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ABSTRACT

In elastic optical networks, a wavelength is divided into frequency slots (FS) and optical signals are switched at multiple FS intervals. On the other hand, network virtualization manages network resources by efficiently mapping virtual nodes and links to physical ones (virtual network embedding). In this paper, we propose a dynamic virtual network embedding algorithm to decrease the rejection rate of virtual optical network requests. We define four schemes for node mapping. For link mapping, the primary path, which is the shortest path between the mapped nodes, is computed. If there are no available FSs, path splitting is performed and FSs are assigned at links in primary and alternatives paths. By simulation, we evaluate the effectiveness of path splitting for NSFNET and ARPA2. Numerical results show that path splitting is effective in decreasing the rejection rate. Finally, LLNL scheme, which considers both node and link resources, has the smallest rejection rate.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the considerable increase of network-based applications, the need for more flexible and scalable networking technologies has attracted research interests. Wavelength division multiplexing (WDM), where thousands of wavelengths are multiplexed into a single optical fiber, has been utilized as an integral part of the infrastructure networks [1]. WDM networks can support the high-speed data transmission from hundreds of gigabits per second (Gbps) to several terabits per second (Tbps) on a single optical fiber [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]. In WDM, a fixed 50 GHz International Telecommunication Union (ITU) wavelength grid (with optical spectrum 1530-1565 nm) is used for data transmission. However, with the exponential increase in Internet bandwidth demand, the current fixed ITU grid will result in ineffective resource utilization [7]. Especially, for high data bit rates, the fixed ITU grid will no longer be able to support bit rates over 100 Gbps [8].

In order to support such high data bit rates, Elastic Optical Networks (EON) has been proposed [9]. In EON, it is possible to change the wavelength grid [10], [11], [12]. This is because a wavelength can be divided into multiple frequency slots (FS) and bandwidth-variable transponders allow transmitting, receiving and switching optical signals at multiple FS intervals [13]. Note that FSs have to be allocated contiguously by considering the spectrum contiguity constraint [14].

On the other hand, network virtualization has emerged as a promising solution that can utilize and manage network resources simply, flexibly, and effectively [15], [16]. In network virtualization, multiple virtual networks (VN) with specific topologies and resource requirements can be constructed over a physical network. The main challenge in virtual network embedding (VNE) is
to construct a VN by efficiently mapping both the requested node and link resources into a physical network [17], [18], [19]. If there are enough available node resources (e.g. CPU, memory) and link resources (e.g. Bandwidth), a VN is constructed based on a user’s request. Such VNE problems are formulated as optimization problems, and those can be solved by using some algorithms [15].

Here, over optical networks, Virtual Optical Networks (VON) can be constructed by using the virtualization technology. Moreover, in EON, VONs can be constructed so as to utilize FS more efficiently [20]. Here, in order to construct VONs, the conventional VNE techniques cannot be used for virtual optical network embedding (VONE) problem because the spectrum contiguity constraint has to be considered [21], [22]. Even if both the available amount of node resources and the available number for FSs are enough for constructing a VON, the available FSs have to be adjacent on the optical spectrum due to the spectrum contiguity constraint.

In terms of VONE over EON, several techniques have been proposed. In [23], a heuristics algorithm has been proposed for static traffic and dynamic traffic, respectively. For static traffic, the utilization of FSs can be maximized based on the information of traffic. For the dynamic traffic, the proposed algorithm minimizes the rejection rate of VON. In [24], network cost for survivable optical networks is minimized by solving ILP in the case where the amount of traffic is known in advance. Network cost has been calculated based on the number and type of transponders and regenerators, and the survivability can be realized by providing a backup path. Moreover, in [25], the authors have modelled VONE as a mixed integer linear programming (MILP) and have proposed a heuristic algorithm for MILP so as to minimize the utilization of spectrum. Here, [25] has considered multicast oriented services and VONs are constructed for the multicast oriented services. In [26], the authors have also presented a load-balancing algorithm for VONE. In this algorithm, the spectrum can be fragmented to realize the load balancing.

