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ABSTRACT

Game Development is one of the most important emerging fields in software engineering era. Game addiction is the nowadays disease which is combined with playing computer and videogames. Shame is a negative feeling about self evaluation as well as guilt that is considered as a negative evaluation of the transgressing behaviour, both are associated with adaptive and concealing responses. Sentiment analysis demonstrates a huge progression towards the understanding of web users’ opinions. In this paper, the sentiments of game developers are examined to measure their guilt’s emotions when working in this career. The sentiment analysis model is implemented through the following steps: sentiment collector, sentiment pre-processing, and then machine learning methods were used. The model classifies sentiments into guilt or no guilt and is trained with 1000 Reddit website sentiment. Results have shown that Support Vector Machine (SVM) approach is more accurate in comparison to Naïve Bayes (NV) and Decision Tree.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ethics is the study of value concepts such as ‘bad,’ ‘good,’ ‘ought,’ ‘right,’ ‘wrong,’ put on into actions linked to group standards and norms. Hence, it is contended with many issues essential to practical decision-making (Veatch, 1977). Computer software systems stay at the centre of modern decision making, counting data/information storage and manipulation, data availability, and ‘alternatives’ choice and formulation (Schmoldt and Rauscher, 1994). The ubiquity of software systems in every aspect requires that the created environment should be critically investigated as they are developed and deployed as well. A range of artificial intelligence (AI) approaches has been proposed to represent different codes of ethics in environmental decision systems (Thomson, 1997).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) empowers modern information technologies and the advent of machines and have already intensely impacted the world of work in the 21st century. Computers, algorithms and software actually streamline everyday tasks, and it is difficult to conceive how tasks could be accomplished without their use. The name behind the idea of AI is John McCarthy, who started his research in 1955 and suggested that each aspect of learning and any other domain of intelligence can specifically be defined in details then simulated by a machine. Therefore, the term ‘artificial intelligence’ entails the investigation of intelligent problem-solving behaviour to generate intelligent computer systems. There are two kinds of artificial intelligence: weak artificial intelligence; where intelligence is stimulated by the computer for examining cognitive processes; strong artificial intelligence; where computers are able to perform intellectual, self-learning.
processes by the right software/programming for the optimisation of their behaviour based upon experience. This involves automatic networking with other machines leading to a dramatic scaling effect (Wiss kirchen et al. 2017).

The term “ethics” tackles any intentional action affecting others’ values and lives either positively or negatively. Software engineering is mainly perceived as a technical discipline for the development of software. The industry personnel who contribute in professional software development are primarily called as information systems analysts and the key concern of the software engineering activity is focused on the technical appropriateness of the developed products. Reed (2000) demonstrated that roughly more than one billion people around the world rely on software systems for the conduction of their daily lives, which has made many in the computing field to consider the non-technical aspects and the ethical effect of their daily decisions and the values enclosed therein. The relation between ethics and computers can be clearly viewed when computers are affected by humans’ decisions, and then people’s lives are impacted by those decisions. Accordingly, human values are connected to technical decisions in this manner, which are made by computing professionals including the individuals, teams, or the management during the design, development, construction and maintenance of computing artefacts influencing other people. For example, the airbag design release William pact other’s lives and should be directed by an ethical decision about human values.

This paper begins with an overview of the perception of ethics in computer software systems and its associated activities in addition to its related technical decisions made by professionals. Then focuses on the game addiction and the accompanied guilt emotions that the developers can feel about it through the collection of Reddit responses subsequently, analysing these responses using the sentiment analysis model to classify whether they are guilty or not.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. SOFTWARE ENGINEERING ETHICS

The role of ethics in software system design has recently fostered in significance. Mason (1986) demonstrates an early viewpoint on ethical issues in the information age, classifying them into accessibility, accuracy, privacy, and property concerns. Later on, more views added concerns about knowledge use in organizations (Bella, 1992) and its affecting impact on life quality (Forester and Morrison, 1994). The issue is not about malicious behaviour, such as computer crime, deliberate invasions of privacy, hacking, software theft, and viruses, rather than the subtler of the impact that the software development and deployment can have on people and their cultural, corporate and other institutions. Previous approaches to system development were concentrating towards technical aspects, while current approaches give emphasis to the consideration of all aspects of the human environment in which the systems are being developed and used.

