ABSTRACT

Mobile Ad hoc Networks are open, shared, dynamic and self-organized networks. These distinct nature lead to efficient use in emergency and rescue scenarios where the sharing of information is necessary. In order to share information within the network, a proper routing protocol is required to establish routes between nodes. This article discusses which of the routing protocols such as reactive or proactive has better performance in such scenario. In order to implement the test bed, we choose a real area in Uttarakhand state, India where the disaster occurred recently hence so many civilizations had vanished due to lack of communication and failure in recovery. Our aim is to choose an optimum routing protocol that is correct and used for efficient route establishment between nodes so that message could be delivered on time without loss and it will be implemented and used in future based on the model that we propose.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mobile Ad hoc network simply MANET is a collection of mobile nodes that are connected together over a structure less wireless medium and not to depend on any fixed infrastructure or central administration. Nodes are moving as they desire hence the topology of network may change rapidly. There are no routers for packet forwarding instead of that each node may also act as routers by the way each node expects cooperation from others and also have the nature of self-organization. In recent years, MANET has received tremendous attention because of the self-configuration and self-maintenance [1] capabilities. The advancement in the wireless communication plays a vital role in emergency and rescue operations because of improvement in end user hardware such as mobile devices, hand held devices, phones and more. In this changing weather conditions disaster become an unavoidable one. Since emergency differ from day to day operations to larger scale catastrophic events therefore an efficient communication is required to help people where the rescue is needed. In such situations the communication infrastructure is totally absent or damaged or previously deployed network may not be handling the traffic load [2]. The solution is MANET because it can be deployed and destroyed easily wherever it is required irrespective of time and situations time and works as a temporary network. It is composed of various elements denoted as scenario which consists of specific number of nodes,
topology and also include the definition of mobility patterns such as speed, direction and pauses of the nodes [3]. In emergency and rescue scenario, the topology of the network is often changing due to the obstacles that may occur due to the unexpected events that affect the normal pathway of nodes. Therefore movement of routing algorithm is determined by the topology and the corresponding nodes should be moved depending on the obstacles [3].

Because of dynamic topology, routing is a considerable factor in MANET. It is the process of finding path from any source to any arbitrary destination. In this unstable environment routing of information from one place to another is a challenging task. We are in a situation that our information should reach the destination timely manner as well as without loss of any data. So this task is accomplished by routing protocols. The function of routing protocols is to maintain routing tables that store all available routing information and also dynamically maintain a router’s routing table. To do this, routers must share information about the valid routes. This sharing is accomplished by the exchange of special packets that are called routing updates. The actual work of routing protocol is not to move data from one end to another end; it simply carries information about the best path from router to router [4]. Therefore routing is the process of directing packets from a source node to a destination node on a network. Getting packets to their next hop, a router needs to perform two basic activities: path determination and packet switching. Path determination is the process of choosing an optimal route from all the available routes typically from all the routing information stored in the respective routing tables. Packet switching involves changing a packet's physical destination address to that of the next hop. One can say that any routing algorithm works well if the following goals are satisfied such goals are, optimality; A routing protocol should be capable of choosing the optimal route or quickest route from all the several alternative routes. Flexibility; A protocol should have an ability to adapt to constantly changing network conditions. Rapid Convergence; if there is any change within the network, which change should propagate to all the routers within the minimum amount of time. The time it takes for all routers to be notified of the change is called convergence. Robustness; The protocols continue functioning even if any unpredictable and unusual change occurs. Simplicity; A protocol should be simple to be efficient.

The main objective of this paper is to determine which one of the routing protocols: reactive or proactive fit in emergency and rescue scenario for that we have chosen city called Baddrinath which is one of the notable places because of disasters in recent decades including floods and landslides in the Himalayan states of India and nearly 5,000 people must have been killed in the incident that occurred in July 2013. To implement our scenario we have chosen Network Simulator 3 (NS3) which is one of the upcoming and under development simulation tool for both wired and wireless networks and because of its simplicity, flexibility and modularity it gets more attention among the research communities.

2. RELATED WORK

As mentioned earlier, routing is the fundamental component in MANET due to the limited transmission range, unpredictable network topology and nodes are acting as routers because some nodes cannot contact others nodes directly. The following section discusses the impact of routing protocols in emergency and rescue scenario and also discuss the general routing protocols in such scenarios.

