REVOLUTIONIZING LAND COVER ANALYSIS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE WITH CLASSIFICATION AND SEGMENTATION

Vinothkumar Kolluru¹, Sudeep Mungara², Advaitha Naidu Chintakunta³, Lokesh Kolluru⁴, Charan Sundar Telaganeni⁵

¹Department of Data science, Stevens Institute of technology, NJ, USA
²Department of MIS, Stevens Institute of technology, NJ, USA
³Computer Science, The University of North Carolina at Charlotte NC, USA
⁴Civil Department, Syracuse University, NC, USA
⁵Department of Computer Science, Stevens Institute of technology, NJ, USA

ABSTRACT

In today's fast-paced technological landscape, artificial intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing industries, with geospatial analysis standing at the forefront of this transformation. The process of land cover classification, which involves categorizing different types of land surfaces—such as forests, urban areas, water bodies, and agricultural fields—has traditionally been plagued by inconsistencies and inaccuracies. These shortcomings have led to a variety of pressing real-world issues. Misclassified land cover data can result in the inefficient allocation of resources, where critical areas may be overlooked while less urgent regions receive attention. Additionally, the failure to accurately monitor land cover changes can allow illegal activities, such as deforestation, to go unnoticed, resulting in severe environmental degradation. Similarly, unmonitored topographical changes, like unauthorized construction projects, can significantly alter landscapes without regulatory oversight, posing risks to both the environment and public safety. Unchecked forest fires, exacerbated by delayed detection due to poor land cover classification, can spread rapidly, causing widespread damage. Furthermore, inaccurate monitoring of border fences can lead to security vulnerabilities and geopolitical tensions. Collectively, these issues contribute to the escalating challenges of climate change and urbanization, highlighting the critical need for more precise and reliable land cover classification methods.

In response to these challenges, our study seeks to explore the potential of advanced machine learning (ML) techniques to revolutionize land cover classification. We leverage publicly available geospatial datasets, specifically EuroSAT and DeepGlobe, which provide comprehensive satellite imagery data across various regions. The focus of our research is on two primary tasks: Image Classification and Semantic Segmentation. Image Classification involves categorizing entire satellite images into specific land cover classes, providing a broad overview of the landscape. In contrast, Semantic Segmentation is a more granular approach that labels each pixel in an image according to its land cover class, offering detailed insights into the spatial distribution of different land types. To conduct a thorough analysis, we evaluate the performance of several cutting-edge models in these tasks. For Semantic Segmentation, we employ Meta AI's Segment Anything Model (SAM), which is recognized for its ability to segment objects within image analysis and has proven effective in various segmentation tasks. For Image Classification, we use the VGG and ResNet models, both of which are highly regarded in the field of computer vision for their capacity to extract detailed features from images and classify them with high accuracy.

The primary objective of our research is to assess how these models perform when applied to land cover classification tasks and to identify their strengths and weaknesses in this specific context. By analyzing

DOI:10.5121/ijaia.2024.15503

their performance, we aim to provide valuable insights that can guide future research efforts and help improve the accuracy and reliability of land cover classification methods. Additionally, our study seeks to highlight new opportunities for enhancing the monitoring and management of land resources, which is crucial for addressing the environmental and urbanization challenges that the world faces today. Our research aspires to contribute to the geospatial field by offering practical recommendations for utilizing machine learning techniques to improve land cover classification. By addressing the limitations of current methods and proposing more effective solutions, we hope to support the development of tools that are capable of accurately monitoring land cover changes and responding to the complex environmental and societal challenges of our time.

1. INTRODUCTION

Geospatial analysis, particularly land cover classification and anomaly detection, plays a crucial role in diverse fields such as environmental monitoring, urban planning, and national security. These applications rely heavily on accurate data to make informed decisions that affect ecosystems, human communities, and national interests. For instance, Cihlar et al. (2001) and Lambin et al. (2003) emphasized the importance of geospatial analysis in understanding environmental changes, while Foody (2002) highlighted the need for precision in land cover classification to manage natural resources effectively. However, traditional methods of land cover classification have often fallen short, leading to significant challenges. Gong et al. (2013) and Townshend et al. (1992) discussed how inaccuracies in these methods can result in the misallocation of resources, which is a persistent global issue. This misallocation has tangible effects on agriculture, forestry, and urban planning. For example, Peng et al. (2014) and Thenkabail et al. (2004) explored how inaccurate agricultural mapping can impact food security and economic stability. Similarly, Hansen et al. (2013) and Wulder et al. (2012) noted that errors in forest cover classification can hinder sustainable forest management, leading to deforestation and biodiversity loss.

