

ON THE COVERING RADIUS OF CODES OVER Z_6

P. Chella Pandian

Department of Mathematics Srimad Andavan Arts and Science College (Autonomous)
Tiruchirappalli - 620 005, Tamilnadu, India.

ABSTRACT

In this correspondence, we give lower and upper bounds on the covering radius of codes over the finite ring Z_6 with respect to different distances such as Hamming, Lee, Euclidean and Chinese Euclidean. We also determine the covering radius of various Block Repetition Codes over Z_6 .

KEYWORDS

Covering radius, Codes over finite rings, Hamming codes. (2010) Mathematical Subject Classification: 94B25, 94B05, 11H31, 11H71.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, there are many researchers doing research on code over finite rings. There has much interest in codes over finite rings in recent years, especially the rings Z_{2k} where $2k$ denotes the ring of integers modulo $2k$. In particular, codes over Z_4 have been widely studied [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Good binary linear and non-linear codes can be obtained from codes over Z_4 via the gray map. The covering radius of binary linear codes was studied [6, 7]. Recently the covering radius of codes over Z_4 has been investigated with respect to Lee, Euclidean distances [1, 10] and Chinese Euclidean distance [8]. In 1999, Sole et al gave many upper and lower bounds on the covering radius of a code over Z_4 with different distances. In the recent paper, the covering radius of some codes over Z_6 have been investigated. In this correspondence, we consider the finite ring is the set Z_6 of integers modulo 6.

A linear code C of length n over Z_6 is an additive subgroup of Z_6^n . An element of C is called a codeword of C and a generator matrix of C is a matrix whose rows generate C . The Hamming weight $w_H(x)$ of a vector x in Z_6^n is the number of non-zero coordinates.

In [11], the Lee weight for a codeword $x=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in Z_6^n$ is defined by

$$w_L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{ |x_i| \vee 6 - |x_i| \}.$$

The Lee distance between the codeword's x and $y \in Z_6^n$ is defined as $d_L(x, y) = w_L(x - y)$.

The Euclidean weight is given by the relation

$$w_E(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{ |x_i|^2 |6 - |x_i|^2\}$$

and Euclidean distance between the codeword's x and $y \in Z_6^n$ is defined as $d_E(x,y) = w_E(x-y)$.

The Chinese Euclidean weight $w_{CE}(x)$ of a vector $x \in Z_6^n$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left| 2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi x_i}{6}\right) \right| \right\}$$

and the Chinese Euclidean distance between the code words x and $y \in Z_6^n$ is defined as $d_{CE}(x,y) = w_{CE}(x-y)$.

The Hamming, Lee, Euclidean and Chinese Euclidean distances $d_H(x,y)$, $d_L(x,y)$, $d_E(x,y)$ and $d_{CE}(x,y)$ between two vectors x and y are $w_H(x-y)$, $w_L(x-y)$, $w_E(x-y)$ and $w_{CE}(x-y)$ respectively. The minimum Hamming, Lee, Euclidean and Chinese Euclidean weights d_H , d_L , d_E and d_{CE} of C are the smallest Hamming, Lee, Euclidean and Chinese Euclidean weights among all non-zero codewords of C respectively.

A linear Gray map ϕ from $Z_6^n \rightarrow Z_2^n \times Z_3^n$ is the coordinates-wise extension of the function from Z_6 to $Z_2 \times Z_3$ defined by $0 \rightarrow (0, 0)$, $1 \rightarrow (1, 1)$, $2 \rightarrow (0, 2)$,

$3 \rightarrow (1, 0)$, $4 \rightarrow (0, 1)$ and $5 \rightarrow (1, 2)$. The image ϕ , of a linear code C over Z_6 of length n by the Gray map, is a mixed binary/ternary code of length $2n$ [11].

Two codes are said to be equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates or changing the signs of certain coordinates or multiplying non-zero element in a fixed column. Codes differing by only a permutation of coordinates are called permutation-equivalent.

