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ABSTRACT

Brain portion extraction from magnetic resonance image (MRI) of human head scan is an important process in medical image analysis. In this paper, we propose a computationally simple and a robust brain segmentation method. This method is based on forming a contour using the intensity values that satisfy a set property and detect the boundary of the brain. After detecting the brain boundary the brain portion is segmented. Experiments were conducted by applying the method on 3 volumes of T1 MRI data set collected from Internet Brain Segmentation Repository(IBSR) and compared the results with that of the popular skull stripping method Brain Extraction Tool (BET). The experimental results show that the proposed algorithm gives better results than that of BET.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an important diagnostic imaging technique to obtain high quality images of human organs for clinical and research purposes. It is a non-invasive, non-ionizing and non-destructive imaging technique. It gives a high spatial resolution and an excellent contrast of soft tissues. To study brain related diseases like Alzheimer, dementia, tumor, brain injury, brain deformities etc MRI is used widely. MRI of a human head is captured in a series of 20-120 two dimensional (2D) slices. The collection of slices is called as a volume. These slices can be volume rendered to produce the 3D image of the 2D slices. MRI is taken in three orientations, axial (top to bottom), coronal(back to front) and sagittal (side to side) and in three types T1, T2 and PD weighted. MRI slices are to be analyzed by a neurologist/radiologist to know the pathology and structure of the brain. Further, there are several other image processing operations, like image registration, tumor detection, brain volume estimation, compression for telemedicine etc. Many of these operations are part of a framework for computer assisted diagnostic(CAD) system. Therefore, it is essential to segment the brain portion from the MRI slices. This segmentation process is also known as skull stripping method, or brain extraction method.

Segmenting brain portion manually is a time consuming process and is operator biased. Therefore fully automatic methods are needed to segment brain portion from the MRI slices.
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Semi-automatic segmentation methods also perform well for segmenting the brain.[1]-[3]. But the drawback of this method is, that they need some initial value or human intervention to start the algorithm.

To overcome the problems in semi-automatic methods fully automatic methods were developed in [4] – [8]. Jong and Lee.[4] proposed an algorithm, which needs histogram analysis to eliminate the background voxels. Brummer et al.[5] proposed a fully automatic algorithm that starts with a histogram-based thresholding preceded by an image intensity correction procedure. Lemieux et al.[6] proposed an automated algorithm to segment the brain portion from T1-weighted volume MRI. The algorithm uses automatic computation of intensity threshold and morphological operations. It is a three-dimensional method and therefore independent of scan orientation.

An automatic method for brain extraction was proposed by Stella and Blair [7]. This method uses an integrated approach which employs image processing techniques based on anisotropic filters, snake contouring technique and a priori knowledge to remove the eyes, and tricky structures in brain MRI. It is a multistage method. Segonne et al[8] proposed a hybrid segmentation method. Sadananthan etal[9] proposed a method based on graph cuts. Somasundaram and Kalaiselvi [10][11] have proposed methods based on intensity , morphological operation and largest connected component analysis. Somasundaram and Siva Shankar[12] proposed a brain extraction method using clustering and resonance principle. Somasundaram and Kalavathi [13] proposed a contour based brain extraction method. Somasundaram and Ezhillarasan[14] proposed a method based on gray scale transformation to detect the boundary of brain. A review of brain segmentation methods can be found in[15][16].

In this paper we propose a method to detect the brain boundary by forming a contour generated from a set of pixels that satisfy some intensity based boundary conditions in the slice. Using this contour we propose a scheme to extract brain portion from T1 weighted coronal MRI of human head scans. The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give an outline of the basic principles used in our scheme. In section 3 we present our method. In section 4 results and discussions are given. In section 5 the conclusion is given.

2. BASIC PRINCIPLE USED IN SKULL STRIPPING

In brain segmentation from a MRI slice, the main difficulty is to accurately detect the boundary separating the brain and non-brain tissues in the MRI slice. The proposed method traces a contour with property of a set, which satisfy a specified intensity values (>180) in a grey scale MRI of human head scans. The set property and the governing equations are:

\[ A = \{ X \text{ satisfies the property } P \} \]

\[ A = \{ (a, b) / b - a > 180 \} \]

where, the property P of

\[ X_A = \{ 1: X \text{ belongs to } A, 0: X \text{ not belongs to } A \} \]

After finding the co-ordinates that satisfy the set property, contour of the brain is drawn to extract the brain.
3. PROPOSED METHOD

The intensity features available in the T1 MRI slices are used to obtain brain boundary. In an MRI slice, the innermost region is brain. When we move from the center point of the brain towards the outer surface, first we come across brain-skull boundary(Figure 1). Most of the pixels representing CSF in a T1 slice is mostly < 180. Very rarely pixels in CSF have intensity values more than 180. Therefore, we may assume that the brain-skull boundary is demarcated by pixels with intensity < 180 and others with intensity >=180(brain). We use this property and assign the pixels values to either of a or b in the set A as given in eqns.(1)-(3).

