algorithm in real life problems, where prior information about the number of clusters is not 
known in image segmentation domain to attain automatic segmentation of both gray scale and 
colour images [10].

In 2014, Kuo, Huang, Lin, Wu and Zulvia determined the appropriate number of clusters and 
assigns data points to correct clusters, with kernel function to increase clustering capability, in 
this study they have used with bee colony optimization for attaining stable and accurate results 
methods, to handle the overlapping clusters with multiple objectives, using the fuzzy c-means 
method. The real-coded values are encoded in a string to represent cluster centers and Pareto 
solutions corresponding to a trade-off between the two objectives are finally produced [12].

In 2014, Mukhopadhyay, Maulik, Bandyopadhyay, and CoelloCoello, published two survey’s 
with Part I and Part II on multiobjective evolutionary algorithms for data mining with
Evolutionary Computation [13-14]. Part I survey holds literature for basic concepts related to multi-objective optimization in data mining and evolutionary approaches for feature selection and classification. In part II the authors present the rules for association, clustering and other data mining tasks related to different multi-objective evolutionary algorithms.

3. AUTOMATIC CLUSTERING ALGORITHM - AUTOJAYA

This paper attempts to constellate exact number of proper detachment in datasets automatically without any human intervention during the algorithm execution. The objective functions for assorting an end solution is postured as a multi-objective optimization problem, by optimizing a customary of cluster validity indices concurrently. The proposed multi-objective clustering technique uses a most recently developed evolutionary algorithm Jaya [15], based on multi-objective optimization method as the underlying optimization strategy. The points are assigned randomly to selected cluster centres based on Euclidean distance. The Rand, Adjusted Rand, Silhouette, Chou Be, Davies–Bouldin and Xie–Beni CVIs are optimized simultaneously to endorse the validity of aimed algorithm. Determinately, the aimed algorithm is able to perceive both the best possible number of clusters and proper apportioning in the dataset. The efficiency of the proposed algorithm is shown for twelve real-time data sets of varying complexities. The results of this multi objective clustering techniques presented in Table 1, Table 2.

3.1. INITIALIZATION

To initialize the candidate solutions, the cluster centres are encoded as chromosomes. The population \( \alpha \) or number of candidate solutions are initialized randomly with \( n \) rows and \( m \) columns. The set of solutions are represented as \( \alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}^{\text{min}} + \text{rand}(1) \times (\alpha_{ij}^{\text{max}} - \alpha_{ij}^{\text{min}}) \) and each solution contains \( \text{Max} \) number of selected cluster centers, where \( \text{Max} \) is randomly chosen activation thresholds in \([0, 1]\).

3.2. OBJECTIVE / FITNESS FUNCTIONS

A straightforward way to pose clustering as an optimization problem is to optimize some CVIs that reflect the goodness of the clustering solutions. The correctness or accuracy of any optimization method depends on its objective or fitness function being used in the algorithm [2-3]. In this manner, it is regular to instantaneously advance with numerous of such measures for optimizing distinctive attributes of data. To compute the distance between the centroid and candidate solutions Euclidean distance measure is used, along with it the other objective functions optimized simultaneously are the RI, ARI, DB, CS, XI, SIL CVIs [6].

3.3. JAYA EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHM

Jaya is a simple, powerful optimization algorithm proposed by R Venkata Rao in 2015 for solving the constrained and unconstrained optimization problems [15]. This algorithm is predicated on the idea that the outcome obtained for a given problem should move towards the best solution and evade the worst solution. This evolutionary approach does not require any particular algorithm-specific control parameter, rather mandates common control parameters. The working procedure of this evolutionary method is as follows:

Let \( f(\alpha) \) is the objective function to be minimized or maximized. At any iteration \( t \), assume that there are ‘m’ number of design variables i.e (\( j = 1,2, \ldots, m \)), ‘n’ number of candidate solutions (i.e. population size, \( k = 1,2, \ldots, n \)). Let the best candidate best obtains the best value of
The steps in Jaya algorithm are as follows:

1. Initialize population size, number of design variables and termination condition
2. Identify best and worst solution in the population
3. Modify the solutions based on best and worst solutions using (1)
4. Is the solution corresponding to \( \alpha'_{j,k,l} \) better than the corresponding to \( \alpha_{j,k,l} \)
   a. accept and replace the previous solution
5. Else keep the previous solution
6. Is the termination criterion satisfied
   a. report as optimum solution
7. Else go to Step 2

3.4. PROPOSED AUTOJAYA ALGORITHM

The working procedure of the aimed algorithm AutoJAYA is as follows:

1. Initialize the number of candidate solutions randomly as \( \alpha \), in \( n \) rows and \( m \) columns.
2. The set of solutions are represented as \( \alpha = \{ \alpha_{k,0}, \alpha_{k,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k,m} \} \) and each solution contains a number of selected cluster centers, where \( M_{max} \) is randomly chosen activation thresholds in \([0, 1]\).
3. The fitness function \( f(x) \) to be maximized by default is Rand Index, and the \( i \)th solution of \( \alpha \) at current generation with design variables is represented as \( \alpha = \{ \alpha_{1,i}, \alpha_{2,i}, \ldots, \alpha_{k,i} \} \).
4. Spot the active cluster centers with value greater than 0.5 as best candidates, \( \alpha_{best} \) solutions and less than 0.5 as worst candidates, \( \alpha_{worst} \) solutions
5. For \( i = 1 \) to \( r_{max} \) do
   a. For each data vector \( \alpha_j \), calculate its distance from all active cluster centers using Euclidean distance
   b. Assign \( \alpha_j \) to closest cluster
   c. Evaluate each candidate solution quality using the fitness functions and find \( \alpha_{best} \) and \( \alpha_{worst} \) solutions
   d. Modify the solutions based on best and worst solutions using (1)
6. If the solution corresponding to $\mathbf{c}_{i,k}^t$ is better than the corresponding to $\mathbf{c}_{j,k}^t$, accept and replace the previous solution else keep the previous solution

4. EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we report on experiments that use multi-objective clustering to identify partitions in diverged set of datasets. The enactment of the aimed algorithm is pragmatic from the results conquered by the following criteria elected, i.e. automatic k-detection, minimal consumption of CPU time, low percentage of error rate and ideal values in CVIs. The number of iterations is restricted to 30 independent runs for all the datasets. Table 1, Table 2 demonstrates the results of AutoJAYA algorithm used over real-time datasets. These real-time datasets are extracted from UCI Machine Learning Repository [18]. The best results are shown as bold face.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Datasets (size*dim, k)</th>
<th>No. of auto clusters</th>
<th>CPU time (sec)</th>
<th>% of error rate</th>
<th>Mean value of CVIs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iris (150*4, 3)</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>ARI RI HIM SIL CS DB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine (178*13, 3)</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>105.32</td>
<td>40.64</td>
<td>0.9815 0.9987 0.0922 0.9214 0.6048 0.6417 0.8915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass (214*9, 6)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>114.23</td>
<td>30.78</td>
<td>0.8000 0.9000 0.6018 0.6980 0.5297 1.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere (351*3, 4)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>30.12</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>0.9580 0.9877 0.9632 1.2580 0.8915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecol (336*7, 8)</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>45.12</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>0.9587 1.0145 0.9906 1.0258 0.9478 0.7889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocks (208*60, 2)</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>74.20</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>0.9971 0.9999 1.0000 1.0001 0.9478 0.9481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson (195*22, 2)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>42.14</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>0.9240 0.9920 0.9974 0.9608 0.9814 1.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic (768*9, 2)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>74.25</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>0.9871 0.9997 1.0024 0.9999 0.8478 0.8481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment (1500*20, 2)</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1041.02</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>0.9631 0.9941 0.9786 0.9740 0.8994 0.4448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting (500*8, 2)</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>110.29</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>1.0019 0.9663 0.0222 1.0025 0.9648 0.2560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonar (208*60, 2)</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>67.20</td>
<td>24.23</td>
<td>0.9988 1.0205 0.9635 0.9845 0.8458 0.8932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rippleset (250*3, 2)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>1.2800 1.0200 0.9874 1.0000 0.9990 0.9011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AutoJAYA renders exact number of automatic clusters in Wine, Glass, Ionosphere, Ecol, Diabetic, Sonar, Rippleset, when compared to actual number of clusters (k) shown in column 1 of Table 1. The Rippleset dataset is the only dataset where AutoJAYA consumes minimum amount of CPU time among all the datasets of varying size and complexity. In general, the CPU time consumed by all the comparing datasets is between 5.48 sec to 1041.12 sec, which is purely dependent on the volume and complexity of the dataset. Likewise, minimal percentage of error rate is logged for the aimed algorithm in Iris and Wine datasets and the other likening datasets registers the error rate between 5.48 % and 40.64%.

The CVIs in RI in Iris, DB in Wine, DB in Glass, CS in Ionosphere, RI in Ecol and HIM in Rocks and mines dataset registers optimal mean value, by endorsing the validity of the algorithm. The CVIs DB in Parkinson, RI in Diabetic, RI in Segment, ARI in Weighting, RI in Sonar and SIL Rippleset also follow the same tendency by submitting optimal mean value towards the frontiers of CVIs. All these implications elevate the supremacy of proposed algorithm in obtaining favourable results. The automatic clusters generated by AutoJAYA algorithm are shown in Figure 1.
Table 2. Values of F-measure, ROC and SSE in real-time datasets using AutoJAYA algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Datasets</th>
<th>F-Measure</th>
<th>ROC</th>
<th>SSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iris</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>52.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionosphere</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>726.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecoli</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>695.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocks</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>49.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinson</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>50.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>149.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>532.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>520.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonar</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>21.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rippleset</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>44.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of F-measure, ROC area and Sum of Squared Error (SSE) of the proposed algorithm on each real-time dataset are included in Table 2. The value deviations of F-measure, ROC area and SSE amongst all the datasets is shown in Fig. 2. It is observed from both Table 1 and Figure 2 that the aimed algorithm has obtained better result in most of the cases for all the real-time datasets.

Table 2 shows the corresponding values of F-Measure, ROC area and SSE for all comparing real-time datasets. A significant remark on Table 2 is all the datasets tender better values for F-measure and ROC area. The SSE value is very nominal for Iris and Wine datasets and relatively mere optimal values for remaining datasets.

The culminating remarks after examining the applicability of AutoJAYA algorithm over real-time is the aimed algorithm lodges better in most of the datasets in identifying the exact number of automatic partitions, with minimum consumption of CPU and relatively low percentage of error rate. Hence these experiments speculate fact that AutoJAYA algorithm lay bare the advantages of multiobjective clustering optimized with evolutionary approaches decipher into realistic and scalable performance paybacks.
5. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, a novel multi-objective clustering technique AutoJAYA based on the newly developed Jaya Evolutionary algorithm is proposed. The explorations and exploitations enforced on the technique, automatically determine the proper number of clusters, proper partitioning from a given dataset and mere optimal values towards CVI s frontiers, by optimizing fitness functions simultaneously.

Furthermore, it is observed that the aimed algorithm exhibits better performance in most of the considered real-time datasets and is able to cluster appropriate partitions. Much further work is needed to investigate the profound algorithm using different and more objectives, compare with well established automatic clustering algorithm and to test the approach still more extensively over diversified domains of engineering.
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