

INTRODUCTION SOCIAL-AWARE SELF-ORGANIZING NETWORKS FOR AGING WELL: A DISTRIBUTED MODEL FOR HUMAN-CENTRIC SUPPORT

Carlotta Conversi¹ and Vittorianna Perrotta²

¹ Department of Social Science, University of Urbino Carlo Bo,
Urbino, Italy

² Department of Economics, University of Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the potential of Social-Aware Self-Organizing Networks (SA-SONs) as an adaptive model to support psychosocial well-being in aging populations. By connecting young volunteers, smart nodes, and local environments, SA-SONs dynamically match relational needs and social opportunities through lightweight, decentralized mechanisms. This approach enables responsive and human-centered coordination of low-intensity care and community engagement. The paper introduces a conceptual architecture, discusses key challenges such as trust, privacy, and variability of human nodes, and suggests future directions for research and pilot implementation in socially diverse environments.

KEYWORDS

Human Nodes, Social Awareness, Network Protocols, Well-Being.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aging of the global population presents significant and growing challenges for public health systems and social welfare infrastructures. According to recent estimates by the World Health Organization, the proportion of people aged 60 and over is expected to double by 2050, placing unprecedented pressure on formal care services and reshaping the social fabric of entire communities. Among the many dimensions of this demographic shift, psychosocial well-being emerges as a critical yet often under-addressed issue. Older adults frequently experience a complex interplay of physical frailty, cognitive decline, and social isolation—conditions that, if not adequately addressed, can lead to serious deterioration in quality of life.

Traditional care systems, while essential, often lack the flexibility, contextual sensitivity, and relational depth required to provide continuous, low-intensity, and emotionally meaningful support. These systems are typically organized around institutional logic, which tends to prioritize clinical needs over relational ones, and centralized models of coordination that are not well-suited to dynamic, human-centered engagement.

Recent advances in distributed computing, edge architectures, and human-centric design methodologies have opened the way for alternative models of care that are more adaptive, decentralized, and socially embedded. Within this evolving landscape, we propose the concept of Social-Aware Self-Organizing Networks (SA-SONs)—a novel framework that leverages both

David C. Wyld et al. (Eds): CRYPIS, CBIoT, CAIML, NLCA, NC, WiMo, ICAIT, ICDIPV, ITCSE – 2025

pp. 155-164, 2025. CS & IT - CSCP 2025

DOI: 10.5121/csit.2025.151712

technological and human components to enable responsive, community-based support systems for older adults.

SA-SONs integrate human actors (such as volunteers, family members, or caregivers), smart devices, and local environments into a decentralized network capable of identifying and matching social needs with available opportunities for interaction. Rather than relying on top-down coordination, these systems operate through localized sensing, context-awareness, and lightweight decision-making mechanisms. In doing so, they embody a shift from service provision to relational orchestration: support is not delivered to the user, but co-created through dynamic, meaningful connections.

This paper introduces a conceptual architecture for SA-SONs, exploring how such systems can enhance psychosocial well-being by promoting trust-based, low-barrier, and emotionally sensitive forms of engagement. We identify key design challenges—including trust, privacy, and the variability of human participation—and discuss potential applications in diverse social settings, particularly those where formal care systems are stretched or under-resourced.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews related literature and conceptual foundations; Section 3 presents the SA-SON model and its main components; Section 4 discusses key implementation challenges; Section 5 illustrates a use case scenario in a community setting; Section 6 reflects on broader implications and limitations; and Section 7 concludes with proposals for future research and pilot development.

2. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

2.1. Self-Organizing Networks: Principles and Evolution

Self-Organizing Networks (SONs) are systems capable of adapting to changing conditions without the need for centralized coordination. Originally developed in the context of mobile and wireless communication, SONs enable dynamic configuration and fault management, optimizing performance while reducing human intervention. These systems are characterized by autonomy, scalability, and resilience qualities that have made them appealing in fields such as sensor networks, smart grids, and even swarm robotics.

