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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a new multifocus image fusion method is proposed, which combines the Laplacian pyramid, wavelet decomposition and uses alpha stable distance as a selection rule. First, using Laplacian pyramid, we decomposed the multifocus images into several levels of pyramid and then applied wavelet decomposition at each level. The contribution of this work is to fuse the wavelet images at each level by using alpha stable distance as a selection rule. To get the final fused image, we reconstructed the combined image at every level of the pyramid. This protocol was compared to other methods and showed good results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Image fusion is the process of combining relevant information from two or more images into a single image that will be more informative than any input images. The goal of image fusion is to reduce uncertainty and minimize redundancy in the output as well as to maximize relevant information for an application or a task. With the rapid advancements in technology, it is now possible to obtain information from multiple source images to produce high quality information from a set of images. In this paper, we dealt with multi-focus images. Due to the limited depth-of-focus of optical lenses in camera devices, it is often not possible to get an image that contains all relevant object ‘in focus’ so that one scene can be decomposed into set of images with different focus of every image. We can use image fusion method to obtain all focused objects.

Many methods exist to perform image fusion [1]-[3]. In this work, we used Laplacian pyramid (LP) and the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) image fusion. The LP image fusion and DWT image fusion are multiscale transformation image fusion.

The LP image fusion integrates multi-source information at the basic level and can provide more abundant, accurate and reliable detailed information. The important thing in the LP image fusion is to define a selection rule for determining the value of each pixel in the resulting fused pyramid. The averaging method, maximum method, saliency and match measure [4], and combination of averaging and maximum energy method [4] have been used as selection rules in LP image fusion. Recently, [6] used PCA as selection rule in LP image fusion and wavelet decomposition with maximum absolute selection rule [7].
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The wavelet fusion method allows the image decomposition in different kind of coefficients sub-bands. Image fusion using wavelet method can be seen in [8]-[11]. The wavelet transformation modulus maxima gives better preservation of both edge features and component information of the object in new fused image preserving the detailed image information [7].

This work proposeas new multifocus image fusion method using (combination Laplacian Pyramid (LP) and wavelet transform fusion method with alpha stable distance as selection rule. Indeed, he used discrete wavelet decomposition in each level of LP before undergoing fusion. The fusion rule is based on alpha distance of wavelet coefficients. This fusion method improved significantly the resulting fused image. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly gives explanation about neighbour alpha stable distance and Fusion. TheSection 3 develop the proposed method. The section 4 apply the proposed method on real images and compare it to other methods. The section 5 presents our conclusions and pinpoint potential perspectives.

2. Neighbour Alpha Stable Distance and Fusion

The Alpha-stable distribution is widely used in the processing of impulsive or spiky signals. It has also been applied in image processing. [12] and [13] give the model of the sea clutter in SAR images using alpha stable distribution for ship detection while [14] removes speckle noise using alpha stable based Bayesian algorithm in the wavelet domain. Furthermore, alpha stable distribution is also used in image segmentation [15] and compressive image fusion [12]. Both [14], [15], and [13] and Wan employ alpha stable in wavelet domain. This section provides a brief of the alpha-stable distribution.

A. Neighbor Alpha Stable Distance

This work develop a novel fusion method as a selection rule in Laplacian pyramid method. This consisted of weighting each pixel of each image by exponential of Neighbor Alpha Stable Distance (NASD). This neighbor alpha stable distance generalize the quadratic difference between the value of the pixel (x,y) and the all pixel values of its neighbors when \( \alpha = 2 \). The neighbor, with the size "\( a \)" of a pixel \((x,y)\) is defined as follows:

\[
(x+i, y+j) \quad \text{where} \quad i = -a, -a+1, \ldots, a-1, a \quad \text{and} \quad j = -a, -a+1, \ldots, a-1, a.
\]

For example the neighbour with the small size ("\( a = 1 \)" contains: \((x-1, y-1), (x-1, y), (x-1, y+1), (x, y-1), (x, y+1), (x+1, y-1), (x+1, y), (x+1, y+1)\).

