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ABSTRACT

Pseudocode is an artificial and informal language that helps developers to create algorithms. In this paper a software tool is described, for translating the pseudocode into a particular source programming language. This tool compiles the pseudocode given by the user and translates it to a source programming language. The scope of the tool is very much wide as we can extend it to a universal programming tool which produces any of the specified programming language from a given pseudocode. Here we present the solution for translating the pseudocode to a programming language by using the different stages of a compiler.

KEYWORDS

Compiler, Pseudocode to Source code, Pseudocode Compiler, c, c++

1. INTRODUCTION

Generally a compiler is treated as a single unit that maps a source code into a semantically equivalent target program [1]. If we are analysing a little, we see that there are mainly two stages in this mapping: analysis and synthesis. The analysis phase splits up the source code into sub parts and imposes a grammatical structure on them. It then uses this grammatical structure to create an intermediate representation of the source code. If the analysis phase detects that the source code is either semantically unsound or syntactically weak, then it must provide informative messages to the user. The analysis phase collects information about the source code and stores it in the data structure called a symbol table, which is passed along with the intermediate representation to the synthesis phase. The synthesis phase constructs the target program from the intermediate code and the information from the symbol table [2], [3]. The synthesis phase is often called the back end and the analysis phase is the front end of the compiler.

Compilation process consists of a sequence of phases, each of which transforms one representation of the source code to another. Compilers have a machine-independent optimization phase between the front end and the back end. The purpose of this optimization phase is to perform transformations on the intermediate representation; so that the backend can produce a better target program than it would have otherwise produced from an un-optimized intermediate representation.
2. **Compiling Pseudocode**

Compiling the pseudocode consists of certain operations such as analysis and computations that is to be done on it.

2.1. **Lexical Analyser**

The pseudocode submitted by the user is analysed by the transition analysis algorithm in the Lexical Analyser module. This phase of the compiler detect the keywords, identifiers and tokens from the given input.

2.2. **Syntax Analyser**

The Syntax Analyser module generates the Context Free Grammar from the pseudocode given by the user. The grammar thus generated is then used for the creation of parse tree. The meaning of the grammar is obtained by the pre order traversal on the parse tree.

2.3. **Semantic Analyser**

Semantic Analyser gathers the type information and uses the information in the symbol table and syntax tree to check the source program for semantic consistency with the language definition.

2.4. **Intermediate Code Generator**

Intermediate code generator module generates the intermediate code of the pseudocode that is used for the translation of pseudocode to other languages.

2.5. **Intermediate Code Optimizer**

The intermediate code optimizer module optimizes the intermediate code generated in the previous module that is used for the translation of pseudocode to other languages.

2.6. **Code Generator**

In this module the user selects the required program in the user interface that is the available library file and the optimized intermediate code is mapped into the programming language selected by the user.

2.7. **Library File Manager**

The administrator maintains the library files of the target language and also manages the files in library package.

3. **Problem Statement**

3.1. **Interpret the Pseudocode**

The major task in translating the pseudocode is to identify and interpret the pseudocode given by the user. Each user has his own style of presentation, variation in using keywords or terms (E.g.: Sum, Add, etc. to find sum of two numbers), structure of sentence, etc. So initial task is make the tool capable of interpreting and identifying the right meaning of each line of the pseudocode.
3.2. Translate the Pseudocode into Programming Language

Next task involves the translation of the interpreted pseudocode into programming language. User can specify output in any of the available programming languages. So the tool must be able to support all the available programming language features (in this paper we are concentrating on C and C++ only). That is it must support the object oriented concepts, forms and so on.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1. Lexical Analysis

Lexical analysis or scanning is the first phase of our proposed tool. The lexical analyser takes the stream of characters and groups the characters into meaningful sequences called lexemes. The Lexical analyser produces tokens \{token-name, attribute-value\} for each lexemes. These tokens are passed on to the subsequent phases. In the token, the first component token-name is an abstract symbol that is used during syntax analysis, and the second component attribute-value points to an entry in the symbol table for this token. Information from the symbol-table entry is needed for semantic analysis and code generation. For example, suppose a source program contains the declare statement [1], [2].

\[
\text{Declare an integer variable called sum}\quad (1.1)
\]

The characters in this assignment could be grouped into the following lexemes and mapped into the following tokens passed on to the syntax analyser:

1. \textit{Declare a}, is a lexeme that would be mapped into a token (Declare, 59), where Declare is a keyword and 59 points to the symbol table entry for position.
2. \textit{Integer}, is a lexeme that would be mapped into a token (Integer, 112), where Integer is a keyword and 112 points to the symbol table entry for position.
3. \textit{Variable}, is a lexeme that would be mapped into a token (Variable, 179), where Variable is a keyword and 179 points to the symbol table entry for position.
4. \textit{Called}, is a lexeme that would be mapped into a token (Called, 340), where Called is a keyword and 340 points to the symbol table entry for position.
5. \textit{Sum}, is a lexeme that would be mapped into a token (sum, 740), where sum is an identifier and 740 points to the symbol table entry for position.