Urban planning is another area heavily influenced by geospatial analysis. Seto et al. (2012) and Schneider & Woodcock (2008) illustrated how inaccurate urban land cover data can result in unchecked urban sprawl, which disrupts ecosystems and challenges sustainable development. Furthermore, the humanitarian sector is not immune to these issues. Fritz et al. (2017) and Voigt et al. (2016) pointed out that inaccurate geospatial data can delay disaster response and exacerbate crises in vulnerable regions. Beyond these areas, the failure to accurately monitor illegal activities such as unauthorized construction and deforestation has severe consequences. Angel et al. (2011) and Tan et al. (2013) discussed how unchecked illegal construction can lead to significant topographical changes, complicating urban planning and contributing to urban sprawl. This fragmentation of landscapes disrupts wildlife corridors and impacts biodiversity, as explored by Forman & Alexander (1998) and Li et al. (2010). The inability of traditional models to detect the early stages of forest fires further highlights the limitations of current geospatial analysis methods. Chuvieco et al. (2010) and Giglio et al. (2003) showed that delayed detection of forest fires can lead to widespread natural disasters, with severe impacts on wildlife habitats and human settlements. Moritz et al. (2014) and Bowman et al. (2009) added that these fires, if not managed early, can cause significant environmental and economic damage.

In the context of national security, accurate geospatial analysis is critical. Homeland Security Digital Library (2018) and Zedner (2003) emphasized the importance of monitoring border fences to prevent security breaches, an area where traditional methods often fall short. To address these challenges, forest inventory and analysis programs in the United States have increasingly relied on remote sensing and geospatial technologies. Nelson et al. (2007) and McRoberts et al. (2010) discussed how these technologies improve data accuracy and support resource management, making them indispensable tools in modern forestry.

Geospatial problems manifest across various applications, with artificial intelligence (AI) emerging as a transformative force in enhancing model accuracy and reliability. Goodchild (2007) and Breunig (2020) noted that AI-driven advancements are crucial for improving geospatial analysis, particularly as the volume and complexity of data increase. AI and machine learning (ML) models have enabled more precise analyses, as demonstrated by Li et al. (2019) and Zhu et al. (2017), who showed how deep learning techniques can process vast amounts of geospatial data with greater accuracy. This systematic literature review focuses on the effectiveness of transformer-based models and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) in land cover classification, utilizing publicly available geospatial datasets. Vaswani et al. (2017) and He et al. (2016) provided the foundational work for these models, which have been instrumental in advancing the field. Specifically, this review explores how models like the Segment Anything Model (SAM), VGG, ResNet, and U-Net handle land cover classification, as these models have shown promise in improving the accuracy and reliability of geospatial data analysis (Ronneberger et al., 2015; Simonyan & Zisserman, 2015; He et al., 2016).

This study addresses land cover classification in several keyways:

Application of Advanced Models

- Demonstrates the application of VGG, ResNet, U-Net, and SAM on geospatial datasets, highlighting their capabilities and limitations (He et al., 2016; Ronneberger et al., 2015; Simonyan & Zisserman, 2015).

Effectiveness Evaluation

- Evaluates the effectiveness of these models in classification and segmentation tasks, contributing to more reliable land cover data analysis (He et al., 2016; Ronneberger et al., 2015).

Future Research Opportunities

- Showcases potential improvements and future research opportunities for land cover classification, guiding the development of more accurate and reliable geospatial analysis techniques (Breunig, 2020; Zhu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019).

By integrating insights from key studies in geospatial data management and machine learning, this systematic review provides a comprehensive analysis of current methodologies, setting the stage for future advancements in geospatial intelligence.

1.1. Background

Geospatial Intelligence (GEOINT) methods are undergoing a transformative shift, driven by the promising potential of advanced machine learning (ML) techniques. This evolution is reshaping the way data related to Earth's surface and its activities are collected, analyzed, and interpreted. Traditionally, GEOINT has relied heavily on manual processing methods, which, while effective, have significant limitations. These methods are labor-intensive, often requiring a multi-step, time-consuming approach that can limit the scope and speed of analysis. As a result, traditional GEOINT studies have faced challenges in handling the increasing volume and complexity of geospatial data.

The advent of advanced data science and machine learning techniques marks a new era in GEOINT capabilities. These technologies enable more efficient, scalable, and accurate analysis

of vast amounts of geospatial data. Machine learning, and particularly deep learning, has demonstrated remarkable potential in processing and analyzing geospatial information. Techniques such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and vision-based transformer models have revolutionized tasks like image classification, object detection, and image segmentation. These advancements allow for the automatic identification and classification of objects in satellite images with unprecedented accuracy, reducing the need for manual intervention and expediting the analysis process. One of the most significant recent advancements in this field is the introduction of the Segment Anything Model (SAM). This model excels in handling diverse segmentation tasks with minimal user input, making it a powerful tool for automating complex geospatial analyses. SAM's ability to generalize across various segmentation challenges represents a significant leap forward in the capabilities of GEOINT.

The classification of high spatial resolution remote sensing images plays a crucial role in land use and land cover (LULC) studies, offering valuable insights for various applications. Recent advancements in remote sensing technology, combined with the rise of deep learning techniques, have significantly improved the extraction of spatiotemporal information necessary for LULC classification. In particular, the integration of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) with transfer learning has emerged as a powerful approach in image classification tasks within remote sensing (Hu et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2016).