Over the last decade, SONs have evolved beyond their technical origins. The notion of self-organization has been adopted in various interdisciplinary fields, including artificial life, bio-inspired computing, and distributed artificial intelligence. These systems rely on simple rules, local interactions, and feedback loops to produce emergent behavior at the system level. Yet, despite their growing complexity, most implementations focus on optimizing technical parameters, such as energy consumption or bandwidth, without integrating human factors.

2.2. Technology and Aging: From AAL to Community Care

In parallel, research in Ambient Assisted Living (AAL) and gerontechnology has aimed to improve the quality of life for older adults. Technologies in this domain include fall detection systems, remote monitoring platforms, cognitive support tools, and robotic companions. While many of these solutions have shown promise in controlled environments, their real-world adoption remains limited. Challenges include high costs, technological stigma, lack of personalization, and the risk of increasing social isolation by replacing human contact with automation.

Recent approaches have emphasized the importance of social support and community-based care models. Initiatives such as time banking, volunteer networks, and intergenerational programs are gaining recognition for their ability to foster engagement and relational health. However, these efforts often lack technological infrastructure that would allow them to scale, adapt, and coordinate efficiently.

2.3. Human-Centric Computing and Social Embeddedness

Human-Centric Computing represents a shift in technological design—from systems that simply serve users to systems that adapt to human values, emotions, and social contexts. This paradigm focuses on trust, transparency, user empowerment, and co-adaptation. In the context of aging, such an approach is crucial, as it respects individual agency and promotes active participation rather than passive care.

Social computing extends this logic further, emphasizing the importance of relationships, social capital, and collective intelligence. Platforms that integrate social awareness, such as context-aware recommendation engines, peer-to-peer support networks, and trust-based protocols, are paving the way for more human-aligned systems. However, few have attempted to embed these values directly into the architectural principles of distributed, self-organizing systems.

2.4. Gaps and Opportunities: Toward SA-SONs

Despite notable advancements, a significant gap remains at the intersection of SONs and human-centric support systems. The majority of SON applications are “socially blind”—treating nodes as interchangeable entities without considering trust, familiarity, or social preference. Conversely, most socially oriented platforms are not self-organizing: they require centralized coordination or manual configuration.

Social-Aware Self-Organizing Networks (SA-SONs) aim to bridge this gap. By embedding human actors, such as local volunteers, caregivers, or family members, into the logic of decentralized coordination, SA-SONs offer a novel model for delivering responsive, relational care. These networks adapt dynamically not only to technical signals but to social cues and relational patterns. They offer a promising pathway for creating infrastructure that is both technologically agile and socially meaningful.

3. THE SA-SON MODEL

The Social-Aware Self-Organizing Network (SA-SON) represents a novel approach to decentralized support systems, specifically tailored to enhance the psychosocial well-being of aging populations. Rooted in the principles of distributed coordination, SA-SON aims to facilitate socially meaningful interactions by seamlessly integrating human and technological components within a flexible and context-aware infrastructure.

Unlike traditional care systems that rely on centralized orchestration, SA-SONs are designed to function autonomously through localized sensing and decision-making. Their core functionality lies in dynamically identifying and matching relational needs, such as emotional support, companionship, or low-intensity care, with appropriate opportunities for engagement that exist within a given environment. In this model, the notion of care becomes relational and adaptive, driven not by institutional schedules but by the real-time social and emotional states of the individuals involved.

3.1. Self-Organizing Networks: Principles and Evolution

At the heart of the SA-SON architecture is a network composed of three distinct types of nodes, each playing a crucial role in shaping the system's responsiveness and human-centric orientation. Human Nodes are individuals who either seek or offer social interaction and support. These include older adults in need of companionship, local volunteers willing to engage, family members maintaining connection, and care professionals offering oversight. Human nodes bring depth and richness to the network, as their actions are shaped not only by availability but also by emotion, intent, trust, and social history. Their variability introduces complexity, but also the potential for authentic and responsive interactions.

Smart Nodes refer to the technological interfaces embedded within the system, such as smartphones, IoT sensors, or digital assistants, that are capable of sensing context, processing data locally, and facilitating coordination. These nodes act as bridges between the digital and the social, collecting information about user status or preferences and enabling adaptive, low-latency decisions. They do not command behavior, but rather mediate connection in a supportive and non-intrusive manner.