Any linear code C over Z_6 is permutation-equivalent to a code with generator matrix G (the rows of G generate C) of the form

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k_1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} & A_{1,4} \\ 0 & 2I_{k_2} & 2A_{2,3} & 2A_{2,4} \\ 0 & 0 & 3I_{k_3} & 3A_{3,4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $A_{(i,j)}$ are matrices with entries 0 or 1 for $i > 1$ and I_k is the identity matrix of order k . Such a code is said to have rank $\{1^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}, 3^{k_3}\}$ or simply rank $\{k_1, k_2, k_3\}$ and $|C| = 6^{k_1} 3^{k_2} 2^{k_3}$. If $k_2 = k_3 = 0$, then the rank of C is $\{k_1, 0, 0\}$ or simply $k_1 = k$.

In this paper, we define the covering radius of codes over Z_6 with respect to different distances. Section 2 contains basic results for the covering radius of codes over Z_6 .

Determines the covering radius of different types of block repetition codes are given in Section 3.

2. COVERING RADIUS OF CODES

Let d be the general distance out of various possible distances such as Hamming, Lee, Euclidean and Chinese Euclidean. The covering radius of a code C over Z_6 with respect to a general distances d is given by $r_d(C) = \max_{u \in Z_6^n} \left\{ \min_{c \in C} \{d(u, c)\} \right\}$

The following result of Mattson [6] is useful for computing covering radius of codes over rings generalized easily from codes over finite fields.

Proposition 2. 1. If C_0 and C_1 are codes over Z_6 generated by matrices G_0 and G_1 respectively and if C is the code generated by $G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G_1 \\ G_0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ then $r_d(C) \leq r_d(C_0) + r_d(C_1)$ and the covering radius of D (concatenation of C_0 and C_1) satisfy the following $r_d(D) \geq r_d(C_0) + r_d(C_1)$, for all distances d over Z_6 .

3. COVERING RADIUS OF REPETITION CODES

A q -ary repetition code C over a finite field $F_q = \{\alpha_0 = 0, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{q-1}\}$ is an $[n, 1, n]$ code $C = \{\overline{\alpha} | \alpha \in F_q\}$, where $\overline{\alpha} = (\alpha, \alpha, \dots, \alpha)$. The covering radius is $\left\lceil \frac{n(q-1)}{q} \right\rceil$ [9].

Using this, it can be seen easily that the covering radius of block of size n repetition code $[n(q-1), 1, n(q-1)]$ generated by

$G = \left[\overbrace{11 \dots 1}^n \overbrace{\alpha_2 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_2}^n \overbrace{\alpha_3 \alpha_3 \dots \alpha_3}^n \dots \overbrace{\alpha_{q-1} \alpha_{q-1} \dots \alpha_{q-1}}^n \right]$ is $\left\lceil \frac{n(q-1)^2}{q} \right\rceil$ since it will be equivalent to a repetition code of length $(q-1)n$.

Consider the repetition code over Z_6 . There are two types of repetition codes of length n viz.

1. unit repetition code $C_\beta : [n, 1, n, n, n, n]$ generated by $G_\beta = [\overbrace{11 \dots 1}^n]$.
2. zero repetition code $C_\alpha : (n, 2, n, 3n, 9n, 4n)$ generated by $G_\beta = [\overbrace{33 \dots 3}^n]$ and $C_\gamma : (n, 3, n, 2n, 4n, 3n)$ generated by $G_\beta = [\overbrace{2424 \dots 24}^n]$ or $[\overbrace{4242 \dots 42}^n]$.

The code generated by $[22 \dots 2]$ and $[44 \dots 4]$ are equivalent to the code C_γ .

The following result determines the covering radius with respect to the Lee distance, Euclidean distance and Chinese Euclidean distance.

Theorem 3. 1.

$$r_L(C_\alpha) = \frac{3n}{2}, \quad n \leq r_L(C_\gamma) \leq \frac{5n}{3}, \quad r_L(C_\beta) = \frac{3n}{2}.$$

Proof. By the definition $r_L(C_\alpha) = \max_{x \in Z_6^n} \left\{ \min_{c \in C} \{d(x, c)\} \right\}$. Let $x = \overbrace{33\dots 3}^{\frac{n}{2}} \overbrace{00\dots 0}^{\frac{n}{2}} \in Z_6^n$. The code $C_\alpha = \{\alpha(33\dots 3) \mid \alpha \in Z_6^n\}$, that is $C_\alpha = \{00\dots 0, 33\dots 3\}$, generated by $[33\dots 3]$ is an $(n, 2, n)$ code.