2.1. General routing protocols for emergency and rescue scenarios

In MANET classification of routing protocols are characterized based on geographical position, topology, grouping, geo cast and broad cast. Static routing [5] does not reflect any topology change that occurs in the network. But dynamic routing [6] automatically discovers routes and
can react to network changes. Some protocols choose a single path from all available routes, that path information alone is stored in the routing table. In multipath, all available paths are stored in the routing table it causes higher throughput. It also supports multiplexing over multiple lines. A flat routing protocol [7] considers all routers as peers. In such routing all router would receive the same routing updates from all other routers. But in hierarchical routing protocol [8] there is some classification among routers. They may not receive same information; they only receive routing updates from other routers within their network also it keeps local traffic information. It reduces the bandwidth overhead required by the routing protocol and improves network performance. In distance vector routing [1], routing decisions are based on the distance of the remote destination in terms of the number of network layer hops that the packet will have to traverse. In link state routing [1], routing decisions based on messages received from other routers in the network that give information about the state of the links connected to them.

2.2. Impact of routing protocols in emergency and rescue scenarios

In order to enrich the routing, many protocols [9-12] have been proposed and compared by researchers and these algorithms are different in their route searching and establishment. The advantages of using MANET instead of fixed network for emergency and rescue scenario is proposed by [13]. More specifically the authors [14-16] and thus propose the behaviour of reactive protocols are working well in such scenario and also among the reactive routing protocols, AODV is provide better results in terms of routing metrics. Others have shown [17] [18] the performance of the routing protocols in emergency and rescue scenario and made a comparative analysis and conclude that among the reactive and proactive protocols, reactive is better suitable for such scenario. Knowing the significant of problems in emergency and rescue scenario, other authors [19] [20] also analyse the performance of the routing protocols in that scenario.

Among the protocols, we aim at reactive and proactive routing protocols. In reactive routing protocol [21] the routes are created only when it is needed. There is no need of periodic updates of routing tables compared with proactive or table driven protocol. In order to establish a route between source and the destination, it initiates a route discovery process that is used to send data to the destination. After the successful completion of route discovery process, the path is available to send data. In order to maintain consistency of routes between nodes route maintenance process is used. On Demand Routing Protocols are taken up for the discussion here. Some of the protocols are DSR [1], AODV [22] and TORA [1]. The main advantage of using this protocol in emergency and rescue is energy and bandwidth are saved because routes are created only when it is needed and there is no need of periodical updates so it improves communication with the affected area and generally devices are resource constrained so if using this protocols it enriches the lifetime of involved devices.

On the other hand proactive protocols maintain all the routing information in routing tables of nodes even if they are not required. Routes are updated periodically by exchanging control information between nodes so as to maintain consistency in the network. The main reason for periodic updates is to pre-calculate all possible paths. Hence whenever changes occur in the network, in order to keep the routing tables get refreshed, periodic updates are important. Therefore, in such scenario to rescue people who are in affected area is achieved with the help of continuous assessment of route updates among the nodes. Even if it has advantages, too much of updates lead to overall network performance is in question. Moreover it performs well at static network and not in dynamic networks because of the scalability issues [3]. The well-known proactive routing protocols are DSDV [1] WPR [23] and OLSR [24].
As shown in previous discussion, both proactive and reactive protocols have their own advantages and disadvantages. However, many research papers [25-27] have shown reactive protocols perform well compared with proactive protocols. In this paper, we investigate reactive and proactive protocols namely AODV and DSDV by means of taking the following considerations. For any mobile communication, utilization of battery power is significant because of power constrain and minimizing the control overhead is also important because it affects the overall network performance. Therefore, in connection with these requirements, reactive protocols are considered for our analysis because in AODV, routes are updated only when it is required by the way to minimize the power consumption and control overhead, and also in [14-16] authors shown the better performance of AODV. Quality of service is one of the considerable factors in this uncertain environment because we could not predict the topology and link break between the mobile nodes. In DSDV, routing information are updated to all available destination, therefore even if link breakage and topology change, every node can get the accurate routing information so that quality of service is increased and also end to end delay is minimized. In addition, authors have shown the better performance of DSDV.