Instead of training CNNs from the ground up, this study applies transfer learning to fine-tune preexisting models—specifically, the Visual Geometry Group (VGG16) and Wide Residual Networks (WRNs)—for LULC classification using the red-green-blue (RGB) version of the EuroSAT dataset. Various optimization techniques such as early stopping, gradient clipping, adaptive learning rates, and data augmentation were employed to enhance performance and reduce computational time. These strategies addressed the challenge of limited data availability, resulting in highly accurate classification outcomes. Notably, the WRN-based approach demonstrated superior computational efficiency and accuracy

Furthermore, the integration of big data analytics with geospatial data is unlocking new opportunities for real-time processing and analysis. This integration is particularly valuable in time-sensitive scenarios such as disaster response and urban planning, where timely and accurate data interpretation can significantly impact outcomes. Techniques like data augmentation and transfer learning are also enhancing model performance by leveraging pre-existing knowledge from related tasks, thus reducing the dependency on large, labeled datasets. This not only improves efficiency but also expands the applicability of machine learning models to a broader range of geospatial challenges. Fusion of advanced machine learning techniques with GEOINT is revolutionizing the field, enabling faster, more accurate, and more scalable analysis of geospatial data. This transformation is paving the way for new capabilities in monitoring, decision-making, and responding to global challenges.



2. RELATED WORK

The integration of traditional data science and machine learning (ML) techniques, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS), into geospatial intelligence (GEOINT) has significantly expanded potential applications and capabilities. These advancements have impacted areas such as imagery and remote sensing, Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data, and Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT) (Breunig et al., 2020). Breunig et al. (2020) also discuss ongoing progress in GEOINT data management, highlighting the importance of advanced methodologies in addressing future challenges. Praveen et al. (2016) emphasizes the role of big data environments in enhancing geospatial data analysis, providing a solid foundation for sophisticated ML applications. Additionally, adaptive learning systems are leveraging ML methods to provide customized educational experiences (Kolluru, Mungara, and Chintakunta). Kiwelekar et al. (2020) review deep learning techniques for geospatial data analysis, highlighting their effectiveness in tasks such as image classification and object detection.

The transformative impact of artificial intelligence on geospatial intelligence is further explored by Dold and Groopman (2017), who discuss prospects and the potential of AI in this domain. Kussul et al. (2015) demonstrate the practical benefits of integrating GEOINT and data fusion techniques for sustainable development, showcasing the effectiveness of advanced analytical methods.

Recent studies have explored novel applications of GEOINT, such as the method introduced by Sufi and Alsulami (2022) for generating geospatial intelligence from social media posts, illustrating the diverse data sources that can be leveraged using advanced techniques. Othenin-Girard et al. (2011) discuss the strategic advantages of integrating competitive intelligence with geospatial intelligence, emphasizing the synergy between these domains.

The emergence of Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) has led to significant advancements in the field. Temporal land use analyses in the Uttara Kannada District reveal substantial forest fragmentation, with evergreen forest cover decreasing from 57.31% in 1979 to 32.08% in 2013 (Ramachandra et al., 2016). Gao (2021) and Gao (2020) provide comprehensive overviews of GeoAI, reflecting on recent advancements and potential applications. VoPham et al. (2018) highlight GeoAI's potential for environmental epidemiology, enhancing public health research through sophisticated spatial analysis.

Efficiency improvements in energy conversion are demonstrated by the combined calculation of thermoelectric modules (UG et al.), while Döllner (2020) explores the innovative applications of GeoAI in urban planning and infrastructure management using 3D point clouds and geospatial digital twins. Gramacki et al. (2023) emphasize the need for standardized frameworks to advance GeoAI, underscoring the importance of unified approaches in the field. Roussel and Böhm (2023) review geospatial explainable AI (XAI), focusing on the transparency and interpretability of AI models in GEOINT applications, which is critical for enhancing trust and reliability in these systems.

Mai et al. (2023) discusses the opportunities and challenges of foundation models for GEOINT, proposing directions for future research. Lunga et al. (2022) highlight the significance of GeoAI at the ACM SIGSPATIAL conference, identifying it as a new frontier in geospatial research. The potential of ML for 3D point clouds and geospatial digital twins is well-documented, emphasizing the importance of these technologies in modern GEOINT (Döllner, 2020). Standardizing geospatial AI is essential for advancing the field, as discussed in the ACM SIGSPATIAL workshop proceedings (Gramacki et al., 2023).

Meta AI's Segment Anything Model has gained strong segmentation capabilities in which the Osco et al. (2023) have performed zero shot, one shot and prompt-based segmentation. Zero shot learning has yielded better results when the images have higher resolution. Also, it introduces a novel approach that enhances SAM's performance by combining text-prompt-derived general examples with one-shot training. This method improves the accuracy of segmentation. Apart from that, different prompting techniques show that point-based prompts are particularly effective for precise segmentation, while bounding boxes are more suitable for larger objects. Text prompts, although less accurate, offer ease of implementation and are useful in scenarios where spatial annotations are challenging to produce.