In this paper, we propose a dynamic VONE algorithm to decrease the rejection rate of VON requests by performing path splitting for a case where the amount of available FSs is small at the physical links. In our proposed method, we consider four algorithms for node mapping. Moreover, after computing the shortest path between two requested nodes, called primary path, a VON is constructed with node resources and FSs in the primary path. If there are not enough FSs for the VONE, path splitting is performed in the proposed method by allocating a part of the required FSs in the primary path and the remaining part through other paths, called secondary paths. If the amount of node resources and/or FSs is small even in the secondary paths, the VON request is rejected. By performing path splitting, a larger number of VON requests can be embedded and the rejection rate is expected to be decreased. We evaluate the performance of the proposed method with simulation for NSFNET and ARPA2 and investigate its effectiveness.

This paper is organized as follows. Introduction is provided in Section 1. Section 2 summarizes some related work on virtual optical networks and path splitting. In Section 3, we explain our system model. Section 4 describes our proposed method with path splitting. Numerical results are shown in Section 5, and finally conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2. RELATED WORK

2.1. Virtual Optical Networks (VON) over Elastic Optical networks (EON)

In this subsection, we explain Virtual Optical Network (VON) and Elastic Optical Networks (EON). Figure 1 illustrates the differences between EON and WDM networks in terms of the utilization of optical spectrum. As shown in Figure 1, the ITU grid is fixed in WDM networks but the ITU grid is variable in EON. Therefore, in WDM networks, the frequency is not fully utilized
when multiple data transmissions utilize the optical spectrum. This is because some parts of the wavelength grid cannot be used. Moreover, in WDM networks, a guard-band is needed between adjacent ITU grids even when the adjacent grids are used by a connection. On the other hand, in EON, the optical spectrum can be allocated per a FS, and guard-bands are not needed. As a result, the optical spectrum can be utilized in EON more efficiently.

Here, VONs can be constructed over EON by using virtualization technology. For general physical networks, virtual networks can be constructed based on a user’s request about network topology and network resources such as CPU, memory, and bandwidth. This virtual network construction is performed by mapping requested resources to the available node and link resources in a physical network. On the other hand, for the construction of VON over EON, requested resources have to be mapped to available FSs in a VON. Hence, the spectrum contiguity constraint has to be constrained. Moreover, if wavelength conversion is not allowed, the same FSs have to be utilized along all virtual links in a VON.

![Diagram of spectrum grid utilization in WDM and EON](image)

(a) Traditional WDM networks.

(b) Elastic optical networks.

Figure 1. Utilization of spectrum grid in WDM and EON.

### 2.2. Path Splitting

Next, in this subsection, we explain path splitting that is used to utilize network resources effectively. This path splitting is available for VNE. In [27], path splitting is utilized to split a virtual link into multiple paths for embedding many VNs.

When the available amount of link resources is smaller than the amount of requested link resources, path splitting is utilized in order to construct VNs for using the limited amount of network resources [27]. Numerical results show that the proposed algorithm with path splitting can achieve a more effective utilization of network resources. However, the proposed algorithm in [27] cannot be used for VONE in EON. This is because the spectrum contiguity constraints cannot be satisfied when a VON is constructed.

For EON, in contrast to the path splitting, spectrum splitting has been utilized to establish lightpaths in [28], [29] [30] and [31]. Spectrum splitting is used to split a single traffic demand into multiple lightpaths with multiple sets of spectrum. However, spectrum splitting is not utilized for VON over EON because node resources are not considered.
Thus, the path splitting is effective for the construction of virtual networks. However, path splitting cannot be utilized for VON over EON. Moreover, the spectrum splitting can be used for the lightpath establishment in EON, but it cannot be used for VON.

3. System Model

![System Model](image)

In this section, we explain our system model where VONs are constructed over EON. Figure 2 shows our system model where a physical network is illustrated as a graph $G_p(N_p, L_p)$. Here, $N_p$ is the set of physical nodes and $L_p$ is the set of physical fiber links. For the $i$th physical node $n'_p \in N_p$, the amount of node resources is $C$. In addition, the total number of physical nodes is $K$.