Environment Nodes are physical locations, such as community centers, residential homes, cafés, or public parks, that provide spatial and temporal context for interactions. Equipped with minimal networked infrastructure, these places anchor the system in the real world, creating opportunities for safe, spontaneous, or facilitated encounters. They act as catalysts, shaping how and where connections are made within the network.

The interplay among these nodes forms a dynamic and evolving social infrastructure. For example, an elderly person's smart device might detect signs of isolation and signal a need for connection. Meanwhile, a nearby volunteer marks themselves as available for a casual interaction. The system, based on contextual, social, and emotional data, identifies a potential match and proposes a meeting in a nearby environment node such as a local park or café. In doing so, SA-SONs move beyond technical efficiency to support forms of care that are relationally rich, human-centered, and deeply contextual.

3.2. Interaction Logic and Decision-Making

At the core of the SA-SON model lies a lightweight, decentralized decision-making engine embedded within each smart node. Unlike traditional systems that rely on centralized control to orchestrate interactions, SA-SONs delegate decision-making to the edge of the network, allowing for a more responsive, scalable, and resilient system.

Each node functions according to a set of local rules, guided by context-sensitive parameters such as physical proximity, current availability, urgency of the detected need, and user preferences. These localized decisions reduce latency and enhance personalization, ensuring that support emerges organically from the environment rather than being imposed from above.

The network is governed by self-organization principles, drawing inspiration from natural systems such as swarms or ant colonies. Through mechanisms akin to stigmergy, where agents coordinate indirectly via environmental cues, SA-SONs enable complex social behaviors to arise from simple, decentralized interactions.

A key component of this logic is the use of relational matching algorithms. These algorithms are designed not merely to optimize for efficiency but to prioritize emotional continuity, trust, and human preferences. For example, a match between a volunteer and an older adult is not based

solely on proximity, but also on past interaction quality, stated social preferences, and inferred emotional states.

This architectural logic enables the network to respond in real time to shifting social dynamics. If, for instance, an elderly person's behavioral signals suggest loneliness or emotional withdrawal, the system can autonomously identify a suitable nearby volunteer, someone with whom the elder has a positive interaction history, and suggest a low-threshold engagement such as a walk or a chat, without requiring centralized validation. In this way, SA-SONs make care not only efficient but relationally aware.

3.3. Section and Sub-Section Headings

What distinguishes SA-SONs from traditional self-organizing networks is their intrinsic social awareness. While classic SONs operate on uniform nodes and fixed inputs, SA-SONs embed qualitative, subjective, and often ambiguous social metadata into their core operations.

This includes dynamically updated trust levels, derived from prior interactions and mutual feedback between participants. Trust becomes a key driver in determining not just if, but with whom an interaction should be proposed. Equally important are social preferences, which reflect individual comfort levels, activity types, or companionship styles, allowing the system to tailor experiences in ways that respect personal identity.

Moreover, SA-SONs are sensitive to emotional states, which can be inferred from multimodal inputs such as voice tone, message content, or even physiological indicators, where ethically appropriate. These signals enrich the system's situational awareness and allow for time-sensitive and energy-aware suggestions, particularly relevant for older adults, whose engagement capacity may fluctuate.

Such contextual richness transforms the network from a functional platform into a relationally intelligent system. For example, rather than simply suggesting that a volunteer visit the nearest elderly user, the system may prompt them to reach out to someone who has recently shown signs of emotional distress, based on both proximity and affective context. The interaction thus becomes not just logistically feasible, but socially meaningful.

3.4. Architecture Overview

The architecture of SA-SON is based on a peer-to-peer mesh logic, where nodes operate autonomously and coordinate interactions through local negotiation rather than centralized scheduling. This decentralized structure enables temporary role switching: a smart node may act as a request initiator, a responder, or a mediator, depending on the situation and the available context.

Each smart node maintains a minimal, local state, which includes data such as recent interactions, trust scores, and user preferences. This information is stored and processed locally, preserving privacy and avoiding unnecessary exposure of personal data. Data synchronization across nodes occurs only when essential for enabling or enriching an interaction, thus minimizing communication overhead and preserving user autonomy.