3. PROPOSED SCENARIO: CASE STUDY

The motivation for the work is formed after the flooding and landslides which occurred in one of the Himalayan states of India called Uttarakhand and it also called as lands of gods by Indian. In recent decades, it is notable for an excess of natural disasters including flooding and landslides in almost every monsoon season. In the year 2013, nearly 5000 people were killed including pilgrims and tourists due to heavy rainfall and landslides in the month of June [29]. Especially the districts Rudraprayag, Uttarkashi and Chamoli got damaged a lot. For our study, we focus the holy town called Badrinath which is located in the district of Chamoli and the area surrounded by about 3km² [30][31]. The Figure 1 shows the view of Badrinath temple after flood hit in the year 2013.
The objective of the paper is to study the impact of routing protocols in such emergency scenario through simulation by the way we can choose the feasible routing protocol that is suitable for the real time scenario. At the time of emergency, though public safety organizations and law enforcement agencies are present, due to shortage of networking communication infrastructure, lack of experience in rescue operations, complex environment and the unawareness of context conditions all these factors make trouble in rescue operation. Moreover the success of rescue operation depends on the following factors: responders tracking, in field injured person triaging and physical environment monitoring [3] Therefore an optimum routing strategy is required to spread the communication throughout the affected area as soon as possible by the way it would rescue people.

4. A PROTOTYPE OF AODV AND DSDV ROUTING IN THE BADDRINATH TOWN

In this section we describe how the AODV and DSDV routing strategy can be executed in such a scenario. The handheld mobile devices can be utilized in rescue operation and these devices are carried by rescuers named as nodes. Each node is configured with IEEE 802.11b technologies hence power consumption is ~30mW and it can be covered up to 500m and frequency is 2.4GHz. If we study AODV routing is involved in the proposed scenario, as discussed earlier routes are discovered only on demand basis so during the emergency if any evacuation point is received by a node. Prior each node is maintaining a routing table consists of destination address denoting the evacuation point where actually rescue is needed, next hop address which denotes the intermediate rescuer’s node and destination sequence numbers which is used to avoid travel on the same evacuation point again termed as routing loop. In order to perform rescue operation with that point first check their routing table whether a route to the denoted evacuation point is available. If it so, it utilizes that route otherwise it initiate a new route discovery process in order to reach the target point. Once the target point is identified, forward paths set and give response to the node by a target point’s node. By the way routing can be achieved in AODV routing scenario. Here routing information advertisement follows unicast or multicast or broadcast or the combination of the above. The Figure 2 denote the model of AODV routing in Badrinath scenario.

Figure 2. A prototype model of AODV Routing in the town of Badrinath
On the other hand, if we study DSDV routing in the proposed scenario, as discussed earlier all the nodes are maintaining routing information in their routing table so every node maintains the evacuation points in its table. During emergency whenever changes occur in the rescue operation among the rescuers those information also updated immediately. Here each rescuer advertises its routing information to its neighbors and also responsible for forwarding the rescue information upon request. Here the routing information is advertised either multicast or broadcast. The Figure 3 denote the model of DSDV routing in Baddrinath scenario.

Table 1. Routing tables of both AODV and DSDV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precursor Nodes (Purpose of Route Maintenance)</th>
<th>Destination Sequence Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>S_S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>S_A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>B_B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>C_C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>D_D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F_F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the discussion above AODV shows better performance in such a scenario because there is no overhead of periodic updates henceforth the energy is retained. Therefore rescues operations can be performed as long as energy is with nodes. Whereas in DSDV due to the update process nodes can consume their energy as well as delay in retrieval of information so that finding of affected area dramatically increases. The Table 1 shows the routing table entries of both AODV and DSDV routing protocols.
5. Design Goals of Routing Protocols

Any routing protocols should have the following design goals.

5.1. Optimality

A routing protocol should be capable of choosing the optimal route or quickest route from all the several alternative routes.

5.2. Flexibility

A protocol should have an ability to adapt to constantly changing network conditions.

5.3. Rapid Convergence

If any change within the network, that change should propagate to all the routers within the minimum amount of time. The time it takes for all routers to be notified of the change is called convergence.