Software engineering ethics can be overviewed from three approaches: first, it can be regarded as the activity of software engineers who are making practical choices that have an effect on other people in substantial ways. Second, it can act as a description of a collection of principles, guidelines, or ethical imperatives giving guidance or legislative action. And third, it can be named as a discipline, which investigates the relationship between the other two senses of ethics. Accordingly, software engineering ethics is considered as mutually principles’ set and activity as well (Gotterbarn et al., 1997).
The ethical activity embedded in technical decisions should be dependent on an understanding of the effect of those decisions. The technical decisions should be consciously steered by values. Professions designate the values in Codes of Ethics, Codes of Conduct and Codes of Practice, where these codes help in many ways embracing guidance for the ethical selections of the practitioner and enlightening the public and the practitioners about the profession’s ethical standard. A good software engineering decision entails an awareness of both the technical and the ethical dimensions. Codes of ethics are statements about the interconnectivity between values and technology to ensure that the activities of practicing professionals care for human values rather than do any harm to them. The discipline of software engineering ethics examines the relationship between technology and the ethical principles; how it influences society, citizens, and products (Gotterbarn, 2002).

In the nineties, a number of organizations like the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), the Australian Computer Society, the British Computer Society (BCS), the IEEE Computer Society (IEEE-CS), and the New Zealand Computer Society have reconsidered their ethics’ codes to state explicitly an ethical obligation to the public (Gotterbarn a, 1996). Especially, the IEEE Computer Society joined by the ACM started to professionalize software engineering and set initial goals in the following:

1. Adoption of Standard Definitions
2. Definition of Required Body of Knowledge and Recommended Practices
3. Definition of Ethical Standards
4. Define Educational Curricula (Gotterbarn b, 1996).

However, the above goals are coherent with the goals of any other professions because the key element in any profession stands in its recognition of ethical and moral responsibilities to its clients, to society, and to the profession itself. Nevertheless, many professions such as medicine for example declare these responsibilities publicly in a code of ethics then afterwards necessitate training in professional ethics for entering the profession. The responsibilities formulated in the Software Engineering Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct (SECODE 1999) were briefed in: Software engineers shall be committed to these Eight Principles:

1 PUBLIC - act steadily with the public interest.
2 CLIENT AND EMPLOYER - perform in a way, which is in the best interests of their client or employer.
3 PRODUCT - make sure that their products and related modifications fulfil the highest professional standards possible.
4 JUDGMENT - keep independence and integrity in their professional judgment.
5 MANAGEMENT - endorse an ethical approach to the management of software development and maintenance.
6 PROFESSION - align the reputation and the integrity of the profession in consistence with the public interest.
7 COLLEAGUES - being fair and supportive to their colleagues.
8 SELF - contribute in lifelong learning in regards to the practice of their profession.
The Software Engineering Institute recognised software engineering as an engineering form applying the principles of computer science and mathematics for the achievement of cost effective solutions to software practical problems in the mankind service. The perception of cost effectiveness denotes the resources investment for good value satisfying the required quality and minimised time (Ford, 1990). Even though, software engineering does not meet all of the above mentioned inscriptions of a profession, they are still important to understand how ethics is linked to software engineering. Training and specialised skills are spent in the developments of products that have a direct impact on many lives for their usage in society, in other words affecting the public's well-being. Consequently, software engineering ethics can be identified as a professional ethics (Pour, 2000). Like medical professionals, software engineers should be aware that knowledge is commonly inaccessible to people outside the profession and have special duties and responsibilities to their clients like lawyers, which can be inconsistent with duties and responsibilities towards the society at large. Then software engineering ethics shares the common base with other professional ethics for health, safety, and welfare concern. How ever, it is uniquely bounded to the technical details of computing (SE Code, 1999).