On the other hand, $W$ wavelengths are multiplexed in the $i^{th}$ fiber link $l'_p \in L_p$, and a wavelength is divided into $M$ frequency slots (FS). Therefore, the total number of FSs for $l'_p$ is equal to $MW$. Here, we define $m_{i}(j)$ as follows.

$$m_{i}(j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the } j^{th} \text{ slot on link } l'_p \text{ has not been used} \\ 1, & \text{if the } j^{th} \text{ slot on link } l'_p \text{ has been used} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In addition, for the $j^{th}$ slot on node $n'_p$, $c_{n}(j)$ is defined as follows.

$$c_{n}(j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the } j^{th} \text{ slot on node } n'_p \text{ has not been used} \\ 1, & \text{if the } j^{th} \text{ slot on node } n'_p \text{ has been used} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, a VON is modelled as a graph $G_v(N_v, L_v)$ where $N_v$ is the set of virtual nodes and $L_v$ is the set of virtual links. For a VON request, $c_v (c_v \leq C)$ denotes the amount of required computing resources for virtual node $n_v \in N_v$ and $k_v (k_v \leq K)$ is the number of required virtual nodes. Moreover, $m_v (m_v \leq MW)$ denotes the number of required FSs for virtual link $l_v \in L_v$.

Moreover, a VON will be constructed if the requested virtual nodes can be mapped to the physical nodes (node mapping) and virtual links to the physical links (link mapping). In node
mapping, if virtual node $n_v \in N_v$ is mapped to a physical node $n'_p \in N_p$, this mapping is denoted as

$$A(n_v) = n'_p, \quad n_v \in N_v, n'_p \in N_p$$ (3)

Note that the node mapping has to satisfy the following two conditions.

1) A virtual node is mapped to only one physical node as follows:

$$A(n_v) = A(n'_v), \quad \forall n_v, n'_v \in N_v \text{ if and only if } n_v = n'_v.$$  

2) There are enough available computing resources for physical node $n'_p$ as follows:

$$c_v + \sum_{j=1}^{C_v} c^i_v(j) \leq C$$

For link mapping, each virtual link $l_v \in L_v$ can be mapped to one or more physical links $l'_p \in L_p$ as follows:

$$A(l_v) = l'_p, \quad l_v \in L_v, l'_p \in L_p$$ (4)

Here, the two conditions have to be satisfied:

1) There are enough available FSs at physical link $l'_p$, as follows:

$$m_v + \sum_{j=1}^{WM} m^i_v(j) \leq WM, m_v \leq WM$$

2) $m_v$ FSs have to be contiguous at physical link $l'_p$.

4. **Dynamic Path Splitting VONE Algorithm**

In this section, we propose a dynamic VONE algorithm to decrease the rejection rate of VON requests. In this method, path splitting is performed when the number of available FSs is not enough at physical links. Note that a VON request is rejected when the number of FSs and/or the amount of node resources are not enough for constructing a VON even when the path splitting is used.
4.1. Overview

In this subsection, we explain an overview of the proposed algorithm. In the following, we assume that a request for constructing a VON arrives at an EON. Here, the request includes the information about the number \(k\) of nodes, the number \(m\) of FSs for each link, and the amount \(c\) of computing resources for each node. Moreover, the source node is denoted as \(n_p^s\) and the destination node as \(n_p^d\). In addition, we define the primary path as the shortest path between \(n_p^s\) and \(n_p^d\) and a secondary path between \(n_p^s\) and \(n_p^d\) that is different from the primary path.

In our proposed method, a VON is constructed according to the request with the following steps (see Fig. 3).

Step 1: A VON request that includes \(k\), \(m\), \(c\), and \(n_p^s\) arrives at an EON.

Step 2: The destination node \(n_p^d\) is selected among all nodes according to one of the schemes in subsection 4.2.

Step 3: The amount of computing resources is checked at the source node \(n_p^s\) and the destination node \(n_p^d\). If the amount of available computing resources is larger than the amount of requested node resources at both nodes (see Eq. 3), go to Step 4. Otherwise, go to Step 9.