When we move from the centre of the slice, intensity at each co-ordinate points will come under the property of the Set defined in equation (3). The points which have the intensity values satisfying the property of the Set A will be labeled as in the region otherwise it is not labeled. Once the first Set property is achieved, we move back in the same row and perform the set property analysis. We repeat this for all rows of the image by starting mid point in each row. When the regions are labelled, it is possible to find the distinct region. Usually, the mid slice in a MRI volume will contain brain as the largest connected area. But the slices in lower and upper slices will contain brain in more than one connected region. For such cases, the largest connected component (LCC), out of many regions, in the given image is traced out. The run length identification scheme for region labelling described by Sonka et al. [17] is used to find the LCC among the region as:

$R_{_{LCC}} = R(\text{arg max } R_A(i)) \quad (4)$

where, $R_A(i)$ is the area of $i^{th}$ region $R(i)$.

The process chart of the proposed method is shown in Figure 2.
In MRI head scans, the middle slice of the volume contains brain as a single largest region. Identification of brain portion in the middle slice is easy and the brain region in this is used as initial reference to proceed throughout the volume. We start the process at the middle slice of the MRI volume at slice number $N/2$ approximately, where $N$ is the total number of slices in the volume. Then the brain mask is obtained from the middle slice and used as a reference to extract brain from adjacent slices lying above and below it. This process will propagate from the middle slice and move to lower slices and then from middle slice to upper slices, one direction at a time and produce the brain mask of each slice in the volume. Using the brain mask, the brain portion is extracted from the input slice.

3.1. Algorithm

Step 1. Read middle slice
Step 2. Find midpoint of the mid slice in the MR Image.
Step 3: Apply the SET property to define the regions having intensity values more than 180 adn assign to the Set.
Step 4: Draw the contour of the pixels satisfying the Set property.
Step 5: Is there more than one connected region?
   IF yes, then perform LCC (using eqn.(4)) and take LCC as brain mask(B)
   Else take the contour as brain mask (B)
Step 6: Segment brain portion using B
Step 7: Take B as reference mask for adjacent slices and repeat step 2 to step 6 for all slices from middle slice to top slices and middle slice to bottom slices.

3.2. Materials Used

We have used three volumes of MRI T1 coronal datasets taken from IBSR website developed by Centre for Morphometric Analysis (CMA) at Massachusetts General Hospital, for the proposed
method [18]. The Slice thickness is 3.0mm and each of 256X256 in size. The hand segmented result, gold standard is also available in the IBSR.

3.3 Performance Evaluation Metrics

To evaluate the performance of the proposed method we make use of similarity indices Jaccard [19] and Dice coefficient [20]. The Jaccard and Dice coefficients are the parameters giving the amount of overlap between two data sets A and B. The value of J and D varies from 0 for total disagreement and 1 for complete agreement, between A and B.

\[
J(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} \tag{4}
\]

\[
D(A, B) = \frac{2|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B|} \tag{5}
\]

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We carried out experiments by applying the proposed hybrid contour method on three volumes of MRI T1 coronal datasets of human head scans and made quantitative and qualitative performance analysis of the method by computing the similarity coefficients J and D between the extracted brain portions and the hand segmented Gold standard available in IBSR.

The computed values of J and D by the proposed method and that of the popular method, Brain Extraction Tool (BET) [21] are given in Table 1 and are plotted in Figure 3. We observe from Table 1 and Figure 3, that the proposed method gives better values for J and D than that of BET for the three volumes.

Table 1. Computed average values of Jaccard similarity index and Dice Co-efficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>BET</th>
<th>Proposed method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1_24</td>
<td>.9459</td>
<td>.9722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13_3</td>
<td>.9453</td>
<td>.9615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4_8</td>
<td>.9531</td>
<td>.9760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Computed values of J and D for the proposed and BET methods
For qualitative performance, the segmented brain using our method for one volume of MRI are shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 4. Extracted brain portions from T1 weighted coronal 13_3 using our method

To qualitatively compare our results with that of BET method, brain portions segmented by the proposed method, hand segmented result and by BET are shown in Figure 5. The second column shows the original slices, third column the Gold standard, fourth column by the proposed method and fifth column by BET.
We note from row 3 and 4 of Figure 5, that the proposed method performed well where BET failed.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have proposed a contour tracing technique by forming a set that specifies the boundary pixels. Using the contour the brain boundary is detected. Using this technique we have extracted the brain portions from T1 weighted coronal MRI of human head scans. Experimental results on three volumes of MRI show that the proposed method gives better results than that of the popular BET method in terms of Jaccard index and Dice coefficients. The proposed method
gives the best value of 0.9595 for J and 0.987 for D. The proposed method is computationally simple.
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