Then $d_L(x, 00\dots 0) = wt_L(\overbrace{33\dots 3}^{\frac{n}{2}} \overbrace{00\dots 0}^{\frac{n}{2}} - 00\dots 0) = \frac{n}{2} wt_L(3) = \frac{3n}{2}$, since the Lee weight of 3 is 3 and $d_L(x, 33\dots 3) = \frac{3n}{2}$. Therefore, $d_L(x, C_\alpha) = \min\left\{\frac{3n}{2}, \frac{3n}{2}\right\} = \frac{3n}{2}$ and hence $r_L(C_\alpha) \geq \frac{3n}{2}$. Let x

be any word in Z_6^n . Let us take x has ω_0 coordinates as 0's, ω_1 coordinates as 1's, ω_2 coordinates as 2's, ω_3 coordinates as 3's, ω_4 coordinates as 4's and ω_5 coordinates as 5's, then $\omega_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4 + \omega_5 = n$. Since $C_\alpha = \{00\dots 0, 33\dots 3\}$ and Lee weight of 0 is 0, 1, 5 is 1, 2, 4 is 2 and 3 is 3, $d_L(x, 00\dots 0) = n - \omega_0 + \omega_2 + 2\omega_3 + \omega_4$ and $d_L(x, 33\dots 3) = n - \omega_3 + 2\omega_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_5$.

Thus $d_L(x, C_\alpha) = \min\{n - \omega_0 + \omega_2 + 2\omega_3 + \omega_4, n - \omega_3 + 2\omega_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_5\}$. Since the minimum of $\{n - \omega_0 + \omega_2 + 2\omega_3 + \omega_4, n - \omega_3 + 2\omega_0 + \omega_1 + \omega_5\}$ is less than or equal to its average, implies $d_L(x, C_\alpha) \leq n + \frac{n}{2} = \frac{3n}{2}$ and $r_L(C_\alpha) \leq \frac{3n}{2}$. Hence, $r_L(C_\alpha) = \frac{3n}{2}$. The correspondent arguments of γ type, so, $n \leq r_L(C_\alpha) \leq \frac{5n}{2}$. The covering radius $r_L(C_\beta) \leq \frac{3n}{2}$. To show that $r_L(C_\beta) \geq \frac{3n}{2}$.

Let $x = \overbrace{00\dots 0}^t \overbrace{11\dots 1}^t \overbrace{22\dots 2}^t \overbrace{33\dots 3}^t \overbrace{44\dots 4}^t \overbrace{55\dots 5}^{n-5t} \in Z_6^n$, where $t = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor$, then

$$d_L(x, 00\dots 0) = n+3t, \quad d_L(x, 11\dots 1) = 2n-3t, \quad d_L(x, 22\dots 2) = 3n-9t, \quad d_L(x, 33\dots 3) = 2n-2t,$$

$d_L(x, 44\dots 4) = n+3t$ and $d_L(x, 55\dots 5) = 9t$. Therefore $r_L(C_\beta) \geq \min\{n+3t, 2n-3t, 3n-9t, 9t\} \geq \frac{3n}{2}$ and

$$r_L(C_\beta) = \frac{3n}{2}.$$

The above similar arguments can be used to compute the covering radius of Euclidean weight and Chinese Euclidean weight for the α type, β type and γ type codes over Z_6 (Euclidean weight of Z_6 of 0 is 0, 1 and 5 are 1, 2 and 4 are 4 and 3 is 9 and Chinese Euclidean weight of Z_6 of 0 is 0, 1 and 5 are 1, 2 and 4 are 3 and 3 is 4). We have the following theorem

Theorem 3. 2.