The context to which moral rules are applied differentiates between various professional ethics including legal, medical and engineering ethics. For the reason that different contexts raise different ethical concerns, even the order in which they are practised changes for each application domain. Within the software engineering process, moral rules are assigned different importance at different phases of the software development life cycle. An understanding agreement (informative consent) creates a rule during the requirements phase of life-critical software. The honesty and transparency principle is another rule during testing. Yet the tort law should be confused with professional ethics in the sense of individual responsibility. If a developed product does not encounter the ideological technical goals of the profession there is no need for legal action till some damage has happened. There exists three main requirements for a tort law suit: (1) the duty is being indebted; (2) the duty is penetrated; and (3) caused damages happened by the duty penetration, one could be unethical and still the law was not violated (West 1991). In the design phase, other moral rules may get exercised first.

For example; in case of a journal file design for a library check-out system to ascertain the status of books and the number of additional copies to be ordered, if required. The association of the patron's name with the book checked out has a potential for the violation of several moral rules, such as the violation of privacy and the deprivation of pleasure since one does not feel free to read what the other one wants, and perhaps causing psychological pain. Moreover, the language selection for a life-critical system can have moral implications because if the language is difficult for debugging, writing, or modification, then people are put at risk and principles of good system design in that language selection are violated. The ethical issues that can be drawn here; software developers were morally culpable to take a project beyond their skill of language proficiency, despite their awareness of the major difference in languages, they chose to stick to the more dangerous language for other purposes such as profit, then they have intentionally violated professional ethics.

Technical responsibility encompasses moral commitments to standards of software production, to the software development process whereas societal responsibility implicates commitment to the usage of these specialised skills in the society service. Software development is considered as a social process and the software engineer has a two-fold compulsion for excellence striving. One compulsion depends on the fulfilment of technical standards and the other one is about social responsibility to those end-users who will work with the developed product. These ethics’ approaches provide positive guidance for software practitioners’ behaviours (Gottorbarn, 1999). Without an ethical approach, systems might be put into operational use regardless of persistent
errors and faulty trials that is why it is not surprising that software developers hardly afford their clients or purchasers with warranties of any substance (Forester and Morrison, 1994). On the other hand, it is up to the end-user in most cases to provide critical feedback and the availability of software websites and e-mail contact addresses offer avenues for end-user responses to unethical practices.

A number of books have been printed on computer ethics (also denoted to as machine morality). A hypothetical theoretical scenario is delineated in the book of "Moral Machines" where an artificially high oil price is contributed to "unethical" robotic trading systems causing the automated program to regulate the energy output switches over from oil to more polluting coal power plants in order to prevent the rise in electricity prices. At the same time, coal-fired power plants cannot withstand flowing at full production long and blasts after some time leading to massive power outage with the concerns it has for life and health. Nevertheless, power outages produce terror alarms at the nearest international airport resultant in chaos both at the airport and arriving jets colliding etc. The outcome is loss in lives and huge economic costs, merely for the reason of the interaction between independently programmed systems that automatically make decisions (Wallach et al., 2009).

The scenario illustrated above shows that it is significant to have control mechanisms when a multisystem, which are making their own decisions interact to set limitations, and give notification to the operators about the condition deemed to call for human review. Therefore, it becomes important that moral based decision-making becomes a part of the artificial intelligence systems. The ethical implications of the possible actions that systems can take must be evaluated and this can be done on several levels, including if private laws are broken or not. However, it is not easy to construct machines that are incorporating all the world's philosophical and religious traditions. Furthermore, a very effective driver support system can be developed to reduce the number of accidents and save numerous lives yet, it is not desirable to be responsible for building a system where there is a real risk for severe adverse events in case of failure (Tørresen, 2014).