5.4. Robustness

The protocols continue functioning even if any unpredictable and unusual change occurs.

5.5. Simplicity

A protocol should be simple to be efficient.

6. Factors That Influence Routing Process During Emergency Situations

We are in situation to consider some important aspects because such aspects are affecting the routing process indirectly and make influence on routing process during the rescue operations. Such factors are,

6.1. Connectivity

Engage in the recovery process, connectivity among the rescuers is needed so that emergency related information could reach on time by the way quality of rescue operation can be achieved.

6.2. Mobility

Rescuers are often choked in traffic during the recovery process therefore the underlying structure of the network is changed so that utilizing the proper mobility among the nodes is important.

6.3. Energy Consumption

It is also one of the considerable factors because routing process consumes battery power because nodes are in situation to involve in feasible path finding process, forwarding process and optimal route calculation therefore efficient usage of energy is important.
6.4. Security

It also influences on routing because of the open and distributed recovery process in emergency, there is a possibility of attack rescue operations.

7. Simulation Study for the Proposed Scenario

To perform comparative analysis, it is necessary to define the simulation parameters that correspond to the proposed scenario. Before that, the proposed scenario is implemented in Network Simulator 3 (NS3). It is a discrete event simulator tool targeted for researchers and academicians and at present is getting more attention among the researchers because of its flexibility and modularity compared to other simulation tools. Our study area is surrounded by 1km X 2km based on this we set 500m x 1000m for simulation. Next, movement of rescuers in rescue operation is one of the important factors therefore we set the node speed 2 m/s because a normal human walking speed from 0 to 2.5 m/s by the we utilize the Random Waypoint mobility model [32]. Furthermore the density of nodes is derived from the population of the affected area from low to high so we make use of 10, 30, 60 and 100 nodes for our study. Less than half of the connections among the density of the nodes we make. The following Table.2 illustrates the simulation parameters that we assume for the proposed scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Parameters</th>
<th>Reflection in Real Scenario</th>
<th>Values Utilized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>Rescuers involved in rescue operation</td>
<td>10,30,60,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Model</td>
<td>Rescuers can move along a zigzag line from one rescue point to another, by the way rescue points are uniformly distributed over the given affected area</td>
<td>RandomWaypointMobilityModel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Time</td>
<td>Denoting the overall time of rescue operation</td>
<td>50 Sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Size</td>
<td>Denoting the covering area for rescue operation</td>
<td>1000m x 2000m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocols</td>
<td>Used for exchange emergency related information</td>
<td>AODV, DSDV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Rate</td>
<td>Denoting amount of digital data moved from one evacuation point to another</td>
<td>3072bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Size</td>
<td>Denoting the unit of data that is originated from one evacuation point to another</td>
<td>64 byte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wi-Fi ad hoc</td>
<td>Rescuers’ nodes are in ad hoc mode so that easy deploy at anywhere and need of centralized structure</td>
<td>802.11b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>Type of data that is transmitted during the rescue operation</td>
<td>UDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node Speed</td>
<td>Denoting the rescuers walking speed</td>
<td>20m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node Pause</td>
<td>There is no stop time for rescuers during the operation</td>
<td>0s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission Range</td>
<td>Denoting the actual amount of transmit power of radio frequency produced by the rescuer’s node</td>
<td>7.5dbm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.1 Performance analysis of Simulation results

In this section performance of AODV and DSDV are analyzed based on the metrics called delay, throughput and packet delivery ratio. These metrics are very significant because they assess the overall network performance for any application which is going to be deployed before by the way with the support of routing protocols we apply these metrics and measure to analyze which protocol is suitable for emergency and rescue operations.

7.1.1. Delay

It is one of the considerable metrics and refers average time taken by a packet to reach the destination from the source and generally it is calculated by subtraction of time at which packet is sent from and time at which packet is received. Commonly, delay should be low in communication for any kind of application.