(Blanks separating the lexemes would be discarded by the lexical analyser.)

4.2. Syntax Analysis

Syntax analysis or parsing is the second phase of a compiler. The parser uses the first components of the tokens produced by the lexical analyser to create a tree-like intermediate representation that depicts the grammatical structure of the token stream. A typical representation is a syntax tree in which each interior node represents an operation and the children of the node represent the arguments of the operation [12], [13]. The syntax of programming language constructs can be specified by context-free grammars or BNF (Backus-Naur Form) notation; Grammars offer significant benefits for both language designers and compiler writers.

A grammar gives a precise, yet easy-to-understand, syntactic specification of a programming language. From certain classes of grammars, we can construct automatically an efficient parser that determines the syntactic structure of a source program. As a side benefit, the parser-construction process can reveal syntactic ambiguity and trouble spots that might have slipped
through the initial design phase of a language. The structure imparted to a language by a properly designed grammar is useful for translating source programs into correct object code and for detecting errors. A grammar allows a language to be evolved or developed iteratively, by adding new constructs to perform new tasks. These new constructs can be integrated more easily into an implementation that follows the grammatical structure of the language.

4.3. Context-Free Grammars

Grammars were introduced to systematically describe the syntax of programming language constructs like expressions and statements. Using a syntactic variable ‘Stmt’ to denote statements and variable ‘expr’ to denote expressions, in particular, the notion of derivations is very helpful for discussing the order in which productions are applied during parsing. The Formal Definition of a Context-Free Grammar (grammar for short) consists of terminals, non-terminals, a start symbol, and productions.

1. Terminals are the basic symbols from which strings are formed. The term "token name" is a synonym for "terminal" and frequently we will use the word "token" for terminal when it is clear that we are talking about just the token name. We assume that the terminals are the first components of the tokens output by the lexical analyser.

2. Non terminals are syntactic variables that denote sets of strings. The set of string denoted by non-terminals helps to define the language generated by the grammar. Non terminals impose a hierarchical structure on the language that is the key to syntax analysis and translation.

3. In a grammar, one nonterminal is distinguished as the start symbol, and the set of strings it denotes is the language generated by the grammar. Conventionally, the productions for the start symbol are listed first.

4. The productions of a grammar specify the manner in which the terminals and non-terminals can be combined to form strings. Each production consists of:

   a) A nonterminal called the head or left side of the production; this production defines some of the strings denoted by the head.

   b) The symbol \( \rightarrow \). Sometimes \( :: = \) has been used in place of the arrow.

   c) A body or right side consisting of zero or more terminals and non-terminals.

The Context Free Grammar generated from 1.1 by the Software tool is

\[
Stmt \rightarrow \text{declare_an} \ <\text{DataType}> \ \text{variable} \ \text{Called} \ <\text{Identifier}> \quad (1.2)
\]

\[
\text{DataType} \rightarrow \text{integer}
\]

\[
\text{Identifier} \rightarrow \text{sum}
\]

4.4. Semantic Analysis

The semantic analyser uses the syntax tree and the information in the symbol table to check the source program for semantic consistency with the language definition. It also gathers type information and saves it in either the syntax tree or the symbol table, for subsequent use during intermediate-code generation. An important part of semantic analysis is type checking, where the
compiler checks that each operator has matching operands. For example, many programming language definitions require an array index to be an integer; the compiler must report an error if a floating-point number is used to index an array. The language specification may permit some type conversions called coercions. For example, a binary arithmetic operator may be applied to either a pair of integers or to a pair of floating-point numbers. If the operator is applied to a floating-point number and an integer, the compiler may convert or coerce the integer into a floating-point number. The Parse Tree generated from 1.2 by the Software tool is by array representation as follows,

The preorder traversal:

\[ Stmt \rightarrow \text{declare an } \text{DataType integer variable Called Identifier sum} \quad (1.3) \]

### 4.5. Intermediate Code Generation

In the process of translating a source program into target code, a compiler may construct one or more intermediate representations, which can have a variety of forms. Syntax trees are a form of intermediate representation; they are commonly used during syntax and semantic analysis. After syntax and semantic analysis of the source program, many compilers generate an explicit low-level or machine-like intermediate representation, which we can think of as a program for an abstract machine [10], [11]. This intermediate representation should have two important properties: it should be easy to produce and it should be easy to translate into the target machine. In our software tool intermediate code is generated to convert the code to various languages from single pseudocode.