While the system is designed to function in a local-first mode, it can be optionally extended with edge-cloud components to support broader analytics, long-term monitoring, or policy-level coordination. This hybrid approach allows the network to scale or interoperate with external

systems, such as municipal platforms or health service providers, without compromising its decentralized core.

Such an architecture supports flexibility, resilience, and scalability, allowing SA-SONs to adapt to varying technical environments and social ecosystems with minimal infrastructural demands.

3.5. Advantages and Innovations

The SA-SON model presents a range of innovative features that distinguish it from traditional care technologies and standard network infrastructures:

- **Human-in-the-loop design:** Rather than automating care delivery, the system integrates human agency into the network logic, enabling real-time co-regulation between participants.
- **Social prioritization mechanisms:** Interactions are shaped by relational data, such as trust, emotional history, and preferences, ensuring that engagements are not only efficient but also socially meaningful.
- **Absence of central orchestration:** By operating without a central controller, SA-SONs improve system robustness, protect privacy, and reduce dependence on specific institutions or service providers.
- **Lightweight infrastructure:** The system requires only basic smart devices and minimal networking, making it viable in under-resourced or rural communities and compatible with existing local initiatives.
- **Hybrid integration potential:** SA-SONs can interface with public services, NGOs, or community centers, enriching their functionality without requiring full integration or structural overhaul.

Ultimately, SA-SONs shift the focus from device-to-device communication to human-centered coordination, reframing the very notion of what it means to “organize” support. In doing so, they offer a new paradigm for care that is distributed, responsive, and embedded within the social fabric of everyday life.

4. CHALLENGES AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The development of Social-Aware Self-Organizing Networks (SA-SONs) raises a set of multifaceted challenges that transcend the technical domain and engage with deeply human concerns. Unlike traditional distributed systems, SA-SONs embed people, often vulnerable individuals, within their operational logic. As such, trust, privacy, participation, and cultural resonance become as central to system design as performance and scalability.

One of the most critical dimensions is interpersonal trust. Human engagement with technology, especially in sensitive contexts such as aging and care, is mediated by feelings of safety, reciprocity, and emotional connection. In SA-SONs, where nodes represent not just devices but real people offering or seeking interaction, the network must be designed to promote trustful encounters. This calls for mechanisms that can evaluate and preserve relational histories, support gradual identity disclosure, and respect users' comfort zones, particularly when interactions cross generational or social boundaries.

Closely linked to trust is the issue of privacy and consent. While SA-SONs depend on contextual awareness to match needs and opportunities in real time, this cannot come at the expense of user autonomy. Older adults, in particular, may be wary of being monitored or having their emotional

states inferred. It is therefore essential that data collection and processing remain as local as possible, and that users retain clear, revocable control over what they share. Privacy must be not only protected but made intelligible: users should understand what the system knows, and why.

Another key challenge is the variability of human participation. Unlike sensors or servers, people are not always "on". Emotional fatigue, personal schedules, or sudden changes in availability can affect participation. A resilient SA-SON must be able to adapt to these fluctuations without overburdening individuals or letting needs go unmet. This entails designing redundancies, fallback routines, and even mechanisms to recognize and respect when someone signals emotional unavailability.

From a technical standpoint, ensuring robustness and scalability remains a core concern. As the network grows, it must avoid bottlenecks, coordinate actions efficiently, and maintain performance across diverse contexts and infrastructures. However, the more social the logic becomes, the more unpredictable the system may be. This means that robustness must also be about graceful degradation: maintaining meaningful interaction even when the system is not at its best.

Finally, the cultural and ethical dimensions of SA-SONs cannot be ignored. Social norms vary widely; what feels like friendly outreach in one community may feel intrusive in another. Design choices must therefore be sensitive to local values and practices. This calls for participatory approaches that involve users in co-creating the system and for design strategies that allow localization and modularity in social behavior rules.

Taken together, these considerations highlight the need for a design ethos that goes beyond functionality. SA-SONs must be technologically smart and socially wise, integrating human variability and cultural nuance into their very foundations. Only by doing so can they deliver the kind of care infrastructure that is not only efficient but also genuinely supportive and dignified.