Step 4: The primary path, which is the shortest path, is computed between \(n_p^s\) and \(n_p^d\).

Step 5: The number of FSs is checked whether Equation 4 can be satisfied at each link along the primary path. If Equation 4 is satisfied, go to Step 8. Otherwise, go to Step 6.

Step 6: Path splitting is performed. The next \(K\) shortest paths are computed between \(n_p^s\) and \(n_p^d\).

Then, a path with the smallest average of used FSs is selected as the secondary path. Here, the required contiguous number of FSs at each physical link \(l_p\) along the secondary path is denoted as

\[
m_p = \left\{WM - \sum_{j=1}^{WM} m_i(j) \right\} (5)
\]
Step 7: If the number of available FSs is larger than the number of requested FSs (see equation 5) at each link along the secondary path, go to Step 8. Otherwise, go to Step 9.

Step 8: The VON request is accepted and VON can be constructed. If path splitting is utilized, FSs are allocated at all links for both the primary path and the secondary path.

Step 9: The VON request is rejected.

In the next subsections, we explain the node selection schemes at Step 2 in subsection 4.2, and we explain the path splitting at Steps 5 to 9 in subsection 4.3.

4.2. Selection Schemes of Destination Nodes

In our proposed method, as shown in the previous subsection, the destination node has to be selected at Step 2. Here, the destination node is selected among all nodes according to one of the following schemes as shown in Fig. 4. Here, the utilization rate for each node and each link is shown in Fig. 4.

Scheme 1: Random Selection
The destination node is selected at random among all nodes except for the source node.

Scheme 2: Least Loaded Node (LLN)
The node whose utilization rate is the smallest is selected as the destination node $n_{p,d}$. In Fig. 4, node $n_{p,d}$ is selected because its utilization rate is the smallest.

Scheme 3: Least Loaded Link (LLL)
First, we consider the shortest path between each node and the source node $n_{p,s}$. Then, for these shortest paths, the average utilization rate is calculated. When the average utilization rate of the shortest path is the smallest for a node, the node is selected as the destination node. In Fig. 4, $n_{p,s}$ is selected as the destination node.

Scheme 4: Least Loaded Node and Link (LLNL)
This scheme utilizes the average utilization rate for the two rates in scheme 2 and scheme 3. The node with the smallest average utilization rate is selected as the destination node. By combining LLN and LLL, in Figure 4, node $n_{p,d}$ is selected as the destination node.
4.3. Path Splitting

If the number of available FSs at each link along the primary path is smaller than the number of requested FSs, the path splitting is utilized. In Step 6, a secondary path is computed for the path splitting. At first, the next $K$ shortest paths are computed between $n_{p}^{s}$ and $n_{p}^{d}$. Then, a path with the smallest average of used FSs is selected as the secondary path. In Step 7, the number of FSs (see Equation 5) is checked at each link along the secondary path. If the number of available FSs is equal to or larger than that of Equation 5, the VON is constructed in Step 8. Here, the amount of computing resources are assigned at the source node $n_{p}^{s}$ and the destination node $n_{p}^{d}$. In addition, the number of FSs that are allocated at each link in the primary path is...
Each link in the primary path in Fig. 5(a) has more than five available FSs that are available, hence five FSs are allocated in each link of the primary path. In this case, path splitting is not performed.

Moreover, if path splitting is performed, the number of contiguous FS that are allocated at each link in the secondary path is defined in Equation 5. In Fig. 5(b) the number of available FSs is smaller than the number of required FSs. As a result, three FSs are allocated at each link in the primary path and two FSs are allocated in the secondary path.

If the number of FSs and/or the amount of node resources are not enough for constructing a VON even when the path splitting is used, the VON requested is rejected.