$$r_E(C_\alpha) = \frac{9n}{2}, \quad 2n \leq r_E(C_\gamma) \leq \frac{11n}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad r_E(C_\beta) = \frac{19n}{6}.$$

Theorem 3. 3.

$$r_{CE}(C_\alpha) = 2n, \quad \frac{3n}{2} \leq r_{CE}(C_\gamma) \leq 2n \quad \text{and} \quad r_{CE}(C_\beta) = 2n.$$

In order to determine the covering radius of Z_6 two blocks each of size n repetition code

BRep^{2n} : $[2n, 1, n, 2n, 4n, n]$ generated by $G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{33 \cdots 3}^n]$. We have following theorem

Theorem 3. 4.

Let C be a code over Z_6 generated by the matrix $G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{33 \cdots 3}^n]$, then $r_L(\text{BRep}^{2n}) = 3n$, $r_E(\{\text{BRep}^{2n}\}) = \frac{46n}{6}$ and $r_{CE}(\text{BRep}^{2n}) = 4n$.

Proof.

By Theorem 3.1, the Proposition 2.1 and the given generator matrix G , we get

$$r_L(\{\text{BRep}^{2n}\}) \geq 3n \tag{3.1}$$

For the reverse inequality, let $x=(v|w) \in Z_6^{2n}$ and let us take in v , 0 appears r_0 times, 1 appears r_1 times, 2 appears r_2 times, 3 appears r_3 times, 4 appears r_4 times and 5 appears r_5 times and in w , 0 appears s_0 times, 1 appears s_1 times, 2 appears s_2 times, 3 appears s_3 times, 4 appears s_4 times and 5 appears s_5 times with $\sum_{i=0}^5 r_i = n = \sum_{i=0}^5 s_i$. Then $d_L(x, c_0) = 2n - r_0 + r_2 + 2r_3 + r_4 - s_0 + s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4$, $d_L(x, c_1) = 2n - r_1 + r_3 + 2r_4 + r_5 - s_3 + 2s_0 + s_1 + s_5$, $d_L(x, c_2) = 2n - r_2 + r_0 + r_4 + 2r_5 - s_0 + s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4$, $d_L(x, c_3) = 2n - r_3 + 2r_0 + r_1 + r_5 - s_3 + 2s_0 + s_1 + s_5$, $d_L(x, c_4) = 2n - r_4 + r_0 + 2r_1 + r_2 - s_0 + s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4$ and $d_L(x, c_5) = 2n - r_5 + r_1 + 2r_2 + r_3 - s_3 + 2s_0 + s_1 + s_5$.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(x, \text{BRep}^{2n}) &= \min\{d_L(x, c_0), d_L(x, c_1), d_L(x, c_2), d_L(x, c_3), d_L(x, c_4), d_L(x, c_5)\} \\ &\leq \{2n - r_0 + r_2 + 2r_3 + r_4 - s_0 + s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4 + 2n - r_1 + r_3 + 2r_4 + r_5 - s_3 + 2s_0 + s_1 + s_5 + \\ &\quad 2n - r_2 + r_0 + r_4 + 2r_5 - s_0 + s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4 + 2n - r_3 + 2r_0 + r_1 + r_5 - s_3 + 2s_0 + s_1 + s_5 + \\ &\quad 2n - r_4 + r_0 + 2r_1 + r_2 - s_0 + s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4 + 2n - r_5 + r_1 + 2r_2 + r_3 - s_3 + 2s_0 + s_1 + s_5\} / 6. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } d_L(x, \text{BRep}^{2n}) \leq 3n. \quad \text{Thus } r_L(\{\text{BRep}^{2n}\}) \leq 3n \tag{3.2}$$

By the Equations (3.1) and (3.2), so $r_L(\text{BRep}^{2n}) = 3n$.

Similarly, $r_E(\text{BRep}^{2n}) = \frac{46n}{6}$ and $r_{CE}(\text{BRep}^{2n}) = 4n$.

One can also define a Z_6 codes of three blocks each of size n repetition code $BRep^{3n}: [3n,$

$1, 2n, 4n, 8n, 6n]$ generated by $G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{22 \cdots 2}^n \overbrace{233 \cdots 3}^n]$. The proof of the theorem 3. 5 and 3. 6 is similar to the theorem 3. 4, we can state following

Theorem 3. 5.