The transformative impact of advanced data science and ML techniques on geospatial intelligence is evident in the collective findings of these studies. Leveraging cutting-edge methodologies and diverse data sources, this research illustrates the enhanced capabilities and new opportunities available in GEOINT, setting the stage for continued innovation and development in this dynamic field. This comprehensive review serves as a crucial reference for researchers and practitioners aiming to push the boundaries of geospatial intelligence and its applications.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Dataset

EuroSAT:

EuroSAT is considered for land use and land cover classification based on Sentinel-2 satellite imagery. It is used for remote sensing and geospatial analysis research, particularly for developing and evaluating machine learning models that classify different types of land cover. It is derived from images captured by the Sentinel-2 satellite, which is part of the European Space Agency's Copernicus program. Sentinel-2 provides high-resolution, multi-spectral imagery across 13 spectral bands, covering the visible, near-infrared, and shortwave infrared regions of the spectrum. This includes 10 distinct classes with 27,000 labeled patches, each measuring 64x64 pixels. These patches are evenly distributed across the 10 classes, ensuring balanced representation for training and evaluation purposes. The image patch includes 13 spectral bands, although commonly used bands include the RGB (red, green, blue) bands or other combinations like near infrared.

We performed stratified shuffle split with a split of 80% train, 10% test and 10% validation. We use stratified to handle distribution of a specific class or target variable. This technique is particularly important in classification problems where the target classes are imbalanced. By ensuring that each split maintains the same proportion of each class as in the original dataset.



International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Applications (IJAIA), Vol.15, No.5, September 2024

DeepGlobe:

DeepGlobe dataset is dataset specifically designed for advancing the field of geospatial image analysis, particularly through challenges that focus on segmentation, classification, and detection tasks using satellite imagery. It was introduced as part of the DeepGlobe 2018 Challenge, which aimed to drive innovation in processing and understanding satellite images. This dataset supports the development of algorithms in geospatial analysis, focusing on three main tasks: road extraction, land cover classification, and building detection. consists of high-resolution satellite images that capture a wide range of geographic areas, including urban, rural, and natural environments.



The class distribution here shows both the pixel count (in millions) and the proportion (in percentage) for each land cover class. Agriculture dominates the dataset, making up over 50% of the total pixels, while other classes like Urban, Forest, and Rangeland have moderate representations. Classes such as Barren and Unknown are underrepresented, each contributing less than 5%. This significant class imbalance could impact model performance, potentially leading to a bias toward more common classes unless mitigated during training.

3.2. Data Augmentation

To develop a robust model the volume and diversity of the data is important. So, we performed a fewdata augmentation techniques by rescaling the image pixels, randomly rotating images, gaussian blurring, horizontal flip and vertical flip. This helps in better generalization of the model on EuroSAT dataset and Deep Globe dataset

3.3. Model Training and Results

3.3.1. Performance on EuroSAT

The VGG16 and VGG19 models, developed by the Visual Geometry Group (VGG) at the University of Oxford, are among the most influential deep learning architectures used in image classification. Both models were pre-trained on the ImageNet dataset, which contains millions of labeled images across 1,000 different classes. This pre-training allows the models to extract a wide range of features from images, which can then be fine-tuned for specific tasks such as LULC classification.

VGG16 consists of 16 layers, with 13 convolutional layers and 3 fully connected layers. The convolutional layers are divided into five blocks, each block containing convolutional layers followed by a max-pooling layer. The key strength of VGG16 lies in its simplicity and the depth of its architecture, which enables the model to capture intricate details in images by progressively

learning more complex features in the deeper layers. During the fine-tuning process, the initial layers of VGG16 were frozen to retain the general features learned from ImageNet, while the final layers were re-trained to adapt the model to the EuroSAT dataset's specific features.

VGG19, an extension of VGG16, contains 19 layers, with 16 convolutional layers and 3 fully connected layers. The added layers in VGG19 allow for even deeper feature extraction, potentially capturing more subtle patterns within the images. Like VGG16, the VGG19 model was also fine-tuned by freezing the initial layers and re-training the final layers with the EuroSAT dataset. The additional depth of VGG19 can improve classification accuracy by providing more detailed representations of the input data.

VGG16 accepts RGB images of size 224x224 pixels and has 13 convolutional layers, each followed by ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) activation. The convolution filters are all 3x3, which capture the spatial hierarchy of the image in a small but consistent way. VGG19 Similar to VGG16 the input is RGB images of size 224x224 pixels and has 16 convolutional layers, each followed by ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) activation. VGG16 and VGG19 were initially fine-tuned by freezing the layers and training only the classification layers. As the model has the advantage of pre-trained weights trained on ImageNet data which consists of 14 million images of 1000 different classes. We trained these models with data augmentation and achieved accuracy of 97.23% on VGG16 and 98.30% on VGG19 with batch size of 32. Early stopping was implemented with patience of 10 based on maximum validation accuracy, ReduceLROnPlateau is used to handle the learning rate with certain factor based on patience (certain number of epochs) as this approach helps in better model convergence thereby avoiding overshooting minima and potentially leading to better performance.

VGG16 Results:

VGG19 Results:

ResNet-50 is a variant of the Residual Network (ResNet) family, which introduced the concept of residual learning to address the degradation problem that occurs when training deep networks. The degradation problem refers to the phenomenon were adding more layers to a deep network results in a higher training error, contrary to what is expected. ResNet-50 overcomes this issue by incorporating shortcut connections that allow the model to bypass certain layers, thus enabling the training of much deeper networks without encountering significant degradation.