In the same book detailed review of how artificial moral agents can be applied is provided. This embraces the use of ethical expertise in program development. It suggests three approaches: logic and mathematical formulated ethical reasoning, machine learning methods depending on examples of ethical and unethical behaviour and simulation where it is viewed by different ethical strategies. For example; in case of a loan application to a bank, an AI-based system can be used for credit evaluation based on a number of criteria. If the application is rejected, the reason behind refusal should be clarified. Skin color or race can be considered one of the parameters included rather than the applicant's financial situation, still the bank can not state it explicitly. A more open system for inspection can however, show that the residence address has been crucial in this case. It has given the same result that the selected criterion has been provided. This is essential to avoid as far as possible through the simulation of AI systems’ behaviour of AI systems for the detection of possible ethically undesirable actions. All software that will substitute human evaluation and social function should follow criteria such as accountability, inspect ability, manipulation robustness and predictability. Also, all developers should have an inherent desire to develop products delivering the best possible user experience and user safety. The capability for manipulation must be restricted, and the system must have a predictable behaviour. It is definitely easier for a robot to move in a known and limited environment rather than in new and unfamiliar surroundings (Wallach et al., 2009).

The Italian robot scientist Gian Marco Viareggio introduced the term *roboethics* in 2002. He realised a need for guidelines for the development of robots intended to help progressing of the human society and individuals and prevent abuse against humanity. Accordingly, ethics for robot designers, manufacturers and users are needed. Robots are mechanical systems that might
Unintentionally cause harm to users. There exists a danger that the collected information can be accessed by unauthorized people and be made available to others through the Internet. Robots can be misused for criminal activities such as burglary. Although it is presumed that the developers for robots and AI have good intentions. The intelligent systems must be designed so that the robots are friendly and kind, while difficult to abuse for potential malicious actions in the future. In 2004 the first international symposium on robotics was held in Sanremo, Italy. The research program ETHICBOTS has been funded by EU where a multidisciplinary team of researchers participated to identify and analyse techno-ethical challenges in the integration of human and artificial entities. European Robotics Research Network (Euronet) in 2005 provided fund to the project Euronet Roboethics Atelier, with the goal of the development of the first roadmap for roboethics for a systematic assessment of the ethical issues surrounding robot design. The focus was on human ethics for designers, manufacturers and users of robots. The work ended up with some recommendations that should satisfied in commercial robots such as (Tørresen, 2014):

- Traceability as in aircraft, robots should have a "black box" to record and document their own behaviour;
- Identifiability, similar to cars, robots should have serial numbers and registration number.
- Privacy and policy, software and hardware should be used for encryption and password protection of sensitive data that the robot should save.

The machines should be able to make ethical decisions using ethical frameworks. Ethical Issues are too interdisciplinary that programmers alone should discover them. Researchers in ethics and philosophy should also be involved in the formulation of ethical "conscious" machines that are targeted at providing acceptable machine behaviour. Michael And Susan Leigh Anderson have collected contributions from both philosophers and AI Researchers in the book "Machine Ethics" (2011). The Book discusses why and how to include an ethical dimension in machines that will act autonomously. Medical Important information must be reported on, but at the same time, the person must be able to maintain privacy. Maybe video surveillance is desirable for the user (by relatives or others), but it should be clear to the user when and how it happens. An autonomous robot must also be able to adapt to the user's "chemistry" to have a good dialogue.