5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we evaluate the performance of our proposed method with simulation according to the node selection schemes explained in subsection 4.2. For our proposed method, as shown in subsection 4.2, Scheme 1 is denoted as Random, Scheme 2 as LLN, Scheme 3 as LLL, and Scheme 4 as LLNL, respectively. In addition, we evaluate the performance of VONE over EON without path splitting, denoted as NoPS. In this scheme, the destination node is selected at random. The topologies of physical network are NSFNET and ARPA2 (See Fig. 6). In NSFNET, the number $N_p$ of nodes is 14 and the number $L_p$ of links is 21. In ARPA2, the number $N_p$ of nodes is 21 and the number $L_p$ of links is 26. For both the topologies, the number $W$ of wavelengths at each link is 8 and the number of FSs $M$ per wavelength is set to 8. The amount $C$ of node computing resources is set to 64 slots at each node. We assume that VON requests arrive at the network according to Poisson process with rate $\lambda$ [Requests/ms]. The utilization time of VON follows an exponential distribution with rate 1.0.

Finally, we denote $R_{\text{accept}}$ as the number of accepted VON requests and $R_{\text{reject}}$ as the number of rejected ones. Hence, the VON requests rejection rate is defined as follows:

$$\frac{R_{\text{reject}}}{R_{\text{reject}} + R_{\text{accept}}} \quad (7)$$
5.1. Effect on the Overall Rejection Rate

In this subsection, we investigate the impact of path splitting on the overall rejection rate. Figure 7 shows the overall rejection rate against the arrival rate $\lambda$ for the NSFNET topology. In this figure, we compare the performance of the proposed four node selection schemes with the performance of NoPS. From this figure, we find that the overall rejection rate for all schemes becomes large as the arrival rate increases. In addition, it is shown that the rejection rate for LLNL is the smallest regardless of the arrival rate. This is because LLNL chooses the node with the largest amount of available computing resources and FSs. Hence, a larger number of VON requests can be embedded. Moreover, the overall rejection rate of NoPS is the largest. These results show that path splitting is effective in reducing the overall rejection rate.

5.2. Impact of the arrival rate on the average number of path splits

Next, we investigate the impact of the arrival rate $\lambda$ on the average number of path splits. Figure 8 shows the average number of path splits against the arrival rate for the proposed four schemes. From this figure, we can see that the number of path splits increases as the arrival rate increases for all schemes. This is because VON requests are rejected frequently as the arrival rate increases. In addition, the number of path splits is the smallest for the LLL scheme and the largest for the random scheme. This is because the random scheme does not consider the amount of available computing resources at nodes and the number of available FSs at links when constructing a VON. In contrast, the LLL scheme constructs a VON such that links with the most available FSs are used. Therefore, the number of path splits is the smallest for LLL because FSs are allocated more effectively.
5.3. Impact of the maximum amount of link resources per request

Finally, we investigate how the maximum number of FSs per request affects the overall rejection rate for the proposed four schemes and the scheme NoPS. Figure 9 illustrates the case of NSFNET. We set the maximum number of FSs per link is set to 32, 16 and 10 for case 1, case 2, and case 3, respectively. Moreover, we consider the case where the arrival rate is 60 requests per millisecond. Figure 9 shows the overall rejection rate for all four schemes in cases 1, 2 and 3. From this figure, we find that the overall rejection rate in case 1 is the largest and is the smallest in case 3 for all schemes. This is because in case 1, the maximum number of FSs per link is large. As a result, VON requests are rejected frequently because there are no available FSs at links. On the other hand, in case 3, the maximum number of FSs per link is small, hence increasing the probability for other VONs to be constructed. Furthermore, in overall, the LLNL shows the best performance in terms of overall rejection rate.

Similar simulation results were obtained for the case of ARPA2.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed path splitting for virtual network embedding in elastic optical networks and evaluated its effectiveness in NSFNET and ARPA2. In our proposed method, path splitting is performed when there are no available FSs at links in the primary path. From the numerical results, we found that path splitting is effective in reducing the overall rejection rate. Furthermore, the LLNL scheme in node mapping has shown the best overall performance. Finally, we believe that combining our path splitting method with other existing VONE over EON algorithms can reduce further the overall rejection rate.
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