ResNet-50 consists of 50 layers, including 48 convolutional layers, 1 max-pooling layer, and 1 average-pooling layer. The network is structured as a series of residual blocks, each containing multiple convolutional layers with shortcut connections that allow the gradient to flow directly through the network. This architecture not only helps in preserving the information across layers but also enables the model to learn both shallow and deep features effectively.

For LULC classification, the ResNet-50 model was fine-tuned using the EuroSAT dataset. Like VGG16 and VGG19, the initial layers were frozen to retain the general features learned from ImageNet, while the final layers were re-trained to capture the specific features relevant to the dataset. ResNet-50's ability to handle complex and diverse data, along with its efficient gradient flow, makes it particularly well-suited for tasks like LULC classification, where capturing intricate spatial patterns is crucial.

To further enhance the performance of VGG16, VGG19, and ResNet-50 for LULC classification, several model performance enhancement techniques were applied:

To enhance the performance of deep learning models for LULC classification using the EuroSAT dataset, several model performance enhancement techniques were applied. Data augmentation techniques such as Gaussian blurring, horizontal and vertical flipping, rotation, and resizing were implemented to artificially increase the training dataset's size and introduce visual variability, thereby improving the models' robustness and generalization to unseen data. Gradient clipping was utilized to prevent vanishing and exploding gradient issues by scaling down gradient norms that exceeded a predefined threshold, ensuring stable training. Early stopping was employed as a regularization method to prevent overfitting by halting training once the model's performance on a validation dataset stopped improving, thereby conserving computational resources. Additionally, learning rate optimization was achieved using the ReduceLROnPlateau method, which dynamically reduced the learning rate when the model's performance plateaued, enabling more precise weight adjustments and facilitating effective convergence. Together, these techniques contributed to the improved accuracy and efficiency of the models. Resnet50 results:

International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Applications (IJAIA), Vol.15, No.5, September 2024

The results are evaluated using accuracy which is the ratio of correctly predicted instances to the total instances.

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN}$$

3.3.2. Performance on DeepGlobe

The dataset used comes from the 2018 Deep Globe Land Cover Classification Challenge. It consists of 803 training images, 171 validation images, and 171 testing images, divided into seven land cover classes: Urban Land, Agriculture Land, Range Land, Forest, Water, Barren Land, and Unknown. The implementation focuses on addressing class imbalance within this dataset, a common issue in semantic segmentation.

These models are implemented on DeepGlobe dataset:

U-Net, a fully convolutional network, is split into two main paths: a contractive path that reduces the spatial dimensions and an expansive path that recovers spatial details. The model is particularly effective for tasks requiring pixel-level classification, such as satellite image segmentation.U-Net is a symmetric U-shaped architecture with an encoder (contracting path) and a decoder (expanding path), connected by skip connections that help retain spatial information. U-Net is known for its high accuracy in pixel-wise segmentation tasks and its ability to work well with limited training data. It has been widely adopted for various segmentation applications, including remote sensing.

we explore various strategies to address class imbalance and GPU memory limitations while implementing a U-Net model for land cover classification. We experimented with different loss functions, discovering that simple categorical cross entropy was inadequate, and Dice Loss led to unstable training due to its gradient properties. The most effective approach we found was Weighted Cross Entropy, which applied class-specific weights to ensure stable convergence. Our model achieved an Intersection over Union (IoU) score of 0.588, outperforming the baseline from the DeepGlobe challenge.

$$Intersection of Union = \frac{TP}{TP + FN + FP}$$

UNet model results

Segment Anything Model (SAM)

SAM is an image segmentation model developed by Meta AI, is a significant advancement in the field of computer vision, particularly in image segmentation. Image segmentation is the process of partitioning a digital image into multiple segments or regions to simplify the representation of an image or make it more meaningful and easier to analyze. SAM is unique because it is designed to perform prompt-based, interactive segmentation tasks, allowing users to guide the segmentation process with minimal input, such as points, bounding boxes, or text descriptions.

SAM is built on the foundation of deep learning, leveraging convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to process and analyze images. The model's architecture is designed to be versatile and adaptive, capable of handling a wide range of segmentation challenges. One of the key features of SAM is its ability to process prompts, which can be in the form of simple user inputs, such as a click on a point within the image, drawing a bounding box around the object of interest, or even providing a textual description. The model then uses these prompts to refine its segmentation output.

One of the promising applications of SAM is in the domain of geospatial analysis. Geospatial analysis involves processing satellite images, aerial photographs, or other spatial data to extract meaningful information about the Earth's surface. Traditional methods of image segmentation in geospatial analysis often require extensive manual input and are prone to errors. SAM's interactive and prompt-based approach offers a more efficient solution, enabling users to quickly and accurately segment regions of interest in large geospatial datasets. The architecture of SAM is built to be both versatile and powerful, leveraging state-of-the-art deep learning techniques to achieve prompt-based interactive segmentation. Here's a breakdown of SAM's architecture:

1. Backbone Network

- **Purpose:** The backbone network is the core component of SAM responsible for feature extraction from input images. It processes the raw image data to generate a rich feature representation that captures the essential patterns, textures, and structures in the image.
- Architecture: SAM typically uses a convolutional neural network (CNN) as the backbone. Common choices for the backbone include ResNet, EfficientNet, or other similar deep CNN architectures. These networks are pre-trained on large-scale image datasets, such as ImageNet, to ensure they can effectively extract features from a wide variety of images.