Value Sensitive Design emphasizes values with ethical import. A list of frequently human values that might be implicated in systems design is presented in table1 (adapted from Friedman et al., 2012) to act as a heuristic for values proposition that should be considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ownership and Property</td>
<td>Refers to a right to possess an object (or information), use it, manage it, derive income from it, and bequeath it</td>
<td>Friedman [1997]; Herskovits [1952]; Lipinski &amp;Britz [2000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>Refers to a claim, an entitlement, or a right of an individual to determine what information about himself or herself can be communicated to others</td>
<td>Agre and Rotenberg [1998]; Bellotti [1998]; Boyle, Edwards, &amp; Greenberg [2000]; Friedman [1997]; Fuchs [1999]; Jancke, Venolia, Grudin, Cadiz, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom From Bias</td>
<td>Refers to systematic unfairness perpetrated on individuals or groups, including pre-existing social bias, technical bias, and emergent social bias</td>
<td>Gupta [2001]; Palen&amp;Dourish [2003]; Nissenbaum [1998]; Phillips [1998]; Schoeman [1984]; Svensson, Hook, Laaksolahti, &amp;Waern [2001]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Usability</td>
<td>Refers to making all people successful users of information technology</td>
<td>Friedman &amp;Nissenbaum [1996]; cf. Nass&amp; Gong [2000]; Reeves &amp;Nass [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>Refers to expectations that exist between people who can experience good will, extend good will toward others, feel vulnerable, and experience betrayal</td>
<td>Baier [1986]; Camp [2000]; Dieberger, Hook, Svensson, &amp;Lonnqvist [2001]; Egger [2000]; Fogg&amp; Tseng [1999]; Friedman, Kahn, &amp; Howe [2000]; Kahn &amp;Turiel [1988]; Mayer, Davis, &amp;Schoorman [1995]; Olson &amp; Olson [2000]; Nissenbaum [2001]; Rocco [1998]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td>Refers to people’s ability to decide, plan, and act in ways that they believe will help them to achieve their goals</td>
<td>Friedman &amp;Nissenbaum [1997]; Hill [1991]; Isaacs, Tang, &amp; Morris [1996]; Suchman [1994]; Winograd [1994]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed Consent</td>
<td>Refers to garnering people’s agreement, encompassing criteria of disclosure and comprehension (for ‘informed’) and voluntariness, competence, and agreement (for ‘consent’)</td>
<td>Faden&amp; Beauchamp [1986]; Friedman, Millett, &amp;Felten [2000]; The Belmont Report [1978]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td>Refers to the properties that ensures that the actions of a person, people, or institution may be traced uniquely to the person, people, or institution</td>
<td>Friedman &amp; Kahn [1992]; Friedman &amp; Millet [1995]; Reeves &amp;Nass [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtesy</td>
<td>Refers to treating people with politeness and consideration</td>
<td>Bennett &amp;Delatree [1978]; Wynne &amp; Ryan [1993]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity</td>
<td>Refers to people’s understanding of who they are over time, embracing both continuity and discontinuity over time</td>
<td>Bers, Gonzalo-Heydrich, &amp;DeMaso [2001]; Rosenberg [1997]; Schiano&amp; White [1998]; Turkle [1996]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmness</td>
<td>Refers to a peaceful and composed psychological state</td>
<td>Friedman &amp; Kahn [2003]; Weiser &amp; Brown [1997]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Sustainability</td>
<td>Refers to sustaining ecosystems such that they meet the needs of the present without compromising future generations</td>
<td>United Nations [1992]; World Commission on Environment and Development [1987]; Hart [1999]; Moldan, Billharz, &amp;Matravers [1997]; Northwest Environment Watch [2002]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After the illustration of the software engineering ethical activities and technical responsibilities, the following sections will discuss game addiction and the use of guilt model to question via sentiment analysis the guilt emotions of the software developers.

2.2. Game Addiction

Game addiction is considered presently the utmost deliberated psychosocial aspects accompanied with playing computer and videogames. Lately, the American Medical Association (2007) intensely stimulated the American Psychiatric Association (APA) to include the “video game addiction” as a formal diagnostic disorder in the updated revision of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-V, 2012). Adolescents are more exposed to game addiction than adults (Griffiths & Wood, 2000) because (a) they commonly play computer and videogames more often than adults (e.g., Griffiths, Davies, & Chappel, 2004). Moreover, there is substantial disagreement among researchers about the concept of ‘game addiction’.