In emergency and rescue operations, receiving of information as early as possible is important to rescue people who are in trouble and too much of delay affect the rescue operation. As shown in Figure 4 the delay of DSDV is very high and the number of nodes increases, delay also greatly increased. Whereas in AODV, delay is getting low even if the number of nodes increased. The Table 3 show the delay data that we calculated during the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>Protocols</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AODV</td>
<td>16.6179</td>
<td>18.1233</td>
<td>14.0539</td>
<td>11.3224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSDV</td>
<td>41.5104</td>
<td>43.6283</td>
<td>44.2103</td>
<td>44.4388</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Delay analysis of AODV and DSDV over the number of nodes.
This metrics shows the ratio of the number of packets delivered to particular destination and it is measured by actual number of packets received divided by actual number of packets sent by source nodes. This metrics analyze whether the transmitted data properly reaches the destination or not. Based on the observations earlier, packet delivery ratio should be high for efficient communication.

In emergency and rescue operation, transmitted data should reach the destination as it is sent without any loss. It helps the rescuers to get the proper information from other rescuers by the way operations can be carried out efficiently. As shown in Figure 5 packet delivery ratio of AODV is getting high even though number of nodes has increased. Whereas in DSDV, it is low and when number of nodes increases, it slightly increased but not high when compare with AODV. Table shows the data of packet delivery ratio that we calculated during the simulation. Table 4 shows the data of packet delivery ratio that we calculated during the simulation.

Table 4. AODV and DSDV Packet Delivery Ratio analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>Protocols</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AODV</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>515.76</td>
<td>1816.26</td>
<td>2077.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSDV</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>61.28</td>
<td>102.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Packet Delivery Ratio analysis of AODV and DSDV over the number of nodes

7.1.3. Throughput

It is also one of the considerable metrics because it shows the overall performance of network over a given period of time and it is calculated by sum of successful delivered packet divided by total simulation duration alternatively we can also calculate by subtracting the total amount of data received by a destination from the sender to the time it takes for the destination to get the last packets. Based on the earlier observation, throughput should be high.
In emergency and rescue operation, if throughput is high, communication between the rescuers would be greatly high hence we can assume rescue operations are going well. As shown the Figure. 6 throughput of AODV is high compared with DSDV. Though number of nodes is increased, throughput of AODV is linearly increased. Table.5 shows the data of throughput calculated during the simulation.

**Table 5. AODV and DSDV Throughput analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>Protocols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AODV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>626.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3610.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>9636.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7758.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall Performance of AODV and DSDV – as shown in Figure.7 the overall performance of AODV does not degrade though number of nodes is increased in terms of delay, throughput and packet delivery ratio. Whereas in DSDV as shown in Figure.8 the performance of DSDV is low compare with AODV. Table. 6 and Table.7 show the overall performance of both AODV and DSDV and Table.8 overall performance comparison of both AODV and DSDV in terms of performance metrics.

**Table 6. Overall Performance of AODV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>AODV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>16.6179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Delivery Ratio</td>
<td>30.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td>626.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Figure 7. Overall Performance of AODV over the number of nodes

Table 7. Overall Performance of DSDV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>DSDV</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.5104</td>
<td>43.6283</td>
<td>44.2103</td>
<td>44.4388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet Delivery</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>61.28</td>
<td>102.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughput</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>168.11</td>
<td>419.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Overall Performance of DSDV over the number of nodes
8. CONCLUSIONS

During the past decade, environment conditions are getting changed hence natural disasters such as flooding, earthquake and landslides can be expected. Emergency and relief operation is required to cope up with such situation and today’s network communication play a vital role in rescue operation. In the advancement of communication technology, though traditional network infrastructure exists, they could not support such environment due to centralized, difficulty in deployment, lack of self-configuration and changing network structure so alternative solution is Mobile Ad hoc networks because of its significant characteristics. In addition to, routing algorithm that is used for traditional is not suitable for such scenario so finding of new algorithms are necessary; that is provided by MANET for efficient communication in such scenario.

The simulation study evaluates the performance of both AODV and DSDV. Based the observation we made AODV is suitable for such scenario because packet delay is relatively low compared to DSDV. Though number of nodes is increased, it does not reflect any change in delay. In packet delivery ratio, AODV is getting better result compared to DSDV and throughput also high for AODV compared to DSDV. Finally, the research work recommends the use of AODV protocol for such emergency and rescue scenario due to its performance in terms of throughput, Packet Delivery Ratio and End to End Delay.
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