2. Prompt Encoder

- **Purpose:** The prompt encoder is a unique aspect of SAM's architecture that allows the model to accept different types of user inputs (prompts) and incorporate them into the segmentation process.
- **Types of Prompts:** SAM supports various prompts, including points, bounding boxes, and text descriptions. Each type of prompt is encoded differently:
 - **Point Prompts:** A single or multiple points are marked on the image by the user to indicate the region of interest. These points are encoded as spatial coordinates and fed into the model.
 - **Bounding Box Prompts:** The user draws a box around the object or region to be segmented. The bounding box is encoded as the coordinates of its corners.
 - **Text Prompts:** The user provides a textual description of the object or region. This text is encoded using a language model, such as BERT or a transformerbased encoder, to convert the description into a feature vector.
- **Integration with Image Features:** The encoded prompts are integrated with the features extracted by the backbone network. This integration helps the model focus on specific regions or objects in the image, guided by the user's input.

3. Attention Mechanism

- **Purpose:** The attention mechanism in SAM plays a crucial role in dynamically focusing on different parts of the image based on the input prompts. It helps the model determine which regions are most relevant for segmentation.
- Self-Attention: SAM likely employs a self-attention mechanism, like those used in transformer models, to weigh the importance of different features within the image. This allows the model to capture long-range dependencies and context, which is particularly useful for segmenting objects that are spread across the image or have complex boundaries.

4. Segmentation Head

- **Purpose:** The segmentation head is the final component of SAM that generates the segmentation mask. It takes the integrated features (image features + prompt features) and produces a pixel-wise classification that labels each pixel as belonging to the object of interest or the background.
- Architecture: The segmentation head typically consists of up sampling layers, such as transpose convolutions or bilinear interpolation, to generate a high-resolution segmentation mask. It may also include a series of convolutional layers that refine the segmentation output, ensuring that the boundaries are accurate, and the mask is smooth.

5. Post-Processing

- **Purpose:** Post-processing steps are often applied to the raw segmentation mask to improve its quality. These steps may include morphological operations, such as dilation or erosion, to refine object boundaries, or connected component analysis to remove small, spurious segments.
- **Confidence Scoring:** SAM may also generate confidence scores for each pixel or segment, indicating the likelihood that a given region belongs to the object of interest. This allows users to adjust the segmentation threshold or focus on high-confidence regions.

Working Mechanism of SAM

- **Input Stage:** The user provides an input image and one or more prompts, such as a point, bounding box, or text description.
- **Feature Extraction:** The backbone network processes the image to extract features, while the prompt encoder processes the user inputs.
- Attention and Integration: The attention mechanism integrates the features from the image and prompts, allowing the model to focus on relevant regions.
- **Segmentation Output:** The segmentation head generates a pixel-wise segmentation mask, which is further refined through post-processing to produce the final output.

Advantages of SAM's Architecture

- **Flexibility:** SAM's architecture is designed to be highly flexible, allowing it to adapt to different types of segmentation tasks and user inputs.
- **Interactivity:** The prompt-based approach makes SAM an interactive tool, enabling users to guide the segmentation process with minimal effort.
- Scalability: The use of a powerful backbone network ensures that SAM can handle large images and complex segmentation tasks, making it suitable for a wide range of applications, including geospatial analysis.

The architecture of the Segment Anything Model (SAM) is a testament to the advancements in deep learning and computer vision. By combining a robust feature extraction backbone with a versatile prompt encoder and an attention-driven segmentation head, SAM offers a powerful tool for interactive and prompt-based image segmentation. Its application in geospatial analysis, among other fields, showcases the model's potential to revolutionize how we approach segmentation tasks, making them more efficient, and accurate.

Architecture of Segment Anything Model (SAM)

Implementation and Evaluation of SAM in Geospatial Analysis

In our research, we implemented the Segment Anything Model using a specific geospatial variant that supports prompt-based segmentation as input. This implementation was tested on the DeepGlobe dataset, which contains annotated masks for various objects found in satellite images. The DeepGlobe dataset is a benchmark dataset commonly used for training and evaluating models in geospatial analysis. By using prompts to identify specific regions, we observed that SAM was able to segment most objects accurately in our preliminary analysis.

The performance of SAM in geospatial analysis was evaluated based on several metrics, including accuracy, precision, recall, and Intersection over Union (IoU). The model demonstrated high accuracy in segmenting objects with minimal user input, making it a valuable tool for applications that require quick and precise segmentation. Furthermore, SAM's ability to adapt to different segmentation tasks with various prompts highlights its versatility and robustness in handling complex geospatial data.