Game addiction is the widespread dominant term among researchers to designate compulsive, excessive, obsessive, and mostly problematic use of videogames (e.g., Charlton & Danforth, 2007; Chiu, Lee, & Huang, 2004; Chou & Ting, 2003; Fisher, 1994; Griffiths & Davies, 2005; Grüsser, Thalemann, & Griffiths, 2007; Hauge & Gentile, 2003; Ko, Yen, Chen, Chen, & Yen, 2005; Ng & Wiemer-Hastings, 2005; Soper & Miller, 1983; Wan & Chiou, 2006). Other terms for the description of excessive or problematic gaming involve “video game dependence” (Griffiths & Hunt, 1995, 1998), “problematic game playing” (Salguero & Moran, 2002; Seay & Kraut, 2007), and “pathological gaming” (Johansson & Gotestam, 2004; Keepers, 1990). Regard less of the used terminology, researchers agree that computer and videogame overuse can cause a behavioural addiction (Griffiths, 2005). Addictive behaviour refers to behaviour that is compulsive, excessive, psychologically or physically destructive, and uncontrollable (Mendelson & Mello, 1986).

2.3. Guilt Emotions

According to Lewis (1971), shame involves a negative evaluation of the self and guilt involves a negative evaluation of a specific behaviour or action. Tangney (1991) has concluded that shame and guilt are associated with different feelings such as: cognitions, public avoidance and aggressive responses. Different researchers examined guilt and shame feelings in situations, which defined as public–private distinctions (Wolf et al., 2010). Roos et al. (2014) have concluded that guilt and shame could influence an individual’s social behaviours. Therefore, it would be very beneficial to explore the feeling of guilty that developers can feel towards game development and its negative damaging effect of addiction harming personal lives.

3. Sentiment Analysis

Marketing managers comprehend the importance of customer sentiments in different services or products through understanding the sentiment of internet users. Additionally, universities can take advantage of sentiment analysis to recognise the opinion of students. Vocabulary based approach can be used with conjunction of sentiment analysis; this is exercised for semantic annotation and word suggestion. Machine learning strategies can classify sentiments into either positive or negative.

Sentiment analysis tools have been used on non-technical domains including social media and marketing. Rajat and Gaonkar (2017) have performed Sentiment Analysis on customers in order
to develop a rating forecasting tool, Lei and Xueming (2015) have measured the reputation of the company based upon sentiment analysis of online customer. Das et al. (2017) conducted sentiment analysis on airline industries; they classified sentiment of Twitter using Naïve Bayes NB machine learning algorithm, and Rapid Miner RM. Furthermore, Support Vector Machine SVM is one of the best performing machine learning technique nevertheless, NB can perform better in a few cases.

Collomb et al. (2014) divided machine learning approach into the following four types. Machine learning, which uses a learning algorithm via training data classification. Lexicon-based approach involves calculation, using the semantic orientation of words or sentences in a document. Rule-based approach classifies words in relevance to the number of positive and negative words. And Senti4SD that is a classifier trained to support sentiment analysis in developers’ communication channels (Calefato, et al., 2018). Senti4SD uses lexicon, keyword-based and semantic features.

In the proceeding sections, the modelling of sentiment analysis is exemplified and its three phased stages are explained to end up with the experimental results that has been concluded from the data collection.

3.1. MODELLING SENTIMENT ANALYSIS

Sentiment analysis guilt models composed of three stages: sentiment collector, processing and classification as illustrated in Figure 1 below. Sentiments are collected from reddit.com, which is an online forum for game developers, then a java tool is used to collect all sentiments while removing html tags. Sentient collector has clustered 1000 sentiments and classified these sentiments into two groups: guilt and no guilt.

After collecting the sentiment, a processing step is designed using Knime, which is a data analytical and integration platform that includes components for machine learning, data mining and web mining (Knime, 2018). Processing includes: punctuation eraser, case converter, stop word removal and stemming. And the third step is the machine learning technique, where sentiment analysis guilt model will use SVM, NV, and decision tree.