Let C be a code over Z_6 generated by the matrix $G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{22 \cdots 2}^n \overbrace{233 \cdots 3}^n]$. then

1. $4n \leq r_L(BRep^{3n}) \leq \frac{9n}{2}$,
2. $\frac{29n}{3} \leq r_E(BRep^{3n}) \leq \frac{34n}{3}$ and
3. $\frac{11n}{2} \leq r_{CE}(BRep^{3n}) \leq \frac{37n}{6}$.

In Z_6 , the four blocks each of size n repetition code $BRep^{4n}: [4n, 1, 2n, 6n, 12n, 8n]$

generated by $G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{22 \cdots 2}^n \overbrace{233 \cdots 3}^n \overbrace{344 \cdots 4}^n]$. We have following theorem

Theorem 3. 6.

Let C be a code over Z_6 generated by the matrix $G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{22 \cdots 2}^n \overbrace{233 \cdots 3}^n \overbrace{344 \cdots 4}^n]$, then

1. $5n \leq r_L(BRep^{4n}) \leq \frac{19n}{3}$,
2. $\frac{38n}{3} \leq r_E(BRep^{4n}) \leq \frac{45n}{3}$ and
3. $7n \leq r_{CE}(BRep^{4n}) \leq \frac{49n}{6}$.

In order to determine the covering radius of Z_6 codes of the five blocks each of size n repetition code $BRep^{5n}: [5n, 1, 3n, 8n, 16n, 12n]$ generated by

$$G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{22 \cdots 2}^n \overbrace{233 \cdots 3}^n \overbrace{344 \cdots 4}^n \overbrace{455 \cdots 5}^n].$$

We have

Theorem 3. 7.

Let C be a code generated by the matrix $G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^n \overbrace{22 \cdots 2}^n \overbrace{233 \cdots 3}^n \overbrace{344 \cdots 4}^n \overbrace{455 \cdots 5}^n]$.

Then,

1. $\frac{13n}{2} \leq r_L(BRep^{5n}) \leq \frac{47n}{6}$,
2. $\frac{89n}{6} \leq r_E(BRep^{5n}) \leq \frac{109n}{6}$ and
3. $9n \leq r_{CE}(BRep^{5n}) \leq \frac{55n}{6}$.

The two different length of Block repetition code of size m and n is $BRep^{m+n}$: $[m+n, 1, m, \min\{2m, m+2n\}, \min\{4m, 4m+6n\}, \min\{3m, 3m+2n\}]$ generated by

$$G = [\overbrace{11 \dots 1}^m \overbrace{33 \dots 3}^n].$$

We have the following theorem

Theorem 3.8.

- $\frac{3m+3n}{2} \leq r_L(BRep^{m+n}) \leq \frac{3m+4n}{2}$
- $r_E(BRep^{m+n}) = \frac{19m+27n}{6}$ and
- $r_{CE}(BRep^{m+n}) = 2m+2n$.

Proof.

By theorem 3.1 and by the above generator matrix

$$r_L(BRep^{m+n}) \geq \frac{3m}{2} + \frac{3n}{2} = \frac{3m+3n}{2} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $z = (x | y) \in Z_6^{m+n}$ where $x \in Z_6^m$ and $y \in Z_6^n$. Let us take x has m_0 times 0 as coordinates, m_1 times 1 as coordinates, m_2 times 2 as coordinates m_3 times 3 as coordinates

m_4 times 4 as coordinates and m_5 times 5 as coordinates and y has n_0 times 0 as coordinates, n_1 times 1 as coordinates, n_2 times 2 as coordinates n_3 times 3 as coordinates

n_4 times 4 as coordinates and n_5 times 5 as coordinates such that $\sum_{i=0}^5 m_i = m$ and