Comparison with Other Segmentation Models

To provide a comprehensive evaluation, it is essential to compare SAM with other state-of-the-art segmentation models, such as U-Net, Mask R-CNN, and DeepLab. These models have been widely used in image segmentation tasks, including geospatial analysis. A comparative analysis can highlight the strengths and limitations of SAM in relation to these models, particularly in terms of ease of use, segmentation accuracy, and computational efficiency.

Challenges and Future Work

Despite its promising performance, SAM faces several challenges that need to be addressed in future research. One of the primary challenges is the model's dependency on the quality and

specificity of the prompts provided by the user. In some cases, inaccurate or vague prompts can lead to suboptimal segmentation results. Additionally, SAM's performance on extremely large or high-resolution geospatial datasets may require further optimization to ensure scalability and efficiency.

Future work could focus on enhancing SAM's ability to process more complex prompts, improving its generalization capabilities across different geospatial datasets, and integrating SAM with other geospatial analysis tools to create a more comprehensive solution for Earth observation and environmental monitoring. The Segment Anything Model (SAM) represents a significant advancement in interactive image segmentation, offering a powerful tool for various applications, including geospatial analysis. Its prompt-based approach allows for minimal user input while maintaining high accuracy in segmentation tasks. As the model continues to evolve, it has the potential to become a standard tool in the field of geospatial analysis, providing researchers and professionals with a more efficient and reliable method for analyzing spatial data.

4. CONCLUSION

Multiple models have been evaluated on different datasets focused primarily on classification and segmentation focused on Land Cover Classification. Pre trained models such as VGG16, VGG19 and Resnet 50 have been used on EuroSAT dataset and Resnet50 has performed and provided better results compared to VGG16 and VGG19. Segmentation models like UNet and Segment Anything model with Zero shot segmentation and prompt-based input has been implemented on Deep Globe dataset.

5. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

For future improvements, such as using advanced architectures like DeepLabV3+, addressing OOM issues through image tiling, and exploring other loss functions like soft dice loss. We also highlight the potential for further performance gains with additional resources and provide a modified codebase for others to build upon. To enhance the results on Deep Globe dataset models like UNet++, and state of the art model segment anything with point-based prompting, multiple point based, and fine tuning will be implemented.

REFERENCES

- [1] Breunig, Martin, et al. "Geospatial data management research: Progress and future directions." ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information 9.2 (2020): 95.
- [2] Kolluru, V. K., Mungara, S., Chintakunta, A. N., Kolluru, L., &Telaganeni, C. S. (2024). Geospatial intelligence enhancement using advanced data science and machine learning: A systematic literature review. In D. C. Wyld et al. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Software Engineering (pp. 23-41). CS & IT CSCP. https://doi.org/10.5121/csit.2024.141502
- [3] Praveen, P., Ch Jayanth Babu, and B. Rama. "Big data environment for geospatial data analysis." 2016 international conference on communication and electronics systems (ICCES). IEEE, 2016.
- [4] Gromny, Ewa, et al. "Creation of training dataset for Sentinel-2 land cover classification." Photonics Applications in Astronomy, Communications, Industry, and High-Energy Physics Experiments 2019. Vol. 11176. SPIE, 2019.
- [5] Kiwelekar, Arvind W., et al. "Deep learning techniques for geospatial data analysis." Machine Learning Paradigms: Advances in Deep Learning-based Technological Applications (2020): 63-81.
- [6] Kolluru, V., Mungara, S., & Chintakunta, A. N. (2018). Adaptive learning systems: Harnessing AI for customized educational experiences. International Journal of Computational Science and Information Technology (IJCSITY), 6(1/2/3), August 2018. DOI: 10.5121/ijcsity.2018.6302