![Figure 1. Pixel at (x,y) within its neighborhood, a = 1.](image)

Then, the steps of image fusion with size "\( a \)" wereas follows:

Let \( I_1, \ldots, I_M \) be \( M \) original source images with different focus to fuse. The images here had the same size \( \left( N_1 \times N_2 \right) \). The general principle of making fusion rules were:

Step 1: For each pixel of each image, we calculated the neighbour alpha stable distance (NASD) of every source image, \( d_{a, \alpha}(x,y) \):
\[ d_{a,k}(x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{R} \sum_{m=-a}^{a} \sum_{n=-a}^{a} |I(x, y) - I(x + m, y + n)|^{\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} \] (5)

Where \[ I(x, y) = \begin{cases} I(x + m, y + n), & \text{if } 1 \leq x + m \leq N_x \text{ and } 1 \leq y + n \leq N_y, \\ I(x, y), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

\[ S = \left\{ (m, n) \in \left[ -a, a \right] \setminus \{(0, 0)\} | I(x + m, y + n) = I(x, y) \right\}, k = 1, \ldots, M. \]

B. Neighbor Alpha Stable Fusion

The Neighbor Alpha Stable Fusion (NASF) proposed in this work consisted of fused images using the following model:

\[ \text{NASF}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\text{exp}(d_{a,k}(x, y))I(x, y)}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \text{exp}(d_{a,k}(x, y))} \] (6)

Obviously, this method depended on the size “a”. We have noticed that, for almost all images, a=4 gave the best result. In the following we took a=4.

3. THE PROPOSED METHOD

The image fusion methods keep progressing to get the better result of fused image. In this work, we fused images using combination Laplacian pyramid, wavelet transform and NASF methods. First, we decomposed each source image by Laplacian pyramid (LP). This method was first introduced in [16] as a model for binocular fusion in human stereo vision, where the implementation used a Laplacian pyramid and a maximum selection rule at each point of the pyramid transformation [17]. Essentially, the procedure involved a set of band-pass copies of an image, referred to the Laplacian pyramid due to its similarity to a Laplacian operator. Each level of the Laplacian pyramid was recursively constructed from its lower level by applying the following four basic steps: blurring (low-pass filtering), sub-sampling (reduce size), interpolation (expand), and differencing (to subtract two images pixel by pixel). In the LP, the lowest level of the pyramid was constructed from the original image.

After the LP decomposition, we applied Decomposition Wavelet Transform (DWT) at each level of Laplacian pyramid. The decomposition DWT used in this paper was based on Haar wavelet transform. DWT separately filtered and lowered samples images in the horizontal direction and vertical directions. This produced four coefficient subbands at each scale. As presented in [7], we supposed an image \( I(x, y) \) and denoted the horizontal frequency first by using 1-D lowpass filter \( L \) and highpass filter \( H \) produces the coefficient matrices \( I_L(x, y) \) and \( I_H(x, y) \) and then followed the vertical frequency second by using lowpass filter \( L \) and highpass filter \( H \) to each column in \( I_L(x, y) \) and \( I_H(x, y) \). This produced four sub-images \( I_{LL}(x, y), I_{LH}(x, y), I_{HL}(x, y), \) and \( I_{HH}(x, y) \) for one level of decomposition. By recursively applying the same scheme to the low-low subband multiresolution decomposition could be achieved.

After decomposing DWT, we used the NASF fusion defined in (6) to fuse images in wavelet decomposition by merging the DWT coefficient of every corresponding frequency band.

The fusion follows 4 steps: we supposed there were two original source images, A and B, with different focus:

1) Performing Laplacian pyramid decomposition to create Laplacian pyramid for each source image,
2) Performing discrete wavelet decomposition to every level of Laplacian pyramid for each image in different kinds of coefficient,
3) Merging an appropriate coefficient of the corresponding subband to obtain new coefficients by using Neighbor Alpha Stable Fusion (NASF). The fused wavelet image is achieved through the inverse discrete wavelet transform,
4) The final fused image was obtained by performing pyramid inverse transform on the fused wavelet image by using Neighbor Alpha Stable Fusion (NASF).

The process of pyramid image fusion can be seen in Fig.2, in which the fusion LPi’s (i=1, 2, 3) was obtained by applying wavelet transform and (NASF) fusion. Actually, it could be extended to more than two source images A and B.

![Figure 2. The proposed method](image)

![Figure 3. DWT image fusion](image)

The figure 3 shows the process of decomposition wavelet transform of LP images at level l and fusion image using NASF method.