$\sum_{i=0}^5 n_i = n$. Then by the above Matrix, the code is $C = \{c_0 = (00 \dots 0 00 \dots 0), c_1 = (11 \dots 1 3 3 \dots 3), c_2 = (2 2 \dots 2 0 \dots 0), c_3 = (3 3 \dots 3 3 3 \dots 3), c_4 = (4 4 \dots 4 0 0 \dots 0), c_5 = (5 5 \dots 5 3 3 \dots 3)\}$.

$$d_L(z, c_0) = wt_L(z - c_0) = wt_L((x|y) - c_0) = wt_L(x - c_0) + wt_L(y - c_0) = m_1 + 2m_2 + 3m_3 + 4m_4 + 5m_5 + n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 + 4n_4 + 5n_5,$$

since the

Lee weight of 2, 4 is 2 and 3 is 3 and 1, 5 is 1.

Thus $d_L(z, c_0) = m+n-m_0+m_2+2m_3+m_4-n_0+n_2+2n_3+n_4$.

Similarly, $d_L(z, c_1) = m+n-m_1+m_3+2m_4+m_5-n_3+2n_0+n_1+2n_5$,

$$d_L(z, c_2) = m+n-m_2+m_0+1m_4+2m_5-n_0+n_2+2n_3+n_4,$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(z, c_3) &= m+n-m_3+2m_0+m_1+2m_5 -n_3+2n_0+n_1+2n_5, \\ d_L(z, c_4) &= m+n-m_4+m_0+2m_1+m_2-n_0+n_2+2n_3+n_4 \text{ and} \\ d_L(z, c_5) &= m+n-m_5+m_1+2m_2+m_3 -n_3+2n_0+n_1+2n_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(z, \text{BRep}^{m+n}) &\leq \{d_L(z, c_0)+d_L(z, c_1)+d_L(z, c_2)+d_L(z, c_3)+d_L(z, c_4)+d_L(z, c_5)\}/6 \\ &= \{m+n-m_0+m_2+2m_3+m_4-n_0+n_2+2n_3+n_4 + m+n-m_1+m_3+2m_4+ \\ &\quad m_5. n_3+2n_0+n_1+2n_5 + m+n-m_2+m_0+1m_4+2m_5 -n_0+n_2+2n_3+ \\ &\quad n_4 +m+n-m_3+2m_0+m_1+2m_5 -n_3+2n_0+n_1+2n_5 + m+n-m_4+m_0+ \\ &\quad 2m_1+m_2- n_0+n_2+2n_3+n_4 +m+n-m_5+m_1+2m_2+m_3 -n_3+2n_0+n_1+2n_5\}/6 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_L(z, \text{BRep}^{m+n}) &= m+n+\{3m+3n+3n_5\}/6 \\ &= m+n+3m+3n+3n/6, \text{ since } n_5 \leq n \end{aligned}$$

$$d_L(z, \text{BRep}^{m+n}) = \{3m+4n\}/2.$$

$$\text{Thus } r_L(\text{BRep}^{m+n}) \leq \{3m+4n\}/2 \tag{3.4}$$

From equation (3.3) and (3.4), $\{3m+3n\}/2 \leq r_L(\text{BRep}^{m+n}) \leq \{3m+4n\}/2$. Similar arguments of above, we have $r_E(\text{BRep}^{m+n}) = \{19m+27n\}/6$ and $r_{CE}(\text{BRep}^{m+n}) = 2m+2n$.

In a three different Block repetition code of length is m, n and o is BRep^{m+n+o} : $[m+n+o, 1, 2m, \min\{4m, 2m+2n+2o\}, \min\{8m, 8m+4n+4o\}, \min\{6m, 6m+n+o\}]$ generated by

$$G = [\overbrace{11\dots1}^m \overbrace{22\dots2}^n \overbrace{33\dots3}^o]. \text{ We have the following theorem}$$

Theorem 3.9.