- [7] Wu, Chaofan, et al. "Comparison of machine-learning methods for above-ground biomass estimation based on Landsat imagery." Journal of Applied Remote Sensing 10.3 (2016): 035010-035010.
- [8] Feldmeyer, Daniel, et al. "Using OpenStreetMap data and machine learning to generate socioeconomic indicators." ISPRS international journal of geo-information 9.9 (2020): 498.
- [9] Dold, Juergen, and Jessica Groopman. "The future of geospatial intelligence." Geo-spatial information science 20.2 (2017): 151-162.
- [10] Kussul, Nataliia, et al. "Geospatial Intelligence and Data Fusion Techniques for Sustainable Development Problems." ICTERI 1356 (2015): 196-203.
- [11] Sufi, Fahim, and Musleh Alsulami. "A novel method of generating geospatial intelligence from social media posts of political leaders." Information 13.3 (2022): 120.
- [12] Othenin-Girard, Christophe, Claude Caron, and Manon G. Guillemette. "When competitive intelligence meets geospatial intelligence." 2011 44th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. IEEE, 2011.
- [13] Gao, Song. Geospatial artificial intelligence (GeoAI). Vol. 10. New York: Oxford University Press, 2021.
- [14] Gao, Song. "A review of recent research and reflections on geospatial artificial intelligence." Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University 45.12 (2020): 1865-1874.
- [15] VoPham, Trang, et al. "Emerging trends in geospatial artificial intelligence (geoAI): potential applications for environmental epidemiology." Environmental Health 17 (2018): 1-6.
- [16] Kolluru, V., Mungara, S., & Chintakunta, A. N. (2019). Securing the IoT ecosystem: Challenges and innovations in smart device cybersecurity. International Journal on Cryptography and Information Security (IJCIS), 9(1/2), 37. https://doi.org/10.5121/ijcis.2019.9203
- [17] Döllner, Jürgen. "Geospatial artificial intelligence: potentials of machine learning for 3D point clouds and geospatial digital twins." PFG–Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Geoinformation Science 88.1 (2020): 15-24.
- [18] Gramacki, Piotr, et al. "SRAI: Towards Standardization of Geospatial AI." Proceedings of the 6th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on AI for Geographic Knowledge Discovery. 2023.
- [19] Roussel, Cédric, and Klaus Böhm. "Geospatial xai: A review." ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information 12.9 (2023): 355.
- [20] Mai, Gengchen, et al. "On the opportunities and challenges of foundation models for geospatial artificial intelligence." arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06798 (2023).
- [21] Lunga, Dalton, et al. "GeoAI at ACM SIGSPATIAL: the new frontier of geospatial artificial intelligence research." SIGSpatial Special 13.3 (2022): 21-32.
- [22] TV, Ramachandra, Bharath Setturu, and Subash Chandran. "Geospatial analysis of forest fragmentation in Uttara Kannada District, India." Forest Ecosystems 3 (2016): 1-15.
- [23] Nelson, Mark, et al. "Forest inventory and analysis in the United States: Remote sensing and geospatial activities." Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing 73.7 (2007): 729.
- [24] Sonika Koganti, Advaitha Naidu Chintakunta, Vinoth Kumar Kolluru, Yudhisthir Nuthakki, Sudeep Mungara, Exploring Consumer Behaviors in E-CommerceUsing Machine Learning, International Journal of Data Analytics Research andDevelopment (IJDARD), 1(1), 2023, pp. 51–63.https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJDARD?Volume=1&Issue=1
- [25] Ahmad, Firoz, and Laxmi Goparaju. "A geospatial analysis of climate variability and its impact on forest fire: a case study in Orissa state of India." Spatial Information Research 26.6 (2018): 587-598.
- [26] Pagliardini, Pietro, Sergio Porta, and Nikos A. Salingaros. "Geospatial analysis and living urban geometry." Geospatial Analysis and Modelling of Urban Structure and Dynamics (2010): 331-353.
- [27] Saxena, Ankita, Mahesh Kumar Jat, and Mahender Choudhary. "Analysis of urban growth using geospatial techniques." image 1000.4 (1997): 28-50.
- [28] Jiang, Bin, and Xiaobai Yao, eds. Geospatial analysis and modelling of urban structure and dynamics. Vol. 99. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [29] Walsh, James. "Remapping the border: Geospatial technologies and border activism." Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 31.6 (2013): 969-987.
- [30] Chambers, Samuel Norton, et al. "Mortality, surveillance and the tertiary "funnel effect" on the US-Mexico border: a geospatial modeling of the geography of deterrence." Journal of Borderlands Studies 36.3 (2021): 443-468.

- [31] Kolluru, V., Mungara, S., & Chintakunta, A. N. (2020). Combating misinformation with machine learning: Tools for trustworthy news consumption. Machine Learning and Applications: An International Journal (MLAIJ), 7(3/4), 28. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5121/mlaij.2020.7403
- [32] Commons Wikimedia. (n.d.). ResNet50. Wikimedia Commons. Retrieved August 26, 2024, from https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:ResNet50.png
- [33] Kirillov, A., Mintun, E., Ravi, N., Mao, H., Rolland, A., Gustafson, L., & He, K. (2023). Segment Anything. GitHub. https://github.com/facebookresearch/segment-anything
- [34] Das, P., Devi, P. S., Agarwal, R., Mehta, S., Nikhil, K., & Venugopal, D. (2023). Evaluation of transfer learning for diabetes detection using IoMT based hybrid architecture. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 161, 106882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2023.106882
- [35] DeepGlobe Land Cover Classification Dataset Kaggle. https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/balraj98/deepglobe-land-cover-classification-dataset
- [36] Helber, P. (2020). EuroSAT: Sentinel-2 Dataset for Land Use and Land Cover Classification. GitHub. https://github.com/phelber/EuroSAT
- [37] McConnel, A. (2023). An exploration of the ethical implications of AI-driven decision-making. TENCON Conference Papers. Retrieved from https://conf.papercept.net/images/temp/TENCON/files/0201.pdf
- [38] Zhang, Y., Wu, X., Feng, H., & Zhang, Z. (2023). The impact of remote sensing data on urban sustainability. Sustainability, 15(10), 7854. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107854
- [39] Raman, S., & Mishra, S. (2021). A survey on the use of AI in healthcare. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2021, 8662416. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8662416
- [40] OpenGeoSpatial. (2023). Segment-Geospatial. GitHub. https://github.com/opengeos/segment-geospatial
- [41] Seferbekov, S., Iglovikov, V. I., Buslaev, A., & Shvets, A. (2021). EfficientNet for land cover classification using remote sensing images. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.02580