4. **Experimental Result**

As in the work [18], the images used in this section were taken from the database [12]. We have blurred an area of each image using the convolution of Gaussian filter applied on the reference image $g_f$. The choice of Gaussian is approved in the works [19]-[20]. Blurred areas are chosen to hide an object from the photographed scene when there are multiple objects. Thus, the size of blurred areas varied according to the size of the objects hidden in the images. Let $g_f$ be the reference image. The blurred image $g_{blurred}$ was defined as follows:

$$g_{blurred}(i, j) = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} h(m', n') g_f(i - m', j - n'), (i, j) \in \text{blurred area}$$

$$g_{focus}(i, j) = g_f(i, j), (i, j) \in \text{object focus area}$$
where $h(m',n')$ is Gaussian filter. We applied the method on 150 sets of multi focus images on a datasets of images [12]. In this paper, we presented only five set of multi focus images. Figures 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12 show the multi focus images obtained by the convolution of Gaussian filter. Figures 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13 show the fused image by proposed method. Visually the image obtained by the proposed method gives a very satisfactory fusion.

**Figure 4.** Multi focus images (bottles)

**Figure 5.** Fused image by proposed method (bottles)
Figure 6. Multi focus images (people)

Figure 7. Fused image by proposed method (people)
Figure 8. Multi focus images (cars)

Figure 9. Fused image by proposed method (cars)
Figure 10. Multi focus images (birds)

Figure 11. Fused image by proposed method (birds)
We compared the proposed method to other methods like: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [5], Decomposition Wavelet Transform (DWT) [8]-[9]and [14]-[15], Bilateral Gradient-based (BG) [21], Laplacian Pyramid with Average as selection rule (LP_AV), Laplacian Pyramid with PCA as selection rule (LP_PCA) [22].

We analyzed the performance of the results using quantitative analysis root mean square error (RMSE) which showed how the pixel values of fused image deviated from the reference image. Let $F(i, j)$ be the gray level intensity of pixel $(i, j)$ of the fused image and $R(i, j)$ be the gray level intensity of pixel $(i, j)$ of the reference image. RMSE between the reference image and fused image was computed as:

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M \times N} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (F(i, j) - R(i, j))^2}$$
where $m \times n$ is the size of the input image and $i, j$ represents the pixel locations. A smaller value of RMSE showed good fusion result. If the value of RMSE was zero then it meant the fused image was exactly the same as the reference image.

The table1 gives the mean and standard deviation of RMSE for the studded methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>LP_AV</th>
<th>PCA</th>
<th>BG</th>
<th>LP_PCA</th>
<th>DWT</th>
<th>Proposed_method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.7452</td>
<td>8.7143</td>
<td>11.0449</td>
<td>8.6043</td>
<td>4.1941</td>
<td>2.1589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>3.8810</td>
<td>3.8672</td>
<td>4.8593</td>
<td>3.8549</td>
<td>1.3813</td>
<td>0.9437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results showed that the proposed method has a smaller mean of the RMSE. The histograms of RMSE for 150 images by different methods (Figure 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19) showed that for all methods the values of RMSE were almost symmetrically centered around the mean value.
Figure 15. The histogram of proposed method

Histogram of PCA method

Figure 16. The histogram of PCA method

Histogram of DWT method

Figure 17. The histogram of DWT method
To compare analytically the proposed method to others methods we used the Analysis of variance (ANOVA) with dependent samples (dependence by image). The software R gave the following Anova table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Sum Sq</th>
<th>Mean Sq</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>Pr(&gt;F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8381</td>
<td>1676.2</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>&lt;2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Pr(>F) was smaller than 1%, the methods were significantly different. We used the Newman Keuls test to compare the methods in pairs and make groups having significantly the same mean. The software R gave the results below of the test.

Figure 18. The histogram of LP_AV method

Figure 19. The histogram of LP_PCA method
Four different groups: Group “a” contains only method BG having the bigger mean of RMSE (11.045). Group “b” contains 3 methods LP_AV, PCA and LP_PCA that have significantly the same mean. Group “c” contains only the method DWT which better than group “a” and “b”. The last group “d” containing the proposed method that the best method because its mean is the smallest by comparing with other means.

5. CONCLUSION

Multifocus image fusion using Laplacian Pyramid fusion method based on Alpha Stable filter with neighbor alpha stable distance as selection rule gave better result than other studied methods. This method has the advantage of using the local fusion while taking into account the neighborhood values. The same technique can be used as a distance to improve Dempster Sheffer method. This work can have many applications, such as:

1. Drone is a new technology in digital imaging, it has opened up unlimited possibilities for enhancing photography. Drone can capture images on the same scene that zooms in on different objects, and at various altitudes. It will produce several images on the same scene but with different objects in-focus.

2. For quality control in food industry, cameras are used to take images. Each camera targets one of several objects to detect an anomaly. The objects are on a treadmill. To have an image containing all the objects in clear we can use the proposed method which would give more details.
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