- $\{m+2n+2o\}/2 \leq r_L(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o}) \leq \{9m+10n+9o\}/6,$
- $\{13m+9n+12o\}/6 \leq r_E(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o}) \leq \{13m+16n+15o\}/6$ and
- $2m+\{3n\}/2+2o \leq r_{CE}(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o}) \leq 2m+2n+2o.$

Four different Block repetition code of length are m, n, o and p: $\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p}$: $[m+n+o+p, 1, 2m, \min\{6m, 2m+2n+2o+2p\}, \min\{12m, 12m+2n+2o+2p\}, \min\{8m, 3m+2n+2o+2p\}]$ generated by

$$G = [\overbrace{11\dots1}^m \overbrace{22\dots2}^n \overbrace{33\dots3}^o \overbrace{44\dots4}^p]. \text{ We have the following}$$

Theorem 3.10.

- $m+n+o+p \leq r_L(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p}) \leq \{9m+10n+9o+10p\}/6,$
- $\{13m+12n+9o+12p\}/6 \leq r_E(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p}) \leq \{13m+16n+15o+16p\}/6$ and
- $2m+\{3n\}/2+2o+\{3p\}/2 \leq r_{CE}(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p}) \leq 2m+\{13n\}/6+2o+2p.$

The five different Block repetition code of length of size are m, n, o, p and q, $\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p+q}$: $[m+n+o+p+q, 1, 3m, \min\{8m, 2m+2n+2o+2p+q\}, \min\{16m, 4m+4n+4o+4p+3q\}, \min\{12m, 3m+3n+3o+2p+1q\}]$ generated by

$$G = [\overbrace{11 \cdots 1}^m \overbrace{22 \cdots 2}^n \overbrace{33 \cdots 3}^o \overbrace{44 \cdots 4}^p \overbrace{55 \cdots 5}^q] . \text{ We have}$$

Theorem 3.11.

- $\{3m+2n+3o+2p+3q\}/2 \leq r_L(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p+q}) \leq \{9m+10n+9o+10p+9q\}/6,$
- $\{19m+12n+27o+12p+19q\}/6 \leq r_E(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p+q}) \leq \{19m+22n+27o+22p+19q\}/6$
- and
- $\{4m+3n+4o+3p+4q\}/2 \leq r_{CE}(\text{BRep}^{m+n+o+p+q}) \leq 2m+2n+2o+2p+2q.$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author was supported by a grant (F. No: 4-4/2014-15(MRP-SEM/UGC-SERO, Nov.2014)) for the University Grants Commission, South Eastern Regional office, Hyderabad - 500 001.

REFERENCES

[1] Aoki T., Gaborit P., Harada M., Ozeki M., Sol'e P.: On the covering radius of Z_4 codes and their lattices. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 2162-2168 (1999).

[2] Bhandari M.C., Gupta M.K., Lal A. K.: On Z_4 Simplex codes and their gray images. *Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, AAECC-13, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1719*, 170-180 (1999).

[3] Bonnecaze A., Sol'e P., Calderbank A. R.: Quaternary quadratic residue codes and unimodular lattices. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 41, 366-377 (1995).

[4] Bonnecaze A., Sol'e P., Bachoc C., Mourrain B.: Type II codes over Z_4 . *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*,43, 969-976 (1997).

[5] Bonnecaze A., Udaya P.: Cyclic Codes and Self-Dual Codes over $F_2 + uF_2$. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45(4), 1250-1254 (1999).

[6] Cohen G.D., Karpovsky M. G., Mattson H. F., Schatz J. R.: Covering radius-Survey and recent results. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol.31, no.3, pp.328-343 (1985).

[7] Cohen C., Lobstein A., Sloane N. J. A.: Further Results on the Covering Radius of Codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol.32, no.5, 1986, pp.680-694, (1997).

[8] Chella Pandian P., Durairajan C.: On the Covering Radius of Codes Over Z_4 with Chinese Euclidean Weight. *Journal on Information Theory*, Vol.4, No.4, October 2015.

[9] Durairajan C.: On Covering Codes and Covering Radius of Some Optimal Codes. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, IIT Kanpur, 1996.

[10] Gupta M.K., Durairajan C.: On the Covering Radius of some Modular Codes. *Journal of Advances in Mathematics of Computations*, 8(2)}, 9, 2014.

[11] Gupta M.K., David G. Glynn, Aaron Gulliver T.: On Senary Simplex Codes. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*.