3.1.1 PRE-PROCESSING STEP

Pre-processing is the step of cleaning data and removing unwanted words. Pre-processing can affect the accuracy of the sentiment analysis model (El-Masri, et al., 2017). Many pre-processing techniques can be used on data such as: punctuation removal, remove stop words, repeated letters and numbers and covert text to lower or upper case, stop word removal and stemming. Stop word removal is the process of omitting connecting words like: and, the, to and so on, while stemming is the process of returning a word to its basic form which is called stem. Porterstemmer is used in the sentiment model, which is one of the known stemmers in text processing (Porter Stemmer, 2018).
3.1.2. Features Selection Step

Features are inputs of the classifiers. They allow a more detailed analysis of the raw data. N-grams are one of the most commonly used features (Arauj, et al., 2014). Bag of words (BOW) is a representation method for object classification. BOW idea" is to quantize each extracted key point into one of visual words, and then represent each image by a histogram of the visual words" (Zhang et al., 2010). BOW is defined as a conjunction method with classification techniques. Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) is used to define what words in a document is best used in a query. TF-IDF "calculates values for each word in a document through an inverse proportion of the frequency of the word in a particular document to the percentage of documents the word appears in" (Ramos, 2003). High TF-IDF numbers defines a strong relationship with the document they appear in. Both BOW and TFIDF are used in Sentiment analysis guilt model for selection of features.

3.1.3 Machine Learning Step

Different machine learning approaches are used in sentiment analysis such as Naive Bayes (Go, et al., 2009; Pang and Lee, 2004) and Support Vector Machines (Duwairi and El-Orfali, 2014). Using the classifiers depends on the domain it is used in for example in education SVM performed better than other classifiers (Altrabsheh et al., 2015). In the Sentiment analysis guilt model classifiers used are: SVM, Naive Bayes and decision tree.

For the validation of classifiers, accuracy, precision and recall have been used. Classification accuracy criteria focus"on how well the classifier assigns objects to their correct classes" (Hand, 2012). The precision is the correct predictions percentage and the recall is the instances percent that were predicted as positive (Mostafa, 2009).

4. Experimental Results

Sentiment analysis depends on the training data, the data was collected from Reddit.com forum (Reddit, 2018). Game developers use Reddit forum for discussing any problem or topics related to game development. Thousands sentiments were collected; five hundred labeled guilt emotion and another five hundred labeled no guilt emotion. Sentiments were collected using a java application that gets the text from the webpage, while removing the html tags. Classifiers were then trained and tested in the thousands sentiments with the percent 80% for training and 20% for testing as referred to Lewis and Catlett(1994).
Sentiment analysis guilt model tests the classifiers based on the 1000 sentiment and labelled these sentiments into either guilt or not guilt. Naive Bayes, SVM and decision tree were used for the classification of the sentiments. Sentiments collectors collect the sentiments and different processing techniques were used to remove the noise from sentiment sentences. Table 2 shows the results of the sentiment model. SVM was the highest accuracy level 77 however, this is contradicting with Altrasheh, et al. (2015) in which it not an educational field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Digital addiction affects the social life of a person, it is so important to understand the emotions of the game developers who provide products that affect other person's life. In accordance to previous studies, the guilt model was not tested on game developers. Sentiment analysis guilt model can be used to test the guilt motion on the sentiments of game developers and can help in solving guilt consequences emotions for game developers for the reason that guilt emotions can develop to depression level in some cases.

The applied sentiment analysis guilt model in this research paper has the following limitations. Reddit forum is the only one used to extract sentiments. Only three machine learning classifiers were used: SVM, NV and decision tree nonetheless, other classifiers can be used such as Nearest Neighbour. In addition, the data collection sentiment set is limited to 1000 sentiments. Feature selection method was dependent on TF-IDF and BOW, further features selection can be expanded to hold other semantic relations from Word Net tool as an example. Finally yet importantly, sentiment analysis guilt model can be applied on different people category in other working fields like the educational field for instance.
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