5. USE CASE SCENARIO: SA-SON IN A SUBURBAN COMMUNITY

To illustrate the practical application of the SA-SON model, we envision its deployment in a mid-sized suburban neighborhood in Southern Europe. The area has a high proportion of aging residents, limited access to formal care services, and a vibrant local culture with intergenerational potential.

In this community, many older adults live alone. They are not in need of intensive care, but often experience social isolation and reduced opportunities for meaningful interaction. A local civic association has been working to mobilize young volunteers—students, part-time workers, and retirees—to engage in social activities. However, coordination is time-consuming and reliant on a few organizers.

Using SA-SON, the community introduces a decentralized system of engagement. Participants opt into the network via a lightweight app or smart device, indicating their availability, preferences, and comfort levels. Local community spaces (libraries, cafés, parks) are equipped with basic sensing capabilities and act as environmental nodes.

One example begins with Mr. Leone, an 82-year-old who lives independently but often feels lonely on weekends. His smart assistant, based on recent inactivity and past check-in patterns, flags mild signs of emotional withdrawal. At the same time, a nearby student, Sara, signals in the app that she is free for a walk or conversation.

The system matches the two based on shared interests (both love music and local history), their proximity, and past interaction ratings. A suggestion is sent to both parties, non-binding, friendly, and easy to decline. They meet at the local square café, a pre-approved environment node.

The system learns from the encounter. Both participants provide brief feedback (via emoji or a simple rating), which updates relational trust scores. Over time, Mr. Leone receives suggestions only from volunteers with whom he feels comfortable, and the network adjusts the frequency and type of proposed interactions.

The network also adapts to critical moments. For example, after a summer heatwave alert, the system prioritizes check-ins for isolated elders, matching them with trusted volunteers for home visits or calls. This decentralized approach allows the system to scale organically while remaining sensitive to social rhythms.

Within three months of pilot implementation, the community observes a measurable increase in reported well-being among older adults, a reduction in volunteer coordination effort, and new social connections forming across age groups. Participants express appreciation for the gentle, respectful way the system facilitates interaction, never forcing, but gently enabling care.

6. DISCUSSION

The SA-SON model offers a novel and promising approach to community-based, psychosocial support for aging populations. By embedding human actors within the architecture of a decentralized, self-organizing network, the model repositions care not as a top-down service but as a distributed social function. This represents a conceptual shift: from assistive technology to relational infrastructure.

One of the model's primary strengths lies in its ability to match human needs with human presence, in a timely and context-sensitive way. Rather than relying on rigid schedules or centralized platforms, SA-SONs create adaptive ecosystems where interactions can emerge organically, based on shared interests, availability, and emotional cues. This human-centric responsiveness is often absent in both institutional care and traditional technological solutions.

Moreover, SA-SONs are lightweight and scalable. Their reliance on local rules, smart nodes, and social metadata makes them feasible even in under-resourced contexts. By avoiding dependence on a central server or authority, they reduce organizational bottlenecks and offer greater privacy and resilience to failure.

Despite these advantages, the model faces several limitations. First, the variability of human behavior, while embraced as part of the system, also introduces unpredictability. If too many human nodes withdraw, the network may struggle to sustain momentum. Similarly, over-reliance on informal care might inadvertently reduce pressure on institutions to invest in structural solutions.

Technological limitations also exist: in some settings, the infrastructure to support real-time sensing or peer-to-peer communication may not be present. And while the model emphasizes data minimization, even lightweight context sensing may raise concerns among privacy-conscious users.

Another challenge is social legitimacy. For SA-SONs to work, communities must trust the system, not just as a tool, but as a facilitator of meaningful engagement. This requires

participatory design, transparent governance, and perhaps most importantly, a cultural willingness to embrace informal, mutual support as part of the care ecosystem.

At a systemic level, SA-SONs invite us to rethink the architecture of care. They challenge the binary between formal and informal support, proposing instead a hybrid model where responsibility is distributed, contextual, and relational. This aligns with broader societal trends toward platform cooperativism, care commons, and technologies of solidarity.

Yet, scaling such systems poses ethical and political questions. Who maintains the infrastructure? Who mediates conflicts? How can we ensure inclusiveness, prevent bias, and sustain participation over time? These are not just technical issues—they demand ongoing dialogue between designers, policymakers, and communities.