The intermediate code for 1.3 is as follows

\[ 149 \text{i780 } 300o \quad (1.4) \]

### 4.6. Code Generation

The code generator takes as input an intermediate representation of the source program and maps it into the target language. If the target language is machine Code, registers or memory locations are selected for each of the variables used by the program. Then, the intermediate instructions are translated into sequences of machine instructions that perform the same task.

The resultant program code for 1.4 is as follows:

\[ \text{int sum; } \quad (1.5) \]

### 5. SCHEMA DESCRIPTION

#### 5.1. Input Design

This is a process of converting user inputs into computer based formats. The data is fed into system using simple interactive forms. The forms have been supplied with messages so that user can enter data without facing any difficulty. The data is validated wherever it requires in the project. This ensures that only the correct data have been incorporated into the system. It also includes determining the recording media methods of input, speed of capture and entry into the system. The input design or user interface design is very important for any application. The interface design defines how the software communicates with in itself, to system that interpreted with it and with humans who use.
The main objectives that guide input design are as follows:

*User friendly code editor*- Providing line numbers and shaded graphical rows to easily identify each line of code.

*Arise that lead to processing delays*- Input is designed so that it does not lead to bottlenecks and thus avoid processing delays.

*Dynamic check for errors in data*- Errors in data can lead to delays. Input design should be such that the data being entered should be free from error to the maximum possible limit.

The proposed software tool consists of several modules which are used to process the input given by the user. Fig (1) consists of the system environment.

*Avoided extra steps*- More the number of steps more is the chance of an error. Thus the number of steps is kept to a minimum possible.

*Kept the process simple*- The process should be kept as simple as possible to avoid errors.
5.2. Output Design

A quality output is one, which meets the requirements of the end user and presents the information clearly. In the output design, it is determined how the information is to be displayed for immediate need and also the hard copy output. The output design should be understandable to the user and it must offer great convenience. The output of the proposed software tool is designed as opening the text file containing the translated code.

The main objectives that guide the output design are as follows:

(a) User can copy the code and run it in any of the IDE available.

(b) Since the output is written in a standard text file, the user can directly call the file in the host program.

(c) User can add some more code to the existing output and edit it easily.

5.3. Data Structures Used

5.3.1. Data Bank, Token and Token ID

Here a table with all the tokens and their ID codes used in lexical analysis are tabulated. These tokens and their IDs are stored using Hash Table while implementing.

5.3.2. Library File, for a particular Programming language:

This is the data in the library file stored in the Library in the software tool. The file consists of all the keywords and header files in the language.

For example:

Library File: For ‘C’:

It includes the data in the library file stored in the Library of the software tool for all the keywords and header files in the language ‘C’.

6. EXPERIMENT ANALYSIS

![Graph](image.png)

Figure 1. Comparison of Final Lines of Code (FLOC) and Lines of code (LOC)
Analysis: The graphs are plotted with the Lines of Code (LOC) against the number of experiments. From the plots, it is clear that the initial LOC of the pseudocode given by the user is reduced proportionally in the optimized intermediate generated codes (OILOC). Then the final LOC of the generated code is comparatively larger in proportion of the LOC of the pseudocode (see fig. 1). This measure indicates the efficiency of the tool in the generation of the code of the specified programming language. This measure depends on the efficiency and compatibility of the new developed tool.

Figure 2. Comparison of Lines of Code (LOC) and Optimized Intermediate Lines of Code (LOC)

7. CONCLUSIONS

Our software tool helps the beginners in programming with user friendly environment. They can easily build a source code in a user specified language from a pseudocode without considering the factor of knowledge about the syntax of that particular source language. A beginner level programmer familiar with pseudocode can implement his logic in any particular language with the help of this translator tool. The main advantage of this tool is that, user can build program code in any language from a single pseudocode. For a beginner in programming, it is difficult to learn the syntax of a particular language at the very first time. The user can implement his logic in a pseudocode and the pseudocode required for this software tool requires simple syntax. A formulated pseudocode is simple to be generated by a beginner. Then this pseudocode is simply submitted to the text area in our tool. Then specify the language of the output required. Then after processing he will get the resultant programming code in a file, which is much simpler with user friendly interface. Then the resultant code can be executed in its programming platform. The library files in the software tool can be manipulated to add more syntaxes into the database. Future versions can be built with giving support to more languages. We can develop this software tool to a universal programming tool, which can be used to build programming code in any of the programming language, from simple, single pseudocode generated by the user. It reduces the user’s overhead to be known about the syntax of various languages.
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