This discussion underlines the need for further exploration, both theoretical and empirical. Future work must test the model in diverse contexts, develop frameworks for evaluation, and refine the technical and social protocols that underpin SA-SONs. More broadly, we must continue to ask: what kind of care do we want, and what infrastructures will help us sustain it with dignity?

7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This paper has introduced the concept of Social-Aware Self-Organizing Networks (SA-SONs) as a distributed, human-centric model for supporting psychosocial well-being among aging populations. In contrast to centralized or technocratic approaches to care, SA-SONs emphasize relational coordination, local autonomy, and context-aware responsiveness. They represent a shift from delivering services to enabling social infrastructures that are embedded, adaptive, and emotionally attuned.

We have outlined the theoretical foundations of the model, situated it within existing work on self-organizing systems, ambient assisted living, and human-centric computing, and identified key challenges in trust-building, privacy, variability, and cultural alignment. A use case scenario illustrated how SA-SONs can operate in a real-world setting to generate meaningful social interactions with minimal technical overhead.

The results are promising, but still speculative. The next steps involve prototype development and pilot testing in selected communities. This will allow for empirical validation of the model's assumptions and for refinement of its interaction logic and governance structures. In parallel, we aim to develop ethical and participatory design frameworks to guide implementation in diverse cultural contexts.

Looking forward, SA-SONs may serve as a blueprint for decentralized care systems that are not only efficient but also emotionally intelligent. As societies continue to age and as institutions struggle to keep up, such models can contribute to more humane, inclusive, and sustainable approaches to care, where support is not something delivered but something co-created, moment by moment, through networks of mutual presence.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. I. Torres et al., “The EMPATHIC Project: Building an Expressive, Advanced Virtual Coach to Improve Independent Healthy-Life-Years of the Elderly,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.13836, 2021.
- [2] N. Thakur and C. Y. Han, “Framework for A Personalized Intelligent Assistant to Elderly People for Activities of Daily Living,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.07344, 2021.

- [3] F. Xavier Gaya-Morey, C. Manresa-Yee, and J. M. Buades-Rubio, “Deep Learning for Computer Vision based Activity Recognition and Fall Detection of the Elderly: a Systematic Review,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.11790, 2024
- [4] L. Brinkschulte et al., “The EMPATHIC Project: Mid-term Achievements,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.01878, 2021.
- [5] A. Pradhan et al., “Advancing User-Centric Design and Technology Adoption for Aging Populations,” *Journal of Aging and Health*, vol. 33, no. 2-3, pp. 123–135, 2022.
- [6] O. G. Aliu, A. Imran, M. A. Imran, and B. Evans, “A Survey of Self Organization in Future Cellular Networks,” *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 336–361, 2013.
- [7] D. J. Cook, J. C. Augusto, and V. R. Jakkula, “Ambient Intelligence: Technologies, Applications, and Opportunities,” *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 277–298, 2009.
- [8] M. Satyanarayanan, “The Emergence of Edge Computing,” *Computer*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 30–39, 2017.
- [9] N. Wang and Y. Zhang, “Trust Evaluation Based on Multiple Contexts for Online Social Networks,” *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 59, pp. 88–96, 2016.
- [10] H. Eriksson and T. Timpka, “The Potential of Smart Homes for Injury Prevention Among the Elderly,” *Injury Control and Safety Promotion*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 33–40, 2002.

AUTHORS

Carlotta Conversi is a doctoral researcher in Global Studies: Economy, Society and Law at the University of Urbino. She is the CEO of Congen, an innovative platform addressing social isolation among the elderly by building intergenerational ecosystems. Her work combines technology, social interaction, and personalized care to promote inclusion and well-being in later life.

Vittorianna Perrotta is a final-year undergraduate student in Global Governance at the University of Rome “Tor Vergata”, with a specialization in Economics and Philosophy. She is about to begin a Master’s in Management and currently works with Congen as a PMO and Marketing Analyst. Her interests focus on social impact strategies and organizational innovation, particularly in the context of intergenerational models and inclusion dynamics.